

IMAGE QUALITY

AS YOU VIEW THE FOLLOWING
DOCUMENT, PLEASE NOTE THAT
PORTIONS OF THE ORIGINAL WERE OF
POOR QUALITY

Inspector: Fellabaum
Address: FOER

Telephone No: _____

RCRA LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTION
GENERATOR CHECKLIST

I. HANDLER IDENTIFICATION

Safety Kleen Corp 161 Industrial Loop
a. Handler Name b. Street (or other identifier)
Orange Park FL Orange Park FL 32073 Clay
c. City d. State e. Zip Code f. County Name

G. Nature of business; identification of operations: SIC Code(s)

FLD 980-847-214

H. EPA ID #

Paul Johnson (904) 264-2607

I. Handler Contact (Name and Phone Number)

II. GENERATOR COMPLIANCE

Comments

A. Waste Identification

1. F-Solvents

a. Does the handler generate the following wastes?

(i) F001, F002, F004, or F005 Yes No

(ii) F003 Yes No

If an F003 wastestream (listed solely for ignitability) has been mixed with a non-restricted solid or hazardous waste, does the resultant mixture exhibit the ignitability characteristic?

Yes No

b. Source of the above: Form 6700-12 _____; Part A _____; Part B _____; Biennial/Annual Reports _____
Other (specify) _____

Appendix A is intended to assist the inspector and enforcement official in determining whether the facility is generating F-solvent wastes, if such wastes were not identified by the facility previously. If you are concerned that F-solvent wastes may be misclassified or mislabeled, turn to Appendix A-1. To assist in identifying potentially

misclassified F-solvents, Appendix A-2 presents a list of corresponding P and U wastes. Note concerns below:

2. Dioxin wastes

- a. Does the handler report the generation of the following wastes? (The following industries may generate listed dioxin wastes: organic chemicals, pesticide or formulator.)

(i) F020 - F023, F026 - F027 Yes No
(ii) F028 Yes No

[F-solvent BDAT standards are presented as Appendix B]

3. California Waste Identification

- a. Does the facility handle any of the following wastes?

(i) D002 Yes No
(ii) D004 - D011 Yes No

Storage

- b. Does the generator handle any hazardous wastes characterized by high concentrations of halogenated organic constituents (HOCs), metals, or cyanides?

Yes No

[California waste standards are presented as Appendix C]

- c. Is the generator handling any of the F, K, P, or U wastes subject to the "soft hammer" that may qualify as California wastes due to HOC, metals, or cyanide content? See Appendix D for a listing of California constituents likely to be found by waste code.

Yes No

- d. Has the generator conducted the paint filter test (Method 9095) [§268.32(i)]?

Yes No*

- e. Has the generator conducted any testing of these hazardous wastes to determine whether the concentrations qualify the hazardous wastes as California wastes?

Yes No

If no, has the generator retained records documenting his "applied knowledge" that the hazardous waste is not a California waste?

Yes No

* A potential violation is indicated.

If "no" is answered to both parts of this question, a violation is indicated. [§268.7(a)]

Describe the nature of the records:

- e. Source of the above: Form 8700-12 _____; Part A _____; Part B _____; Biennial/Annual Report _____; other (specify) _____.

4. First Third Waste Identification

- a. Does the generator handle any of the wastes listed as First Third Wastes in §268.107? See Appendix E for listing. List First Third Wastes handled by the generator here:

- b. Does the generator handle any soft-hammer wastes (Appendices D-1, D-2, and F)? If so, list those wastes:

- c. Are any of the soft-hammered wastes California wastes (see Appendix G)? Yes _____ No _____

If yes, the wastes must meet BDAT standards prior to disposal.

- d. Has the Regional Administrator received demonstrations/certifications for all soft hammer wastes to be land disposed [§268.8(a)(2)]? Yes _____ No* _____

- e. Source of the above: Form 8700-12 _____; Part A _____; Part B _____; Biennial/Annual Report _____; other (specify) _____.

