

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Southwest District Office 13051 North Telecom Parkway Temple Terrace, FL 33637-0926 Rick Scott Governor

Carlos Lopez-Cantera Lt. Governor

> Ryan E. Matthews Interim Secretary

May 19, 2017

Mr. Steve Gugino, Branch General Manager Safety-Kleen Systems A Clean Harbors Company 5309 24th Avenue S Tampa, Florida 33619 steve.gugino@safety-kleen.com

Re: Safety-Kleen Systems - Tampa Facility

Hazardous Waste Inspection Report Facility ID Number: FLD980847271

Hillsborough County

Dear Mr. Gugino:

Department personnel conducted a compliance inspection of the above-referenced facility on April 19, 2017. Based on the information provided during/following the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records and any non-compliance items which may have been identified at the time of the inspection have been corrected.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Roger Evans at (813) 470-5867, or via e-mail at roger.evans@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Richard Vaughn Environmental Manager

Compliance Assurance Program

Southwest District

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Enclosures: Inspection Report

cc: Jeff Curtis, EHS Manager, Safety-Kleen Systems, jeff.curtis@safety-kleen.com
Gerry Javier, Hillsborough County EPC, SQG Program, javierg@epchc.org
Richard Vaughn, FDEP-Southwest District, richard.vaughn@dep.state.fl.us
Roger Evans, FDEP-Southwest District, roger.evans@dep.state.fl.us
Swd Clerical, swd_clerical@dep.state.fl.us



Florida Department of

Environmental Protection

Hazardous Waste Inspection Report

FACILITY INFORMATION:

Facility Name: Safety-Kleen Systems Inc

On-Site Inspection Start Date: 04/19/2017 On-Site Inspection End Date: 04/19/2017

ME ID#: 1792 **EPA ID#**: FLD980847271

Facility Street Address: 5309 24th Ave S, Tampa, FL 33619-5368 **Contact Mailing Address:** 5309 24th Ave S, Tampa, FL 33619-5368

County Name: HILLSBOROUGH

NOTIFIED AS:

LQG (>1000 kg/month)

TSD Facility

Transfer Facility

Transporter

Used Oil

INSPECTION TYPE:

Routine Inspection for TSD Facility facility

INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS:

Principal Inspector: Roger Evans, Inspector

Other Participants: Steve Gugino, Branch General Manager; Chris Abel, Lead Material Handler; Domenic

LetoBarone, Environmental Specialist; Leslie Pedigo, Environmental Specialist

LATITUDE / LONGITUDE: Lat 27° 55′ 33.9629″ / Long 82° 23′ 39.6154″

SIC CODE: 4212 - Trans. & utilities - local trucking, without storage

TYPE OF OWNERSHIP: Private

Introduction:

Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc., (SK) was inspected on April 19, 2017, to determine the facility's compliance with state and federal hazardous waste regulations. The Department last conducted a hazardous waste inspection of this facility in August 2015, and has inspected the facility many times in the past. The inspectors were accompanied during the inspection by Steve Gugino, Branch General Manager, and Chris Abel, Lead Material Handler.

Process Description:

SK is a permitted hazardous waste storage and recycling facility. The facility also sells and leases out various parts cleaning machines to its customers and provides servicing of these machines. Core business lines include parts cleaners, immersion cleaners and paint gun cleaners, as well as aqueous cleaners (brake and parts cleaners). In addition, SK collects used oil and used oil filters, spent mercury-containing lamps and spent antifreeze (ethylene glycol) from its customers. The company operates a hazardous waste transfer facility that receives wastes from its customers for consolidation and shipment to other facilities. All hazardous wastes received or generated at the facility are stored in containers or tanks. Water and sewer services are provided by the City of Tampa.

The facility has not changed operations since the previous inspection. Other than material handling, the major process taking place at the facility is drum cleaning.

