Page 1 of 2

Kothur, Bheem

From:

Bahr, Tim

Sent:

Tuesday, April 06, 2004 11:56 AM

To:

Kothur, Bheem; Parker, Bill

Cc:

Redig, Michael; Posner, Augusta

Subject: RE: Onyx follow-up - AERC Retort Facility

Have you asked the regulators in Pennsylvania about the Allentown facility yet?

Tim J. Bahr, Administrator Hazardous Waste Regulation 850-245-8790

----Original Message----From: Kothur, Bheem

Sent: Tuesday, April 06, 2004 9:50 AM

To: Parker, Bill; Bahr, Tim

Cc: Redig, Michael; Posner, Augusta

Subject: RE: Onyx follow-up - AERC Retort Facility

Tim, FYI Bill Parker did some research on 3/19/04 soon after our meeting with Onyx on 3/16/04. There is a facility called AREC Com Inc. in Orlando district and they use retort process in Allentown, PA and unfortunately they did not give any further details. As you know some times facility do not disclose their process because of trade secrets or proprietary items of their designed system? Anyhow, we will try again somewhere else if possible.

Bheem

----Original Message----

From: Parker, Bill

Sent: Friday, March 19, 2004 3:55 PM

To: Bahr, Tim; Kothur, Bheem

Cc: Redia, Michael

Subject: Onyx follow-up - AERC Retort Facility

Tim,

The powder and devices go to a AERC.COM, Inc. facility in Allentown, Pa. They use a retort but do not give any further detail. The independent lab is Benchmark Analytics in Center Valley, Pa. Bill P.

----Original Message----

From: Bahr, Tim

Sent: Friday, March 19, 2004 3:26 PM

To: Parker, Bill; Kothur, Bheem

Cc: Redia, Michael Subject: RE: Onyx

Thanks for the update. Do the log sheets indicate what out-of-state facility is being used and what method or enhancements they are using to reach the 99% criteria.

Tim J. Bahr, Administrator Hazardous Waste Regulation 850-245-8790

----Original Message----

From: Parker, Bill

Sent: Friday, March 19, 2004 2:30 PM

To: Bahr, Tim; Kothur, Bheem

Cc: Redig, Michael **Subject:** RE: Onyx

Tim,

The other facility is AERC.COM, Inc. When they submitted their permit renewal application, they included a report dated May 31, 2001, which was current at the time. The normal procedure is for the facility to receive a semi-annual report (as required by the rule) and maintain it in a file at the facility. The District does not have copies in their files. The file at the facility is verified by the DEP inspector. I talked to the facility and they sent two summery log pages that show compliance going back to 11/2000.

Bill P.

----Original Message----

From: Bahr, Tim

Sent: Friday, March 19, 2004 12:01 PM

To: Kothur, Bheem; Parker, Bill

Cc: Redig, Michael Subject: Onyx

Bheem and Bill,

Please check with the District on the other lamp processing facility that was mentioned in our meeting with Onyx to see if they have evaluated that facility and their out-of-state reclamation facility for compliance with the 99% removal criteria.

Tim J. Bahr, Administrator Hazardous Waste Regulation 850-245-8790

Kothur, Bheem

From:

Byer, James

Sent:

Wednesday, April 07, 2004 4:49 PM

To:

Parker, Bill Kothur, Bheem

Cc: Subject:

RE: FL RECLAMATION VERIFICATION POWDER.xls

Attached is chart of ONYX's LAST TWO YEARS OF DATA IN YELLOW and PENN's DATA IS PURPLE



Recent data.xls

----Original Message----

From:

Parker, Bill

Sent:

Wednesday, April 07, 2004 3:05 PM

To: Cc: Byer, James Kothur, Bheem

Subject:

FL RECLAMATION VERIFICATION POWDER.xls

<< File: FL RECLAMATION VERIFICATION POWDER.xls >> Just got this. Same as what I had but some info added. Bill P.



