Inspect.:	Mins
Address:	

Ken BERTY, Call Jacobson OSVER 9938.1

PRAFT RCRA LAND RESTRICTION F-SOLVENT GENERATOR CHECKLIST

I. HAN	DLER IDENTIFICATION			
VAW) OF America	•	Riviera Blud	PU BUX 3887
A. Hand	dler Name			or other identifier)
	Augustine	FL	·32085	ST John's
C. City	, 0	D. State	E. Zip Code	F. County Name
	minum extrusion			
	. '	ation of Operati	ons	
	D 092 080 437			
	re Marsh 90	4 794-150	0	
	Her Contact (Name and Pho	ne Number)		
;				
II. GEN	NERATOR COMPLIANCE			•
A. <u>F-S</u>	Solvent Identification			
1.	Does the handler generat	e the following	wastes?	
a.	F001		YesNo .	
b.	F002		YesNo	
c.	F003	X	YesNo spend Xyl	are from paint
·	If an F003 wastestream l non-restricted solid or ignitability characteris	hazardous waste,	. Idultapitith use pee	n mixed with a
d.	F004	· •	YesNo	•
£.	F005		Yes No	
2.	Source of the above: Fo other (specify)	rm 8700-12;	Part A X; Part B	<u>X</u> ;
whether the faci	A is intended to assist the facility is generating lity previously. If you abeled, turn to Appendix A	g F-solvent wast are concerned th	es, if such vastes ve at F-solvent vastes =	re not identified by
	GERREN			

GEN-1

OCT 1 1987

Handler Name:

				Number: bector:		
В.	BDA	T Treatability Group - Treatment S	tandards Identifi	cation		Comments
	-	Did the generator correctly determined appropriate treatability group [2] waste (Wastewaters containing solution) pharmaceutical wastewaters contain methylene chloride, all other spendants)?	68.41] of the vents, ning spent nt solvent	Stil	ne/paint stillation 1 bottoms	Were 2.4%
c.	Hil I	vastes)? 1/8 For bottoms -> 6 SX Now Marne Shale te Analysis Ramsey Chem Did the generator determine whether	X Yes No LA>mcineration VALdosta ->	tor - R reclan	otary kill ration for	n -> Ash -> road aggregate n. Newse
	1.	Did the generator determine whether exceeds treatment standards based	er the waste on [268.7(a)]:	HIWW	HI-BHAN	u -> fuel
		a. Knowledge of wastes	XYesNo	ì	+ has tob	se pumpable.
		b. TCLP c. Other (specify) WASHE prof	Yes _No	-		
	•	If knowledge, note how this is add If yelly 2, 4/0 if left in light > 2 If determined by TCLP, provide da frequency of testing, and attach	te of last test,	ne.		
		Dates/frequency:		_		
		Note any problems:		 -		
		d. Were wastes tested using TCLP wastestream changed?	when a process o			
•	2.	Did the F-solvent wastes exceed a treatability group treatment stand generation [268.7(a)(2)]?				
	3.	Did the generator dilute the wasteresidual so as to substitute for a [268.3]	e or the treatmen adequate treatmen Yes No	it		
D.	Man	agement				
	1.	Onsite management				
	_,	a. Were F-solvent wastes managed HillAHiOA. yes, answer 1(b) and (c); if no, and	YesNo			

			•	Handle ID Nur Inspec Date:	
		For wastes that exceed treatment treatment, storage, and/or dispose	sal cond		Shipped waste OFF.
If 3	yes,	TSDF Checklist must be completed	•		
NR	C.	Are test results maintained in the record [264.74(b)3/265.73(b)(3)]	ne opera? Yes	ting No	
2.	Offs	ite Hanagement			
		If F-solvent wastes exceed treats did generator provide treatment : [268.7(a)(1)]:		ndards,	
	(i)	EPA waste number?	Yes	No_	ion duca't mand because
₽,	(ii)	EPA waste number? Applicable treatment standard?) Manifest number?	Yes	X _{No}	the waste is part 8
	(iii) Manifest number?	Yes	No	regaing.
-	(iv)	Waste analysis data, if availal	ole? Yes	No :	ì
Ider	ntify	offsite treatment facilities			#
	:	If F-solvent wastes did not excees standards, did generator provide facility [268.7(a)(2)]:			J/A
	(i)	EPA Hazardous waste number?	Yes	No	
	(ii)	Applicable treatment standard?	Yes	No	
	(iii) Manifest number?	Yes	No	
	(iv)	Waste analysis data, if availal	ble? Yes	No	
	(v)	Certification that waste meets treatment standards?	Yes	No	
		land disposal facilities received wastes	ing the	BDAT	