Return/Fill Station Area

The return and fill area, located between the North and South buildings, is where drivers pick up the clean solvents in the morning for delivery to customers and, in the evening, drop off spent solvent picked up from the customers that day. Parts washer solvent from customers participating in SK's Continued Use Program (CUP) is used to clean returned drums at the SK facility, and as such, is still a viable "product" when picked up from the customer. CUP solvent is placed into a dedicated 200-gallon "continuous use" vat which is piped to one of two drum washers/wet dumpsters where it is spritzed onto brushes during the drum cleaning process. Waste generated from the dumping and washing of drums in these dumpsters is placed in 55-gallon satellite containers. According to Mr. Gugino the company is planning on discontinuing the CUP for their customers, however waste parts solvent will continue to be used by SK to clean drums. At the time of the inspection, there was a satellite drum accumulating facility derived debris (solids). The drum was closed and labeled as a hazardous waste. The secondary containment in this area appeared to be clean, dry and intact. Two 6-yard containers containing aqueous parts washer waste (from the soap and water machines) were observed at the loading dock. The containers were almost full. Mr. Gugino indicated this waste will be pumped into their vacuum truck and taken to Clarke Environmental for processing.

Tank Farm

After cleaning of the drums, the spent solvent is pumped to the facility's hazardous waste storage tank, which is located at the facility's secondary tank farm. There are three tanks located within the tank farm area: a Clean 150 Mineral Spirits tank, a Used Oil tank, and a Dirty Parts Washer Solvent (hazardous waste) tank. All three tanks appear to be in good working condition and all were properly labeled. The tank farm is enclosed to minimize rain water in the secondary containment area. At the time of the inspection it was observed that the fabric cover was torn at two areas (the top of the north and south sections). Mr. Gugino indicated this had been reported to corporate and a replacement cover should be installed in the next three to four months. The secondary containment area was void of any liquid except for a small amount of liquid observed in the sump located at the northwest corner. There is an alarm sensor monitor within the secondary containment area for alerting personnel if liquid is accumulating in the tank farm containment.

Used oil is typically transported to the CSX yard and loaded on to railcars for shipment to an out-of-state SK facility for re-refining. If needed they will use the used oil tank on-site for temporary storage of used oil.

North Storage Building (Non-Flammable Storage Area)

The Non-Flammable Storage Area is being used for their empty drum inventory (west wall). No hazardous waste was observed in this area. On the east wall of the building in a caged area for the storage of new lube oil which, per facility personnel, was twice refined (motor and hydraulic). The facility began selling this oil (cases -quart size, 5-gallon buckets, and 55-gallon drums) to their customers at the end of October 2016.

South Storage Building (Non-Flammable Terminated and Transfer Waste Storage Area)

The Non-Flammable Terminated and Transfer Waste Storage Area in the south side of the building was being used to store pulled machines/equipment that will get shipped to South Lexington, SC to their distribution center to be either re-furbished or disposed of. All transfer drums within this area were stored within the 10-day transfer limit. The oldest drum observed was dated 4//11/17. Aisle space between pallets containing waste were maintained. Several pallets containing waste were being staged for transportation in front of the dock area.

Used oil filters are also managed in this area. The drums of used oil filters are now consolidated into used oil filter bins (each bin holds approximately six 55-gallon drums of filters). The filters are sent for processing at Oil Filter Recovery (OFR) in Ocala, Florida. The maximum storage volume of 41,220 gallons (equivalent to 750 55-gallon drums) for this area was not exceeded. No container leaks or spills were observed. Secondary containment trenches were all clean and dry.

South Storage Building (Flammable and Transfer Waste Storage Area)

This area contained waste and product storage. New products were stored against the north and south sides of the room. One box of spent 8-foot fluorescent lamps and one poly drum of spent sodium bulbs was observed. The box and drum was closed and properly labeled. Waste containers are located in the center of this room. No container leaks or spills were observed. All waste containers observed in this area were properly labeled and dated. Transfer waste were all less than 10-days. The maximum storage volume of 12,749 gallons (equivalent to 232 55-gallon drums) for this area was not exceeded. Aisle space between pallets containing waste were maintained. Secondary containment trench was clean and dry.