5. BDAT Treatability Group - Treatment Standards Identification

1. Does the generator mix restricted wastes with different treatment standards for constituents of concern? Yes _____ No _____
2. If yes, did the generator select the most stringent treatment standard for the constituent of concern [§268.41(b)]? Yes _____ No* _____

* A potential violation is indicated

3. F Solvents - -

- a. Did the generator correctly determine the appropriate treatability group [§268.41] of the waste (e.g., wastewaters containing solvents, ~~nonwastewaters~~ (i.e., < 1% TOC), ~~nonwastewaters~~ ~~solvent wastes~~ ~~chlorinated solvents~~, ~~other~~, tall other spent solvent wastes)?

Yes No*

4. California Wastes

- a. Did the generator correctly determine the distinction between liquid hazardous wastes and non-liquid hazardous wastes that contain HOCs in concentrations greater than 1,000 mg/kg [§268.32(h)]?

Yes No*

5. First Third Wastes

- a. Did the generator ascertain whether restricted wastes were appropriately assigned wastewater or nonwastewater designations (nonwastewaters are > 1% TOC and > 1% suspended solids) [§268.7(a)]?

Yes No*

Has the facility submitted a Softhammer

- b. ~~Does the facility handle K061 wastes?~~

Yes No

If yes, were nonwastewaters appropriately classified in either the high or low zinc subcategories (>15% Zn) [§268.7(a)] [§268.41(a)]?

Yes No*

- c. Does the facility handle K101 or K102 wastes?

Yes No

If yes, were nonwastewaters appropriately classified in either the high or low arsenic subcategories [§268.7(a)] [§268.41(a)]?

Yes No*

- d. Is there any reason to believe that the generator may have diluted the waste to change the applicable treatment standard (based on review of process operation, pipe routing, point of sampling)?

Yes No

* A potential violation is indicated

C. Waste Analysis

1. Did the generator determine whether the waste exceeds treatment standards based on §268.7(a):

a. Knowledge of wastes

Yes No

- (i) List wastes for which "applied knowledge" was used:

b. TCLP

Yes No

- (i) List wastes for which "TCLP" was used:

Using new waste codes

- (ii) Appendix D lists wastes for which treatment standards are expressed as concentrations in waste extract. Were any wastes handled by the generator subject to waste extract standards not tested using the TCLP? Yes No

If yes, list:

c. Total waste analysis

Yes No

- d. If files were retained, describe content and basis of applied knowledge determination:

If determined by TCLP or total constituent analysis, provide date of last test, frequency of testing, and attach test results.

Dates/frequency:

Note which wastes were subjected to which tests:

Note any problems (e.g., inadequate analysis, variation of waste composition/generation for applied knowledge)

*/ A potential violation is indicated

- e. Were wastes tested using TCLP or total constituent analysis when a process or wastestream changed [§264.13(a)(3)(i) or §265.13(a)(3)(i)]? Yes No* *M*
2. Did the restricted wastes exceed applicable treatability group treatment standards upon generation [§268.7(a)(1)]? *✓*
- List those that exceeded standards: _____
- List those that did not exceed standards: _____
3. Did the generator dilute the waste or the treatment residual so as to substitute for adequate treatment [§268.3] Yes* *X*/No

D. Management

1. Onsite management

- a. Were restricted wastes managed onsite? *X* Yes No

If no, go to "2".

- b. For wastes that exceed treatment standards, was treatment in regulated units, storage for greater than 90 days, and/or disposal conducted? *X* Yes No *Very
and a
TSD*

If yes, TSDP checklist must be completed.

2. Offsite Management

- a. If restricted wastes exceed treatment standards, did generator provide treatment facility notification with each shipment? [268.7(a)(1)]:

(i) EPA Hazardous Waste Number? *X* Yes No*

(ii) Corresponding treatment standard? *X* Yes No*

(iii) Manifest number? *X* Yes No*

(iv) Waste analysis, if available? *X* Yes No

✓ A potential violation is indicated

Comments

Identify offsite treatment facilities

Safety Kleen

Lexington

MS

b. If restricted wastes do not exceed treatment standards, did generator provide the disposal facility with a notice and certification including:

(i) EPA hazardous waste I.D. number? Yes No*

(ii) Corresponding treatment standard? Yes No*

(iii) Manifest number Yes No*

(iv) Certification regarding waste and that it meets treatment standards? Yes No*

