Spec	ific Conditions	Yes	No_
19.	Are only those wastes stated in the waste analysis plan being stored at the facility?		
	- immersion cleaner (F002/F004)  - mineral spirits sludge (D001 and possibly D006 and/or D008)  - perchloroethylene (F002)  Food food only in 10 day transfer	<u>X</u> <u>X</u>	
20.	Has the facility followed the procedures in the waste analysis plan?		
	<ul><li>customers' process reviewed?</li><li>contents of drums verified when serviced?</li><li>drums kept closed until they reach the recycle center?</li></ul>	<u>X</u> <u>X</u>	
	(recycle centers conduct waste analyses)		
21.	Does the facility comply with the security provisions in 264.14(b)(2) and (c)?		
	- fence and gates? - signs with the legend "Danger Unauthorized Personnel - Keep Out"? - Key pad entry system added 12/90	<u>×</u>	
22.	a. Are fire extinguishers inspected weekly by the permittee and annually by a fire service supplier?	<u>X</u>	
	b. Are the eye wash stand, first aid kit, spill control and personnel protective equipment inspected weekly?	<u>×</u>	
23.	a. Are personnel trained within six months of employment?	<u>X</u>	
	b. Has training been documented?	<u>×</u>	
	c. Is training reviewed annually?	X	
24.	a. Are "No Smoking" signs conspicuously placed wherever there is a hazard from ignitable waste?	<u>X</u>	

24.	b.	Are containers holding ignitable wastes
		at least 50' from the property line?

Yes No

25. a. Does the facility maintain the following equipment listed in the contingency plan?

 $\times$ 

## EXHIBIT I.E.2-10 EMERGENCY RESPONSE EQUIPMENT

Description	Type/Capacity	Location (Shown in Exhibit I.D.5-2)	Quantities
Fire Extinguisher	ABC (10 lb.)	Warehouse	5
Eyewash	Fountain	Warehouse	1
First Aid		Warehouse	1
Telephones	Standard	Warehouse	1
Telephones	Standard	Office	4
Gloves	Rubber	Emergency Equip. Area	Min. 1
Boots (optional)	Rubber	Emergency Equip. Area	Min. 1
Protective Clothing	Apron	Emergen <i>c</i> y Equip. Area	l/Employee
Eye Protection	Goggles/Safety Glasses	Emergen <i>c</i> y Equip. Area	Min. 1
Sorbent Material	Oil Absorbing	Warehouse	Min. l Bale
Shovel	Standard	Warehouse	Min. 1
Mop & Bucket	Standard	Warehouse	Min. 1
Respirator (optional)	Filter Cartridge	Emergency Equip. Area	(Optional)
Pump	Handheld, Electric	Emergen <i>c</i> y Equip. Area	Min. 1
Wet/Dry Vacuum	Portable, Electric	Emergen <i>c</i> y Equip. Area	1

25.	b.	Is it tested and maintained to assure its proper operation?	Yes	<u>No</u>
		How often? Daily		
	c.	Is access maintained to communication or alarm system?	X	
	d.	Is aisle space maintained?	<u>X</u> .	
	e.	Has facility made arrangements with local authorities?	X_	
26.	a.	Has the contingency plan ever been implemented? Spill 10/15/91	<u>X</u>	
		If so, was it reported?	X	
	b.	Has the plan been provided to the local authorities?	X	
	c.	Has the plan ever been amended?	<u>_</u> X	<del></del>
		If so, were amendments provided to the local authorities?	X	
	d.	Who is the emergency coordinator?		
		Ross Giambione		
		Is he familiar with <u>all</u> aspects of the facility?	X	
27.		s the permittee comply with the manifest uirements?	X	
28.		s the facility's operating record include following:		
	- tl - tl - a - ma - na	he description and quantity of each hazardous aste received? he location of each hazardous waste within he facility, and the quantity at each location? he results of the waste analysis?  summary report and details of incidents that equire implementation of the contingency plan? anifest numbers?  otices to generators as specified in	X X X X	
	4(	O CFR 264.12?	*	