					ID Nu	****		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Inspector:				
					Date:			
	· c.	[268.30] (than 1%), petition [to dispose	e.g., solvent-v case-by-case e: 268.6] does ger	ationvide varia water mixtures xtension [268.5 nerator provide s exempt from 1 68.7(a)(3)]? Yes	less] or notice and	not subj	•	Commen t
<u>s</u>	torage	of F-Solve	nt Vaste					
1	day		riance 180/270	·	90 <u>X</u> No			
		was facili or final pe		s a TSD under in				
S	tatus	or final pe		Yes				
yes	, TSDF	Checklist It Using RC boilers, fu	rmit? must be comple	Yes ted. mpt Units or Prolation units,	No			
yes T	reatmeri.e., asteva	Checklist Other Using RO Doilers, futer treatment reservations RA 264/265	rmit? must be complete RA 264/265 Exer rnaces, distil	Yes ted. mpt Units or Prolation units, ed	No			
yes Till	reatment i.e., asteva ere trom RCI rocesse	checklist Checklist It Using RC boilers, futer treatme eatment res RA 264/265 es?	rmit? must be complete RA 264/265 Exer rnaces, distill nt tanks, etc.; iduals generate exempt units of	Yes ted. mpt Units or Prolation units, ed	ocesses Il bottons			

Comments

		•	Handler Name: ID Number:
•			Inspector:
٠			Date:
	APPENDIX	A	
	SOLVENT IDENTIFICAT	ION CHE	CKLIST
1.	Does the handler generate any of the follow constituents (i.e., spent halogenated solve degreasing) as a result of being used in the either in pure form or commercial grade?	nts use	ed in
	tetrachloroethylene	_Yes	No
	trichloroethylene	Yes	No
	methylene chloride	Yes	No
	1,1,1-trichloroethane	_Yes _	No
	carbon tetrachloride	Yes -	No No
		-, _{e2} -	
2.	Does the handler generate any of the follow constituents (i.e., spent halogenated solve result of being used in the process either or commercial grade?	nts) as	: a
	tetrachloroethylene	Yes _	No
	trichloroethylene	Yes	No
	methylene chloride	Yes	No
	1,1,1-trichloroethane	Yes	No
	chlorobenzene	Yes Yes	No No
	1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	_1es - Yes -	— _{No} .
	ortho-dichlorobenzene	-Yes -	No
3 <i>.</i>	Does the handler generate any of the follow	ing FOO)3
	constituents (i.e., spent nonhalogenated so		
	result of being used in the process either	in pure	•
	form or commercial grade?		
		Yes	No
	xyleneacetone	-Yes -	— _{No}
	ethyl acetate	-Yes -	No
	ethyl benzene	Yes -	No
	ethyl ether	Yes	No
	methyl isobutyl ketone	Yes	No
	n-butyl alcohol	Yes	No
	cyclohexanone	_Yes _	No
•	methanol	Yes	No
	If the F003 wastestream has been mixed with		id
	waste, does the resultant mixture exhibit t		,
	ignitability characteristic?	_Yes -	No