Identify land disposal facilities receiving the BDAT certified wastes

c. If the generator's waste is subject to a §268.5 case by case exemption, a §268.6 "no migration" exemption, or a nationwide variance (see Appendix E for restricted wastes subject to nationwide variances), does the generator's records indicate that he or she submits with each waste shipment [§268.7(2)(3)]:

(i) EPA Hazardous Waste Number? Yes No*

(ii) Corresponding Treatment Standards? Yes No*

(iii) All applicable prohibitions? Yes No*

(iv) The manifest number? Yes No*

(v) The date the wastes are subject to prohibitions? Yes No*

(vi) Does generator keep records of all notifications/certifications sent to offsite facilities? Yes No*

*/ A potential violation is indicated

Comments

List all prohibited wastes for which records are not provided per above §268.7(a)(b):

Identify TSDFs receiving any prohibited wastes subject to any exemptions and variances:

- d. If handler generates a "soft hammer" waste, does the generator send with each "soft hammer" waste shipment to a TSDF and retain copies of, a notice that includes [268.7(a)(4)]:

The EPA Hazardous Waste Number? Yes No*

Applicable prohibitions? Yes No*

The manifest number? Yes No*

Waste analysis data, where available?
 Yes No

- (i) Do the generator's records indicate that any soft-hammer wastes are destined for disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment [§268.33(f)]? Yes No

If yes, list facility of destination and waste of concern [§268.8(a)(2)]

- (ii) Has the generator submitted demonstrations and certifications for each "soft-hammered" waste destined to be disposed in landfill or surface impoundment to the Regional Administrator prior to the shipment of waste to the TSDF [§268.7(a)(2)]? Yes No*

- (iii) Has the generator retained a copy of the demonstration on site [§268.8(a)(3)-(a)(4)]? Yes No*

- (iv) Has the generator retained copies of all §268.8 certifications sent to the TSDF [§268.7(a)(6)]? Yes No*

✓ A potential violation is indicated

NA

(v) Did the generator submit the demonstration to the receiving facility upon the initial shipment of the waste [§268.8(a)(3)-(a)(4)]? Yes No*

(vi) If the Regional Administrator has invalidated the certification, has the generator ceased shipment of the waste and do records indicate that the generator has informed all receiving facilities of the invalidation [§268.8(b)(3)]? Yes No*

E. Storage of Prohibited Waste

1. Were prohibited wastes stored for greater than 90 days? Yes No

If yes, was facility operating as a TSD under interim status or final permit [§262.34(b)]?

Yes No*

If yes, TSDF Checklist must be completed.

F. Treatment Using RCRA 264/265 Exempt Units or Processes (i.e., boilers, furnaces, distillation units, wastewater treatment tanks, etc.)

1. Were treatment residuals generated from RCRA 264/265 exempt units or processes? Yes No

If yes, list type of treatment unit and processes

If yes, TSDF checklist must be completed.

*/ A potential violation is indicated

Facility Name: Hillabor
Address: _____
City: _____
State: _____ Zip: _____

TRANSPORTER CHECKLIST

I. FACILITY IDENTIFICATION

A. Site Name

Safety Kleen Corp

B. Street (or other identifier)

161 Ind. Loop S

C. City

Orange Park

D. State

FL

E. Zip Code

32073

F. County Name

Clay

G. Description of Operations

FLD 980 847 214

H. EPA ID #

Paul Johnson (904) 264 2607

I. Facility Contact (Name and Phone Number)

II. TRANSPORTER REQUIREMENTS

Comments

A. Does the transporter store restricted wastes for greater than 10 days [266.50(a)(3)]? Yes No

10 days for waste in transfer area

1. If yes, does transporter have 264/265 status as storage facility (e.g., has submitted part A)? Yes No*

B. Describe inventory controls to ensure that restricted wastes are not stored for greater than 10 days.

C. Does the transporter mix restricted wastes prior to transport to a TSDF? Yes No

1. If yes, list the restricted wastes that have been mixed:

List instances where soft hammer wastes have been mixed with restricted wastes:

* A potential violation is indicated

File Number: _____
Inspector: _____
Date: _____

- D. Obtain a list of generators for whom restricted wastes have been transported.

- E. Obtain a list of treatment, storage and disposal facilities which frequently receive restricted wastes.

Customers

Point waste only E { Safety Kleen Lexington SC
any kind Linden NJ
etc New Castle Ky