Spill response equipment was adequate and in place. Fire extinguishers located throughout the building were

charged and last pressure tested in June 2016. All extinguishers are visually checked monthly (most recently on 4/7/17). Eyewash/shower stations were operational and last checked by facility personnel on 4/7/17.

The facility utilizes two forklifts which are powered by propane. A third party, Florida Lift, maintains the forklifts.

Records Review

Inspections are logged daily in accordance with the facility's permit, except for non-operating days such as weekends and national holidays. Inspection records reviewed included Daily Storage Tank System/Containment Area (Tank Dike), Daily Container Storage Areas, Daily Container Storage Areas Totals, Daily Inspection of Continued Use, Daily Forklift and Powered Industrial Truck Inspection, and Weekly Inspection of Safety & Emergency Equipment, Security Devices and miscellaneous equipment. Logs reviewed from 4/18/16 – 4/7/17 were maintained and complete, with exception of the Daily Inspection of Container Storage Area (11/17/16, Page 2 of 3) was not completed and records for week of 12/5/16 through 12/9/16 was missing. These inspections were misfiled and the facility provided the missing logs during the inspection.

The facility's contingency plan was last amended on 11/20/14, when Steve Gugino was made the primary emergency coordinator. Arrangements to familiarize the local emergency response authorities (fire department, police, and local hospital) were sent on 11/21/14. On Page 16 of the plan the State Warning Point and DEP Southwest District telephone numbers were not current and required updating. These telephone numbers were updated during the inspection. The emergency contact list was posted near the phones within the facility. Documentation to show the annual review of the Contingency Plan was performed, in accordance with Permit Condition Part II Subpart A, Item 5.k., could not be located. On 4/20/17 the facility provided an email indicating that they complete a review during the annual Facility Management Inspection on 8/24/16, and moving forward it will be documented and kept on file.

Training records, including position descriptions and job titles were available and up to date. Records indicate the last RCRA site specific training was conducted in 11/14/16, and the 8-Hr Annual Hazwoper Refresher as of 2015 is conducted in one hour modules done monthly for a total of 12 modules/12 hours.

A random sample of inbound and outbound manifests were reviewed from 1/2016 – 3/2017. All records reviewed were properly completed and readily available. The 2015 Biennial Report was completed on 3/1/2016 and submitted to the Department. The Waste Minimization Plan was signed on 3/21/17 by Steve Gugino.

New Potential Violations and Areas of Concern:

Violations

Type: Violation

Rule: 403.727(1)(c)

Explanation: Permit Condition Part II Subpart A, Item 5.k requires the permittee to perform, at a

minimum, an annual review of the Contingency Plan to ensure that it is up to date and

contains current information. (Corrected)

Corrective Action: Document the Contingency Plan is reviewed annually.

Conclusion:

At the time of the inspection, Safety-Kleen Tampa, Inc., was not operating in compliance with state and federal hazardous waste regulations governing treatment, storage, and disposal facilities. The facility returned to compliance after the inspection.

4.0 - Large Quantity Generator Checklist

Requirements:

The requirements listed in this section provide an opportunity for the Department's inspector to indicate the conditions found at the time of the inspection. A "Not Ok" response to a requirement indicates either a potential violation of the corresponding rule or an area of concern that requires more attention. Both potential violations and areas of concern are discussed further at the end of this inspection report.