	•	•	Handler Na ID Number: Inspector: Date:			
Does the handler generate constituents (i.e., spent result of being used in tor commercial grade?	t nonhalogenat	ed solvents) as a			Comments
cresols and cresylic acid	d	Yes	No			
Does the handler generate constituents (i.e., spent result of being used in tor commercial grade?	t nonhalogenat	ed solvents) as a			
toluene methyl ethyl ketone carbon disulfide isobutanol pyridine		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No	·		
Are any of the constituent used for their "solvent" solubilize (dissolve) or The following questions withis determination.	<pre>properties mobilize othe</pre>	that is to r constitue	nts?	·		
(a) Chemical carriers?		Yes	No		•	
If the answer is yes, lis	st the constit	uents.		**************************************		
(b) Degreasing/cleaning?	?	Yes	No			
If the answer is yes, lis	st the constit	uents.				
(c) Diluents?		Yes	No			
If the answer is yes, lis	st the constit	uents.				
				٠		

	•	Handl ID Nu Inspe Date:	ctor:	
	(d) Extractants? Yes	No		Comments
	If the answer is yes, list the constituents.			
	(e) Fabric scouring?Yes	No		
	If the answer is yes, list the constituents.	···,		
	(f) Reaction and synthesis media? Yes	No		
	If the answer is yes, list the constituents.			
	Are any of the above constituents spent solvents solvent is considered "spent" when it has been us is no longer used without being regenerated, record or otherwise reprocessed.	? A sed and	may be an	i
8.	If the waste is a mixture of constituents as determine questions 1-7, answer this to determine whether is a "solvent mixture" covered by the listings.		•	
	If the wastestream is mixed and contains more that of the F001-F005 constituents listed in questions (by volume), give the concentration before use of the constituents in the solvent mixture/blend. It example:	s 1-5 f all		
•	5% methylene chloride 2% trichloroethylene 25% 1,1,1-trichloroethane 68% mineral spirits 100%		·	
	If the wastestream is a mixture containing a total 10% or more (by volume) of one or more of the FOO FOO2, FOO4, or FOO5 listed constituents before using a listed waste.	01,		

Handler Name:	
ID Number: Inspector:	
Date:	

With respect to the F003 solvent wastes, if, before use, the wastestream is mixed and contains only F003 constituents, it is a listed waste. For example:

Comments

33% acetone 16% methanol 51% ethyl ether 100%

If the wastestream is a mixture containing F003 constituents and a total of 10% or more of one or more of the F001, F002, F004, and F005 listed constituents before use, it is a listed waste. For example:

50% xylene F003 12% TCE F001 38% mineral spirits 100%

If in light of the above, the handler appears to be generating F001-f005 hazardous wastes, refer this facility to the enforcement official for follow-up actions verifying the use of solvents at the facility.

			I	D Nu	mber: ctor:				
	TRANSPORT	ER CHECKL	IST						
ī.	FACILITY IDENTIFICATION		٠,						
Ā.	Site Name			В.	Street	(or	other	identi	fier)
c.	City D. State		E.	Zíp	Code		F.	County	Name
G.	Description of Operations	· ·	•			•		·	
H.	EPA ID #			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Ī.	Facility Contact (Name and Phone Number))			· ·				
II.	TRANSPORTER REQUIREMENTS						<u>c</u>	omments	
A.	Does the transporter store restricted wastes for greater than 10 days [268.50(a)(3)]?	Yes		_No	**				
1.	If yes, does transporter have 264/265 status as storage facility (e.g., has submitted part A?)	Yes		_No		•			
В.	Does a review of records indicate storage of restricted wastes for greater than 10 days?	Yes		_No		,			٠.
c.	Describe inventory controls to ensure that restricted wastes are not stored for greater than 10 days.								

