## Thursby, Kim

From: Schoepke, Robert [Robert.Schoepke@safety-kleen.com]

Sent: Wednesday, March 07, 2012 1:52 PM

To: Epost HWRS

Subject: RE: Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc.-Medley; FLD 984 171 694; Second Remedial Action Status

Report, Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc., Medley, Florida dated February, 2012

Message received.

From: Epost HWRS [mailto:EpostHWRS@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 07, 2012 12:18 PM

To: Schoepke, Robert

Cc: Bahr, Tim; Coates, John; Curtis, Jeff; Holmes, Georgiana; Kantor, Karen E.; RStebnisky@ectinc.com; Winston, Kathy;

Russell, Merlin; Tripp, Anthony

Subject: Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc.-Medley; FLD 984 171 694; Second Remedial Action Status Report, Safety-Kleen

Systems, Inc., Medley, Florida dated February, 2012

In an effort to provide a more efficient service, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection's Hazardous Waste Regulation Section is forwarding the attached document to you by electronic correspondence "e-correspondence" in lieu of a hard copy through the normal postal service.

We ask that you verify receipt of this document by sending a "reply" message to <a href="mailto:epost\_hwrs@dep.state.fl.us">epost\_hwrs@dep.state.fl.us</a>. (An automatic "reply message" is not sufficient to verify receipt). If your email address has changed or you anticipate that it will change in the future, please advise accordingly in your reply. You may also update this information by contacting Kim Thursby at (850) 245-8792.

The attached document is in "pdf" format and will require Adobe Reader 6 or higher to open properly. You may download a free copy of this software at <a href="https://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html">www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html</a>.

Please note that our documents are sent virus free. However, if you use Norton Anti-virus software, a warning may appear when attempting to open the document. Please disregard this warning.

Your cooperation in helping us affect this process by replying as requested is greatly appreciated. If you should have any questions about the attached document(s), please direct your questions to the contact person listed in the correspondence.

Tim Bahr
Environmental Administrator
Hazardous Waste Regulation
Department of Environmental Protection
E-Mail Address: epost hwrs@dep.state.fl.us

Please take a few minutes to share your comments on the service you received from the department by clicking on this link <u>DEP Customer Survey</u>.

1



## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Bob Martinez Center 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

March 7, 2012

SENT VIA E-MAIL Robert.Schoepke@safety-kleen.com

Mr. Bob Schoepke Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc. 1502 East Villa Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Elgin, Illinois 60120

Re: Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc. FLD 984 171 694, Operating Permit 56019/HO/006, Second Remedial Action Status Report, Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc., Medley, Florida dated February, 2012

Dear Mr. Schoepke:

Except for two comments pertaining to the proposed soil excavation, the Remedial Action Status Report referenced above is acceptable and supports the proposal to submit a Site Rehabilitation Completion Report for the groundwater remediation. As you know, your Post Active Remediation Plan (PARM) was approved in my earlier letter dated February 15, 2012.

You notified us on September 8, 2011 of the sampling scheduled for September 21. You notified us on December 12, 2011 of the sampling scheduled for December 21. You notified us on January 10, 2012 of the soil sampling scheduled for January 18, 2012. On January 17, 2012, you were given an extension until February 22 to submit the RASP. Your document was received on February 17.

In this status report, your proposal to change the final remedy for soils (excavation) is certainly acceptable. However, this change is considered a major modification to an approved remedy. This change will require public notice. The timing is such that the proposed remedy could be implemented now, at your own risk, with a Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (SRCO) or equivalent included with, or as part of, your renewal permit scheduled to be processed later this calendar year.

Because this excavation is limited in scope, soils are non-hazardous and the remedy is presumptive, a detailed plan is not needed for excavation; however, the details of the excavation must be submitted with your Site Rehabilitation Completion Report (SRCR).

There is an inconsistency in your report concerning the depth to groundwater. This is mentioned because your summary and recommendation section proposes soil excavation to approximately 2 to 2.5′ bls. On page 1-2, paragraph 2 your report states that the average depth to water is about 2′ bls. However, the depth to groundwater (DTW) is noted elsewhere as:

♣ Page 2-1, paragraph 2, June 21, 2011-The DTW "...averaged 4.0 ft bls in the shallow wells."

Mr. Bob Schoepke Page Two March 7, 2012

- Page 2-2, paragraph 1, September 21, 2011-"The DTW averaged 3.85 ft bls in the shallow wells."
- Page 2-3, paragraph 2 December 21, 2011-"The DTW averaged 3.83 ft bls in the shallow wells."

In fact, your Table 1 nicely summarizes the DTW that has generally been on the order of 3-4′ bls except at MW-3. At the time of excavation, Safety Kleen can choose to excavate to the water table without any sampling from the bottom of the excavation or take confirmatory samples for arsenic in the remaining soils at the bottom of the excavation.

Finally, the pre-remediation 95% UCL of 2.43 mg/kg was correctly calculated. However, the virtual remediation was not. When the excavated points are removed from the analysis, they should be replaced with the average arsenic concentration of the clean fill¹. In your calculations, no average value for arsenic in the clean fill value was used. For review purposes, the five excavated areas were replaced with an average arsenic concentration of 0.5 mg/kg. The recalculation results in a 95% UCL of 1.4 mg/kg, which is well below the residential criteria (our calculations are attached). I would recommend locating a source of backfill and determining its arsenic concentration (either through sampling or information from the vendor), and re-running the FL UCL post-excavation data to determine areas that will need to be excavated.

As always, if you have questions, please feel free to contact me at (850) 245-8796 or e-mail me at <a href="mailto:merrim.russell@dep.state.fl.us">merrim.russell@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Merlin D. Russell Jr., Professional Geologist II

Hazardous Waste Regulation

Mala Ruelly

MR/mdr

Attachment (.xls spreadsheet)

e-mailed w/attachment to:

Jeff Curtis, Safety Kleen, <u>Jeff.Curtis@safety-kleen.com</u>

Georgiana Holmes, Georgiana. Holmes@dep.state.fl.us

Karen Kantor, FDEP WPB, Karen.E.Kantor@dep.state.fl.us

Rick Stebnisky, ECT, RStebnisky@ectinc.com

Kathy Winston, FDEP WPB, Kathy.Winston@dep.state.fl.us

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Appendix D of the Technical report, pages 125-127 <a href="http://www.dep.state.fl.us/waste/quick-topics/publications/wc/FinalGuidanceDocumentsFlowCharts-April2005/TechnicalReport2FinalFeb2005(Final3-28-05).pdf">http://www.dep.state.fl.us/waste/quick-topics/publications/wc/FinalGuidanceDocumentsFlowCharts-April2005/TechnicalReport2FinalFeb2005(Final3-28-05).pdf</a>