Item No.	40 CFR 262 Subpart A - General Standards	Yes	No	N/A
4.1	Has the facility properly identified all hazardous waste streams? 262.11	~		
4.2	Did the facility obtain an EPA ID Number prior to treating, storing, disposing, or transporting hazardous waste? 262.12(a)			
4.4	If YES, did the facility meet an exclusion or exemption from hazardous waste permit requirements? 268.7(a)(5), 62-730.240(1)	~		
Item No.	40 CFR 262 Subpart B The Manifest	Yes	No	N/A
4.21	Did the facility use a properly completed manifest for all its hazardous waste shipments? (Check items below that are not in compliance) 262.20(a)(1) tem 1. Generator's U.S. EPA Identification Number Item 2. Page 1 of "X" (total number of pages used to complete the manifest) Item 3. Emergency Response Phone Number Item 4. Manifest Tracking Number Item 5. Generator's Mailing Address, Phone Number and Site Address Item 6. Transporter 1 Company Name & U.S. EPA ID Number Item 7. Transporter 2 Company Name & U.S. EPA ID Number Item 8. Designated Facility Name, Site Address, Phone Number, and U.S. EPA ID Number Item 9. U.S. DOT Description (Including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class or Division, Identification Number and Packing Group. Item 10. Containers (Number and Type) Item 11. Total Quantity (Round to nearest whole unit; container capacities are not acceptable as estimates) Item 12. Units of Measure (Weight/Volume) Item 13. Waste Codes. Enter up to 6 of the most representative waste codes. Item 14. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information Item 15. Generator's / Offeror's Certifications Item 16. International Shipments (Import or Export must be noted) Item 17. Transporter's Acknowledgment of Receipt (printed name, signature, date of receipt) Item 18. Discrepancy (Discrepancies between waste described on manifest and waste received by facility) Item 19. Hazardous Waste Report Management Codes (On returned copies only)	~		
4.22	name, signature, date of receipt) Did the facility designate on the manifest one facility which is permitted to handle the waste	~		
	described on the manifest? 262.20(b)			
4.23	Did the generator sign the manifest certification by hand? 262.23(a)(1)			
4.24	Did the generator obtain the handwritten signature of the initial transporter and date of acceptance on the manifest? 262.23(a)(2)			
4.25	Did the generator retain one copy of the manifest for 3 years or until a copy of the signed manifest was received from the Designated Facility (TSD)? 262.23(a)(3)			
4.26	For any bulk shipments within the U.S. solely by water did the generator provide 3 copies of the signed and dated manifest to the Designated Facility? 262.23.(c)	>		

