SAFETY-KLEEN SYSTEMS

Penalty Justification

Based upon Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations (January 1999)

1. 40 CFR 171.2(e) & 263.20 No Shipping Papers (5.1) S-K shipped one 30 gal drum of hazardous waste solvent without shipping papers on April 23, 2008

(a) Potential for Harm

Minor

The RCRA ranking system in the <u>Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations</u> (<u>January 1999</u>) for determining the Potential for Harm requires consideration of the following three categories: (1) nature of the waste, (2) volume of the waste, and (3) location of receptors of the waste.

- (1) The nature of the hazardous waste in question is a Category 2 waste and is assigned a score of "4".
- (2) The volume of waste involved in the violation <u>is less than six</u> 55-gallon drums. A score of "2" is the appropriate assignment.
- (3) In regards to location of receptors of the waste, a score of "1" is assigned because the violation did involve an actual discharge. A score of "1" is assigned (potential exposure to people) since <u>less than 10</u> people were potentially exposed.

| Category | <u>Score</u> | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Nature of Waste | 4 | | |
| Volume of Waste | | | |
| Location of receptor: | | | |
| a. Discharge to environment | 1 | | |
| b. Potential exposure to people | <u>1</u> | | |
| Total | <u>8</u> | | |

When using the <u>Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations (January 1999)</u>, a minor "Potential for Harm" designation is assigned a total score of 8-12.

(b) Extent of Deviation

Major

In accordance with the <u>Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations</u> (January 1999), the Extent of Deviation for a transporter's failure to use shipping papers is major.

2. 40 CFR 263 Part B Incorrect information on Shipping Papers/containers (5.3) S-K shipped transported 1-16 gal and 2 30-gal drums of waste without the proper identification of waste and generator id on shipping papers and containers.

(a) Potential for Harm

Minor

In accordance with the <u>Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations (January 1999)</u>, the Potential for Harm for a transporter's failure to use correct information on shipping papers is minor.

(b) Extent of Deviation

Minor

In accordance with the <u>Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations (January 1999)</u>, the Extent of Deviation for a transporter's failure to use correct information on shipping papers is minor.

3) 40 CFR 264.15(b)

Equipment Inspection Schedule

S-K failed to complete annual inspections of the non-accessible equipage points for 2007.

(a) Potential for Harm

Minor

In accordance with the <u>Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations (January 1999)</u>, the Potential for Harm for a TSD's failure to follow a written inspection schedule for equipment is minor.

(b) Extent of Deviation

Minor

In accordance with the <u>Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations (January 1999)</u>, the Extent of Deviation for a TSD's failure to follow a written inspection schedule for equipment is minor.

4) 40 CFR 264.14 & Permit Spec. Cond. 12 in Part 1 Signage

Two signs were found on the ground and signage is not in accordance with the permit.

(a) Potential for Harm

Minor

In accordance with the <u>Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations (January 1999)</u>, the Potential for Harm for a TSD's failure to place signage in accordance with permit condition is minor.

(b) Extent of Deviation

Minor

In accordance with the <u>Guidelines for Characterizing RCRA Violations (January 1999)</u>, the Extent of Deviation for a TSD's failure to place signage in accordance with permit condition is minor.

Waste Management Program

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

| Violator's Name: Safety-Kleen Systems | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|---------|---------------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Waste Management Program: Hazardous Waste Section | | | | | | | |
| Department Staff Responsible for the Penalty Computations: Nicole McDonald & Jim Byer | | | | | | | |
| Date: June 12, 2008 | | | | | | | |
| PART I - Class A Penalty Determination | | | | | | | |
| | Violation | Potential | Extent | Matrix | Multi- | Adjust- | Total |
| | <u>Type</u> | for Harm | of Dev. | <u>Amount</u> | <u>day</u> | ments | |
| 1. | 40 CFR 171.2(e) & 263.20 | Minor | Major | \$2,900 | | | \$2,900 |
| | Shipping Documentat | ion | | | | | |
| 2. | 40 CFR 263 part B | Minor | Minor | \$600 | | | \$600 |
| | Incorrect info on shipp | oing papers | | | | | (|
| 3. | 40 CFR 264.15(b) | Minor | Minor | \$600 | | • | \$600 |
| | Equipment Inspection | Schedule | | | | | |
| 4. | 40 CFR 264.14 Signage per permit | Minor | Minor | \$600 | | | \$600 |
| | oigrage per perior | | | | | | |
| | Total Penalties for all Violations: \$4,700 | | | | | | \$4,700 |
| | | | | | | Ê | 4,100 |
| Medyle Kennely | | | | | | | |
| | | / | | May 14, 200 | 08 | | V |
| | chael S. Kennedy, P.G ogram Administrator | • | | Date | | | |

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

PART II - Multi-day Penalties and Adjustments

| Dollar Amount | | |
|---------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Dollar Amount | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Total Adjusted Penalty: \$4,700
Department Expenses: \$250

\$4,500 gl

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

<u>Part III - Other Adjustments Made After Meeting with the Responsible Party</u>

| ADJUSTMENTS: | Dollar Amount |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Relative merits of the case: | |
| Resource considerations: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Other justification: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Date | W. Richard Fancher District Director |