Facility Name	:
ID Number:	
Inspector:	
Date:	

DRAFT RCRA F-SOLVENT LAND RESTRICTION TREATMENT, STORAGE, AND DISPOSAL REQUIREMENTS CHECKLIST

	Faci	lity Name			В.	Street	(or o	ther	identifie	r)
5.	City		D. State	E.	Zip	Code		F.	County Na	m€
· ·	No.	re of business; identifi								
	Natu	re or business; identifi	cation of opera	tions						
1.	EPA	ID #			•			,		_
				•	•					
	Faci	lity Contact (Name and P	none Number)					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ī.	A.	For onsite facilities.	complete the ge	nerator	check	list		Co	omments	
	В.	General Facility Standa	rds							
. •		waste analysis plan revit 268 requirements [264.]								
				_Yes _	— ^{No}	•				
•	phy	facility obtain represent sical analysis of wastes		l and						
	[26	4.13(a)/265.13(a)]?	<u> </u>	_Yes _	_No			*		
	a.	Did testing include anal constituents?	lyses for all FO	001-F005 _Yes _	_No	,				
	b.	Were analyses performed	using TCLP?	_Yes _	_No			•		
		Vers analyses conducted								
	c .	offsite lab)?	onsite or offs:	ite (iden _On	off:	•			•	
	d.				Off:					
		offsite lab)?		On	Off:					
		Describe frequency of sa	ampling	_On	Off:					

		ID Number: Inspector: Date:	
Sto	rage [268.50]		Comment
a.	Were restricted wastes exceeding treatment standards stored? Yes	No	
	If no, go to "D."		
b.	Are all containers clearly marked to identify content and date(s) entering storage?	W.	
c.	YesYesYes	ity ards	
d.	Do operating records agree with container labe	ling?	
e.	Is waste exceeding treatment standards stored less than 1 year? Yes		
	If yes, can you show that such accumulation is necessary to facilitate proper recovery, treat or disposal? Yes	ment,	
	If yes, state hov:	·	
f.	Were tanks emptied at least once per year, and operating records show that volume of waste re from tanks annually at least equals tank volum Yes	moved	
g.	Was/is waste exceeding treatment standards sto for more than one year? Yes	red No	•
	If yes, state the owner/operator's proof that storage was solely for the purposes of accumul of such quantities of hazardous waste as are necessary to facilitate proper recovery, treat or disposal:	ation	·
h.	Are F-solvent wastes exceeding treatment stand "stored" in surface impoundments? Yes		
Tre	atment in Surface Impoundments [268.4]		
	e F001-F005 wastes exceeding treatment standard ced in surface impoundments for treatment?	s	

		Facility ID Number Inspector Date:	·:	
2.	Did the facility submit a certification of compl with minimum technology and ground water monitor requirements, and the waste analysis plan to the Agency? Yes	ing		Comments
3.	Have the minimum technology requirements been met? Yes	No		
	a. If the minimum technology requirements have a been met, has a waiver been granted for that unit(s)? Yes			
4.	Have the Subpart F ground-water monitoring requirement? Yes	rements No	-:	·
5.	Have representative samples of the sludge and supernatant from the surface impoundment been tesseparately, acceptably, and in accordance with the sampling frequency and analysis specified in the analysis plan and are the results in the operation record [264.13/265.13] and [264.73/265.73]? Yes	he . vaste		
6.	Did the hazardous waste residue (sludge or liquid exceed the treatment standards specified in [268 Yes	.41]?		
7.	Provide the frequency of analyses conducted on treatment residues:		•	
В.	Does the operating record adequately document the results of waste analyses performed in accordance [268.41] and [264.73/265.73] Yes	e e with No		
9.	Have the hazardous waste residues that exceed the treatment standards [268.41] been removed adequated and on an annual basis?		.•	
	a. If answer is no and supernatant is determined exceed treatment concentrations, is annual throughput greater than impoundment volume? Yes	d to		
10.	If residues were removed annually, were adequate precautions taken to protect liners and do record indicate that inspections of liner integrity are performed? Yes	ds No		
11.	When removed, were solvent wastes managed subsequin another surface impoundment? Yes	uently No		

	•	ID Number:	P.:
12.	When removed, were wastes treated prior to disposa	al? No	Comments
	a. If yes, are waste residues treated on or offs:OnsiteOff		
	b. Identify management method		
E.	Treatment		
1.	Did the facility operate treatment facilities for F-solvent waste (not including surface impoundmentYes		
	If no, go to "F."	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. 2.	Describe the treatment processes for F-solvent was	stes.	
			