Item	40 CFR 262 Subpart B The Manifest	Yes	No	N/A
No.				
4.27	For rail shipments originating at the site of generation did the generator provide at least 3 signed and dated manifests to one of the entities below: (Check items below that are not in compliance)	~		
	262.23 <u>(d)</u>			
	The next non-rail transporter?			
	The Designated Facility if transported solely by rail?			
1.00	The last rail transporter to handle the waste in the U.S. if exported by rail?	0.7		
4.28	If the generator did not receive a signed return copy of the manifest from the designated facility within 35 days of shipment, did the generator contact the transporter and/or designated facility? 262.42(a)(1)			
4.29	If the generator did not receive a signed return copy of the manifest from the designated facility within 45 days of shipment, did the generator file an exception report? 262.42(a)(2)	<		
4.30	If an exception report was submitted did it include a legible copy of manifest? 262.42(a)(2)(i)	>		
4.31	If an exception report was submitted did it include a cover letter signed by the generator explaining efforts taken to locate the waste and the results of those efforts? 262.42(a)(2)(ii)	~		
4.32	Did the generator maintain manifests for 3 years? 262.40(a)	>		
4.34	If YES, did the generator meet the requirements of 40 CFR 262.23(f)? 262.23(f)	>		
Item	40 CFR 262 Subpart C Pre Transport Requirements	Yes	No	N/A
No.				
4.35	Before transporting or offering hazardous waste for transport off-site, did the generator package the waste in accordance with 49 CFR parts 173, 178, and 179? 262.30	~		
4.36	Before transporting or offering hazardous waste for transport off-site, did the generator label each package in accordance with 49 CFR part 172? 262.31	~		
4.37	Before transporting or offering hazardous waste for transport off-site, did the generator mark each	~		
4.38	package in accordance with 49 CFR part 172? 262.32(a) Before transporting or offering hazardous waste for transport off-site, did the generator mark each	>		
	container of 119 gallons or less with the following? (Check items below that are not in compliance) 262.32(b)			
	Generator's Name and Address?			
	Generator's EPA ID Number?			
	Manifest Tracking Number?			
4.39	Before transporting or offering hazardous waste for transport off-site, did the generator offer the initial Transporter the appropriate DOT Placards? 262.33	~		
Item	40 CFR 262 Subpart C Accumulation Requirements	Yes	No	N/A
No.				
4.42	Did the generator comply with the 90 day accumulation time limit or was granted an extension of up	. 84		
	to 30 days? 262.34(b)	~		
4.43	If a 90-day accumulation area was closed, did the generator meet the closure performance standards of 40 CFR 265.111? 265.111	>		
4.44	If a 90-day accumulation area was closed, did the generator meet the disposal and decontamination standards of 40 CFR 265.114? 265.114	٧		
4.45	Has the generator clearly marked the accumulation start date on each hazardous waste container? 262.34(a)(2)	>		
4.46	Has the generator ensured the accumulation start date is visible for inspection on each hazardous waste container? 262.34(a)(2)	>		
4.47	Has the generator ensured each hazardous waste container and tank is labeled or marked clearly with the words "Hazardous Waste"? 262.34(a)(3)	~		
4.49	Are satellite containers at, or near, the point of generation where wastes initially accumulate? 262.34(c)(1)	~		
4.50	Are satellite containers under the control of the operator of the process generating the waste? 262.34(c)(1)	~		
4.51	Are satellite containers in good condition? (Check for leaks, corrosion, dents, bulges, etc.) 262.34(c)(1)(i), 265.171	>		
4.52	Are satellite containers in use made of, or lined with, materials that are compatible with the hazardous waste to be stored? 262.34(c)(1)(i), 265.172			
4.53	Does the generator keep satellite containers closed during storage, except when adding or removing waste? 262.34(c)(1)(i), 265.173(a)	~		
4.54	Has the generator marked satellite containers either with the words "Hazardous Waste" or with other words that identify the contents of the containers? 262.34(c)(1)(ii)	>		
4.56	If YES, within 3 days did the generator mark an accumulation start date on the excess waste	~		
	container? 262.34(a)(2)			l