28.	(continued)	<u>Yes</u>	No
20.	- the results of inspections (for 3 years)? - annual certification of waste minimization? - the closure plan and closure cost estimate?	X X	
29.	Has the permittee certified that he has a program in place to reduce the volume and toxicity of the hazardous waste he generates to the degree to be economically practicable?	d <del>×</del>	
	economically practicable?  - Is it being submitted to the Department?  Has the closure plan ever been amended?	1991	
30.	Has the closure plan ever been amended?	<del></del>	X
	- Was it approved by the Department?		
31.	a. Will the closure cost estimate be adjusted for inflation within 60 days prior to each anniversary date of which the first closure cost estimate was prepared?	<u>×</u>	
	b. If the financial test or corporate guarantee is used, then will the closure cost estimate be updated for inflation within 30 days after the close of the firm's fiscal year and before submission of updated data to the Department?	<u> </u>	
	c. Was the closure cost revised within 30 days of the Department's approval for the request to modify the closure plan? (if the change in the closure plan increases with the cost of closure)	· M	
	d. Is the latest closure cost estimate maintained on-site?	X	
32.	Is the facility in compliance with the Financial Requirements of 40 CFR Subpart H?	Tolle	7
33.	a. Are containers kept closed except when adding or removing waste?	*	<del></del>
	b. If a container is not in good condition or begins to leak, is it transferred to another container in good condition?	X	
34.	Are the solvents and dry cleaning wastes separated?	K	
	- If so, how? deferent types of drums, Sepa	rate as	eas

35.	Is the permittee conducting visual inspections to detect leakage in hazardous waste areas?	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
	<ul> <li>daily inspections of containers?</li> <li>weekly inspections of containment areas?</li> <li>daily inspections of the tank's high level alarms, hoses and connections?</li> <li>weekly inspections of the tank's valves and pumps?</li> <li>a biannual hydraulic and wall thickness test on the tanks?</li> <li>weekly inspection of wet dumpster?</li> </ul> If deterioration is noted, is it repaired?	× × × × ×	
36.	Is spilled or leaked waste and accumulated precipitation removed from the collection area within 24 hours, then analyzed and disposed of accordingly?	×	
37.	a. Is the permittee operating the facility as specified in 264.175 and Section I.D2. of the permit application?		
	<ul> <li>container storage with a containment system whose base is free of gaps and cracks?</li> <li>is the base designed and operated to drain and remove liquids resulting from leaks, spills or precipitation?</li> <li>If no - are the containers elevated or otherwise protected from contact with accumulated precipitation?</li> <li>is run-on prevented?</li> </ul>	<u>×</u> <u>×</u> <u>×</u>	
	b. Is maximum number of drums less than or equal to 216 sixteen-gallon drums, and either 20 30-gallon drums or 40 15-gallon drums?	×	
	<ul> <li>contents of the mineral spirits tank less than or equal to 15,000 gallons?</li> <li>has the permittee notified the Department when 90% of the storage capacity has been reached?</li> <li>(195 16-gallon drums, and either 18 30-gallon drums or 36 40-gallon drums; 13,500 gallons in the tank).</li> </ul>	<u>*</u>	
38.	Are incompatible wastes kept separated by a physical means? (dike, berm, wall)	A	

				Yes	No
39.			tank system meet the requirements ndary containment found in 40 CFR 264.193?	X	
	av	syste obtai a wri an ir that	o, has it been determined that the tank em is not leaking or unfit for use by ining and keeping on file at the facility, itten assessment reviewed and certified by independent registered professional engineer attests to the tank's integrity by any 12, 1988, and biannually thereafter?	^ A	
	b.	Does	the assessment consider:		
		(1)	design standards, if applicable, to which the tank and ancillary equipment were constructed?		
		(2)	hazardous characteristics of the waste which has been handled and will be handled?		
		(3)	existing corrosion protection?	<del></del>	
		(4)	documentation of the tank age, if it exists?		
		(5)	results of leak tests, internal inspection or other tank integrity examinations?		
	c.	the t	ne assessments conducted above, indicate tank system is leaking or unfit for use, the requirements of Specific Condition et?	/ 	
40.	the a wr inde	repai itter pende compo	tank components which may be required by ir options of Specific Condition 44, will assessment reviewed and certified by an ent professional engineer, which attests to onents' structural integrity, be submitted epartment?	<del></del>	
41.	or h	nazaro vill t	to prevent the release of hazardous waste dous constituents to the environment, have the following requirements pertaining to y containment be complied with?		
	a.	conta	all new components have secondary ainment pursuant to part (c) of this tion prior to being put into service?	$\underline{\checkmark}$	