3.	Does the facility, in accordance with an acceptable waste analysis plan, verify that the residue extra from all treatment processes for the F-solvent was are less than treatment standards [268.7(b)(2)]? Yes	ict st es	
4.	Describe frequency of testing of treatment residua	·	
			· -
5.	Was dilution used as a substitute for treatment [268.3]?	No	
6.	Are certifications and results of waste analyses kin the operating record [264.73(b)(3)/265.73(b)(3) [268.7(c)]? Yes] and	
7.	Are notice with waste number, treatment standard, manifest number, and analytical data (where availar submitted for each shipment of waste or treatment residual that meets the treatment standard stating waste has been treated to treatment performance standards [268.7(b)]?	that	74.
8.	Are certifications submitted for each shipment [268.7(b)(2)(i)]? Yes	No	

Facility Name:

•	1D Number:	
	Inspector: Date:	
	Date.	
Land Disposal		Comments
Were F-solvent wastes placed in land dis (landfills, surface impoundments [for the not include if in "D"] waste piles, well treatment units, salt domes/beds, mines/ wault or bunker?	nis question, do Ls, land	
Did facility have the notice and certifications are generators/treaters in its operating recognition [268.7(c); 268.7(a),(b)]?		
Did the facility obtain waste analysis of testing of the waste to determine that the compliance with the applicable treatmed [268.7(c)]?	the vastes are	
If yes, at what frequency?		
Were F-solvent wastes exceeding the treaplaced in land disposal units excluding capacity variances [268.30(a)]?		
If yes, did facility have an approved wan migration petition [268.6] or approve capacity extension [268.5] or treatment variance [268.44]?	ed case-by-case	•
Were F-solvent wastes subject to a nation case capacity variance/extension dispose		
a. If yes, were these wastes disposed of that has a new, replacement, or late landfill or impoundment?	erally expanded	• •
If (a) is yes, have the minimum tech requirements been met for all such a facility [268.5(h)(2)] and [268.30(b)	nits at the	•
Were adequate records of disposal mainta	ined?YesNo	
If wastes subject to a nationwide variar case-by-case extensions [268.5], or no metitions [268.6] were disposed, does fanotices [268.7(a)(3)] and records of disposed.	nigration scility have	
What is the volume of F-solvent waste di by waste?	sposed to date	

		ID Number: Inspector: Date:	
) <u>.</u> `	If the facility has a case-by-case extension, can inspector verify that the facility is making progras described in progress reports [268.5]? Yes	the	Comments

APPENDIX B
TREATMENT STANDARDS FOR F-SOLVENTS

		ION (IN MG/L)
F001-F005 SPENT SOLVENTS	VASTEVATERS	OTHER WASTES
Acetone	0.05	0.59
N-butyl alcohol	5.0	5.0
Carbon disulfide	1.05	4.81
Carbon tetrachloride	.05	.96
Chlorobenzene	.15	.05
Cresols (and cresylic acid)	2.82	.75
Cyclohexanone	.125	.75
1,2-dichlorobenzene	.65	.125
Ethyl acetate	.05	.75
Ethyl benzene	.05	.053
Ethyl ether	.05	.75
Isobutanol	5.0	5.0
Methanol	.25	75
Methylene chloride	.20	.96
Methylene chloride (from the pharmaceutical		
industry)	12.7	.96
Methyl ethyl ketone	0.05	0.75
Methyl isobutyl ketone	0.05	0.33
Nitrobenzene	0.66	0.125
Pyridine	1.12	0.33
Tetrachloroethylene	0.079	0.05
Toluene	1.12	0.33
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.05	0.41
1,2,2-Trichloro 1,2,2-trifluoroethane	1.05	0.96
Trichloroethylene	0.062	0.091
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.05	0.96
Xylene	0.05	0.15