Item No.	40 CFR 262 Subpart C Accumulation Requirements	Yes	No	N/A
4.57	If YES, within 3 days did the generator label the excess waste container with the words "Hazardous Waste"? 262.34(a)(3)	~		
Item No.	40 CFR 265 Subpart I Use and Management of Containers		No	N/A
4.58	Does the generator use hazardous waste containers that are in good condition? (Check for leaks, corrosion, dents, bulges, etc.) 265.171			
4.59	Does the generator use hazardous waste containers that are made of, or lined with, materials compatible with the hazardous waste to be stored? 265.172	<		
4.60	Has the generator keep hazardous waste containers closed during storage, except when adding or removing waste? 265.173(a)	<		
4.61	Does the generator ensure hazardous waste containers are not opened, handled, or stored in a manner that may rupture the container or cause it to leak? 265.173(b)	<		
4.62	Does the generator conduct weekly inspections of areas where hazardous waste containers are stored? (Sometime during calendar week) 265.174	<		
4.63	Does the generator properly document the weekly inspections? 62-730.160(5)	^		
4.65	Does the generator ensure ignitable and/or reactive wastes are not stored closer than 50 feet to the facility's property line? 265.176	~		
4.66	If the facility places incompatible wastes, or incompatible waste and materials in the same container, is it done in compliance with 40 CFR 265.17(b)? 265.177(a), 265.17(b)	~		
4.67	If the facility places hazardous waste in an unwashed container that previously held incomplatible wastes or materials, is it done in compliance with 40 CFR 265.17(b)? 265.177(b), 265.17(b)	~		
4.68	Are containers holding a hazardous waste that are stored near incompatible waste or other materials protected from that waste or material (kept apart)? 265.177(c)	~		
Item No.	40 CFR 265.16 Personnel Training	Yes	No	N/A
4.69	Does the generator ensure facility personnel complete hazardous waste training, either on-the-job or	~		
4.70	classroom instruction? 265.16(a)(1) Is the trainer adequately trained in hazardous waste management procedures? 265.16(a)(2)			
4.71	Does the generator include instruction on hazardous waste management procedures, including contingency plan implementation, relevant to employee position? 265.16(a)(2)	~		
4.72	Is the training program designed to ensure facility personnel respond effectively to emergencies and did not fail to cover emergency procedures and equipment? 265.16(a)(3)	~		
4.73	Does the generator conduct training within 6 months of hire or within 6 months of an employee moving to a new position that requires training? 265.16(b)	~		
4.74	Does the facility ensure employees do not work unsupervised prior to receiving training? 265.16(b)	~		
4.75	Does the generator review training annually, at least once each calendar year? 265.16(c)	~		
4.76	Does the generator maintain documentation of job titles and name of person filling the job for positions related to hazardous waste management? 265.16(d)(1)	^		
4.77	Does the generator maintain written job descriptions for personnel in positions involving hazardous waste management? 265.16(d)(2)	~		
4.78	Does the generator maintain a written description of the type and amount of both introductory and continuing training provided to each employee? 265.16(d)(3)	~		
4.79	Does the generator maintain documentation that the training or job experience required has been given to, and completed by, facility personnel? 265.16(d)(4)	~		
4.80	Does the generator maintain personnel training records for current employees until closure of facility? 265.16(e)	~		
4.81	Does the generator maintain personnel training records for former employees for 3 years after their resignation or reassignment? 265.16(e)	~		
Item No.	40 CFR 265 Subpart C Preparedness and Prevention	Yes	No	N/A
4.82	Is the facility maintained and operated to minimize the possibility of a fire, explosion, or any unplanned sudden, or non-sudden release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents to air, soil, or surface water? 265.31	>		
4.83	Does the facility provide or maintain an internal communications or alarm system capable of providing immediate emergency instruction to personnel? 265.32(a)	~		
4.84	Does the facility provide a telephone, alarm, 2-way radio or other device at the scene of operations immediately available and capable of summoning assistance? 265.32(b)	<		
4.85	Does the facility provide and maintain portable fire extinguishers, fire control equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment? 265.32(c)	<		