			<u>Yes</u>	No
b.	cont cond Janu has	existing tank systems, will secondary ainment, pursuant to (c) and (d) of this ition, be provided within 2 years of ary 12, 1987, or when the tank system reached 15 years of age? chever is later)	nA —	
c.	Is t	he secondary containment system:		
	(1)	designed, installed and operated to prevent any migration of wastes or accumulated liquid to the soil, groundwater or surface waters?	×	<u>.</u>
	(2)	capable of detecting and collecting releases and run-on until such time as the collected material may be removed?	×	
	(3)	constructed of or lined with materials compatible with the waste to be stored and have sufficient structural strength to sustain the stresses induced by a failure of the primary containment system as well as other stresses which may be induced by the environment?	×	
	(4)	placed on a foundation or base capable of providing support to the secondary containment system?	×	
	(5)	provided with leak detection equipment designed and operated to detect failure of either the primary or secondary containment structures or the presence of any release within 24 hours?	<u>×</u>	
	(6)	sloped or otherwise designed and operated to drain or remove liquids resulting from leaks, spills, or precipitation?	×	
	(7)	designed and operated, with the exception of double walled tank containment, to contain 100% of the cpacity of the largest tank within its boundary and also contain the precipitation due to a 25-year, 24-hour rainfall event, if run-on control is not provided?	X	
đ.	cont	ncillary equipment provided with secondary ainment, except as provided for in 40 CFR 193(f)?	X	

			Yes	No
42.	a.	Are hazardous wastes or treatment reagents placed in the tank system where there is a possibility that this may cause the tank system to fail?		<u>×</u>
	b.	Are appropriate controls and practices used to prevent spills and overflows?	$\times$	
	c.	In the event of a spill, will the permittee comply with the requirements of 40 CFR 264.196?	<u>X</u>	
43.	a.	Has permittee developed and followed a schedule and procedure for inspecting overfill controls?	×	
	b.	Are the above-ground portions of the tank system, data from leak detection or monitoring equipment and the construction materials and area immediately around the tank inspected daily?	X	
	c.	Are cathodic protection systems, where applicable, inspected daily?	A	
	đ.	Are the results of the inspections, in (a) (b) and (c), maintained in the operating record?	<u>×</u>	
44.	syst	the following requirements followed when a tank tem or secondary containment system produces a k or a spill, or is determined to be unfit for use:	illand	
	- re	essation of use, prevent flow or addition of waste? emoval of waste from tank system or secondary	+	
	- cc	ontainment system? Ontainment of visible releases to the environment? Otification and reports? rovision of secondary containment, repair	#	
	01	closure? ertification of major repairs?	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}$	
45.	the	il such time as the tank system complies with secondary containment requirements, has the nittee:		

included in the closure plan, a plan that addresses clean closure of the tank system and a contingent plan for complying with the closure requirements of 40 CFR 264.197(b), which addresses closure and post-closure care in accordance with the closure and post-closure requirements that apply to landfills?

(40 CFR 264.310)

			Yes	No
45.	b.	developed a contingent post-closure plan for complying with 40 CFR 264.197(b)?		
	c.	calculated closure cost estimates for complying with the closure/post-closure contingent plans called for in (a) and (b) of this condition?		-
	d.	based on financial assurance on the cost estimates of the closure plan or the closure/post-closure contingent plan? (whichever is greater)		
	e.	considered for the purposes of the contingent closure and post-closure plans, the tank system to be a landfill?		
		Was the information submitted to the Department within 60 days of the issuance of this permit?		
46.	befo	permittee met the conditions of 40 CFR 264.198(a) ore placing ignitable or reactive wastes in tank tems?	<u>/</u>	
	If s	so, how?		
47.	dist fort Fire	the permittee complied with the protective cance requirements for tank placement as set the in Tables 2-1 through 2-6 of the National Protection Association's "Flammable and bustible Liquids Code"? [40 CFR 264.198(b)]	<u> </u>	
48.	into	the permittee introduced hazardous waste o an unwashed tank system which previously incompatible waste or material?		<u> </u>