VAW of anouca

California List Waste

1)	Does the handler generate the following wastes?	
	a. Liquid hazardous wastes having a PH less than or equal to two [2.0]?	
٠.,	b. Liquid hazardous wastes containing polychlorinated biphenyl: (PCBs) at concentrations greater than or equal to 50 ppm? Y N N N	3
	c. Liquid hazardous wastes that are primarily water and contain halogenated organic compounds (HOCs) in total concentration greater than or equal to 1000 mg/l and less than 10,000 mg/l HOCs? Y X N	1
2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-35% Juda
	b. Did facility obtain representative chemical and physical analysis of wastes and residues [264.13(a) y 265.13(a)]?	inthly
3)	If waste was determined to be in liquid state according to PFLT, was waste solidified using an absorbent? $Y = X = N$	
4)	What type absorbent was used? NA '	
^5)	What type of waste was absorbent added to (refer to question 1)? (Check where applicable)	
	 a. Liquid hazardous waste having a PH less than or equal to 2 b. Liquid hazardous waste containing PCB in concentrations greater than 50 ppm; greater than 500 ppm c. Liquid hazardous waste containing HOCs in concentrations greater than or equal to 1000 mg/l and less than 10,000 mg/l 	•
€)	Did handler determine whether the concentration levels (not extract or filtrate) in the waste equal or exceed the prohibition levels or whether waste has a PH less than or equal to two [2.0] based on:	
	a. Knowledge of wastes $\begin{array}{c} X & Y & N \\ \hline X & Y & N \\ \hline \text{List method} & & & & \\ \end{array}$;
	If knowledge, note how this is adequate:	

,	exceed cyanide & metals treatment standards? NOCYAL 65 Of Plant b. List test method used.
•	c. List constituent and concentration level which exceeded prohibition levels.
8)	Did generator treat waste on-site or send off-site (Identify "off-site facility)? Johnly lacked - Frankly waste
As)	If waste was determined to be restricted from land disposal (i.e., liquid, exceeding concentration levels and/or PH less than 2.0) did handler provide treatment facility:
	(i) EPA waste number?YN(ii) Specified treatment standard?YN(iii) Manifest number?YN(iv) Waste analysis data, if available?YN
10)	Did generator/treater dispose of waste on-site or send off-site? OFF SITE SOUND WOOD - C-5X Identify off-site disposal/facility Identify off-site disposal/facility
11)	If waste was determined not restricted from land disposal, no fed did handler provide disposal facility with: NOT RESTUCTED Land
	(i) EPA hazardous waste number? (ii) Manifest number? (iii) Waste Analysis Data, if available? X Y N N POTTICS! (iv) Specified treatment standard? Y N N POTTICS! (v) Certification that waste passed PFLT (non-liquid), or does not exceed specified prohibition
12)	Problem No No prob. atthree it. Are restricted wastes containing PCBs (i.e., concentration greater than or equal to 50 ppm) stored greater than 1 yr? Y N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
13)	Does facility handle any of the following waste:
	(i) Waste containing HOC greater than or equal to 1000 mg/kg (non-liquid hazardous waste)
	(ii) Waste containing HOC greater than or equal to 10,000 mg/l (liquid hazardous waste) $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Y $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ N
	(iii) Waste containing HOC greater than 1000 mg/l and less than 10,000 mg/l and are not dilute HOC waste water? Y X N
	vanide and metals concentration levels not yet codified in egulation. Statutory levels under 3004(d)(2) should be used.

•		If yes, answer 3(b) and (c), if no, answer 14.
. 13)	b.	Is any waste listed in 13(a) disposed of in a land fill or surface impoundment? $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Y $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ N
		If yes, continue, if no answer 14.
	с.	Is facility in compliance with section 268.5(h)(2) [New, replacement, or laterally expanded units must meet minimum technology requirements] and section 264 & section 265 Subpart F ground-water monitoring requirements?
		NA Y N
Mai	If PCI	facility handles any liquid hazardous waste containing somplete the following section:
	a.	List concentration levels of PCB in waste stream(s) (ppm)
	b.	Describe method of treatment/disposal of wastes(s) listed in section (a) and identify facility receiving this waste
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	c.	Does facility perform any type of mixing of PCB containing liquid hazardous waste with same or other types of wastes or liquids? $Y = N$
	d.	If yes, state reason for mixing:
	•	

FACT SHEET

Land Disposal Restrictions Final Rule: California List

This rule promulgates treatment standards and corresponding effective dates for the California list wastes containing polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and halogenated organic compounds HOCs, and codifies the statutory prohibition levels for certain corrosive wastes. This rule also establishes methods for determining compliance with the regulatory requirements and modifies portions of the land disposal restrictions framework which was promulgated on November 7, 1986 (51 FR 40572).

The California list consists of liquid hazardous wastes containing certain metals, free cyanides, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), corrosives with a pH of less than or equal to two (2.0), and liquid and non-liquid wastes containing halogenated organic compounds (HOCs) as described below:

- (A) Liquid hazardous wastes, including free liquids associated with any solid or sludge, containing free cyanides at concentrations greater that or equal to 1,000 mg/l.
- (B) Liquid hazardous waste, including free liquids associated with any solid or sludge, containing the following metals (or elements) or compounds of these metals (or elements) at concentrations greater that or equal to those specified below:
- (i) arsenic and/or compounds (as As) 500 mg/l;
- (ii) cadmium and/or compounds (as Cd) 100 mg/1;
- (iii) chromium (VI and/or compounds (as Cr VI)) 500 mg/l;
 - (iv) lead and/or compounds (as Pb) 500 mg/l;
 - (v) mercury and/or compounds (as Hg) 20 mg/l;
 - (vi) nickel and/or compounds (as Ni) 134 mg/1;
- (vii) selenium and/or compounds (as Se) 100 mg/l; and
- (viii) thallium and/or compounds (as T1) 130 mg/1;
 - (C) Liquid hazardous waste having a pH less that or equal to two (2.0).
 - (D) Liquid hazardous wastes containing polychlorinated biphenyls at concentrations greater that or equal to 50 ppm.
 - (E) Hazardous wastes containing halogenated organic compounds in total concentration greater that or equal to 1,000 mg/kg.

Cyanides and Metals

On December 11, 1986, the Agency proposed to codify the mimiliar applicable statutory level for cyanides and metals. Several commenters indicated that the Agency should lower the statutory levels for these constituents. Therefore, the Agency is publishing a Notice of Data Availability to seek comment on new data to support lowering the statutory level. The July 1987 final rule addresses only corrosives, PCBs, and HOCs.

TO HOCS

The proposed rule limited the HOCs of concern to those listed or identified as hazardous under 40 CFR Part 261, or listed as a hazardous constituent under Appendix VIII to Part 261. The final rule limits the HOCs of concern to those on a new Appendix III, HOCs for which analytical methods are available. The final rule specifies that non-liquid hazardous wastes containing HOCs in total concentrations greater than or equal to 1,000 mg/kg and liquid hazardous wastes containing HOCs in total concentrations greater than or equal to 10,000 mg/l must be incinerated in accordance with existing RCRA regulations. The final rule establishes a 2-year natinal capacity extension for all California list HOCs except HOC-water mixtures containing less than 10,000 mg/l HOCs.

Corrosives

The proposed rule would have codified the statutory standard and specified a treatment standard (neutralization to a pH greater that two (2.0)). The final rule codifies the statutory standard. ≤ 2 However, no treatment standard is specified for these wastes. The Agency is not granting an extension of the effective date for these wastes.

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)

On December 11, 1986, the Agency proposed to regulate liquid hazardous wastes containing PCBs at greater than 50 ppm in accordance with the TSCA regulations. However, incineration/would be required for PCBs between 50-500 ppm. The Agency is promulgating the rule essentially as proposed, except for the 2-year national capacity extension. Data indicate that there is available capacity for PCBs, except for those generated from CERCLA response action. Therefore, the Agency is promulgating a national capacity extension only for CERLA wastes. The period of the variance will extend for 16 months at which time we expect capacity to be available for these wastes.