Item No.	40 CFR 265 Subpart C Preparedness and Prevention	Yes	No	N/A
4.86	Does the facility provide and maintain water at adequate volume and pressure available to supply waterhose streams, foam producing equipment, automatic sprinklers, or water spray systems? 265.32(d)	~		
4.87	Does the facility test and maintain, as necessary, communications, alarm systems, fire protection equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment? 265.33			
4.88	When hazardous waste is being handled, does the facility ensure all personnel involved have immediate access to an internal alarm or communication device? 265.34(a)	٧		
4.89	If only one employee is on premises while the facility is operating, does the facility ensure the employee has immediate access to a telephone or 2-way radio to summon external assistance? 265.34(b)	\		
4.90	Does the facility maintain adequate aisle space to allow unobstructed movement of facility personnel and emergency equipment to any area of the facility in an emergency? 265.35	^		
4.91	Has the facility attempted to make arrangements to familiarize police, fire departments, and emergency response teams with the facility's operations? 265.37(a)(1)	٧		
4.92	Where more than one police or fire department may respond, has the facility designated a primary emergency police and/or fire authority? 265.37(a)(2)	~		
4.93	Has the facility attempted to make arrangements with State emergency response teams, emergency response contractors, and equipment suppliers? 265.37(a)(3)	~		
4.94	Has the facility attempted to familiarize local hospitals with the properties of hazardous waste handled and the types of injuries that could result? 265.37(a)(4)	~		
4.95	If State or local authorities have declined to enter into arrangements, has the facility document this refusal in the operation record? 265.37(b)	~		
Item No.	40 CFR 265 Subpart D Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures	Yes	No	N/A
4.96	Does the facility have a contingency plan? 265.51(a)	~		
4.97	In the event of a fire, explosion, or release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents did	~		
4.99	the facility implement the contingency plan implemented immediately? 265.51(b) Fires? 265.52(a)	~		
4.100	Explosions? 265.52(a)	~		
4.101	Unplanned sudden or non-sudden release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents to air, soil, or surface water at the facility? 265.52(a)	~		
4.102	Is the contingency plan part of a modified Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan? 265.52(b)	>		
4.103	Does the plan describe arrangements agreed to by local police, fire departments, hospitals, contractors, and emergency response teams? 265.52(c)	~		
4.104	Does the plan list names, addresses (office & home), and phone numbers (office & home) of emergency coordinator(s)? 265.52(d)	~		
4.105	Does the plan identify the primary emergency coordinator and list alternates in order the they will assume responsibility? 265.52(d)	~		
4.106	Does the plan include a list of all emergency equipment at the facility, its location, a physical description of each item and an outline of its capabilities? 265.52(e)	~		
4.107	Does the plan include an evacuation plan and describe signals to begin evacuation, evacuation routes, and alternate evacuation routes? 265.52(f)	~		
4.108	Does the facility maintain a copy of the contingency plan and any revisions at the facility? 265.53(a)	~		
4.109	Has the facility submitted the contingency plan to local police departments, fire departments, hospitals, and State and local emergency response teams? 265.53(b)	>		
4.110	Has the facility updated the contingency plan with changes in emergency coordinators, facility design, construction, or operations, emergency equipment, plan failure in an emergency, or applicable regulations? 265.54	>		
4.111	Has the facility designated an emergency coordinator either on premises or on call who is able to reach the facility in a short period of time and able to commit funds for incident response? 265.55	~		
4.112	In the event of an imminent or actual emergency situation, did the emergency coordinator follow the emergency procedures outlined in 40 CFR 265.56? 265.56	~		
Item No.	Record Keeping and Reporting	Yes	No	N/A
4.113	If the contingency plan has been implemented, did the owner or operator submit a written report to the Department within 15 days documenting the incident? 265.56(i)	~		
4.114	Does the generator keep records of any test results, waste analyses, or other determinations made in accordance with 40 CFR 262.11 for 3 years from the date the waste was last shipped off-site? 262.40(c)	>		
4.115	Has the generator submitted a biennial report by March 1 of each even numbered year covering activities during the previous year? 262.41(a)	~		
4.116	Does the generator maintain a copy of the biennial report for at least 3 years from the due date of the report? 262.40(b)	>		

Item No.	Record Keeping and Reporting	Yes	No	N/A
4.118	If YES, did the generator provide EPA with notification of the intended export 60 days before the initial shipment was inteneded to be shipped off-site? 262.53(a)	~		
4.120	If YES, did the generator meet all of the requirements of 40 CFR 262.60? 262.60	<		
Item No.	40 CFR Part 268 Land Disposal Restrictions	Yes	No	N/A
4.5	Does the facility ensure restricted waste streams are not diluted as a substitute for treatment? 268.3(a)	^		
4.7	Has the generator developed a waste analysis plan (WAP) describing procedures they will carry out to comply with the treatment standards? 268.7(a)(5)	~		
4.8	If the generator has a WAP, is it based on a detailed chemical and physical analysis of the prohibited waste(s) being treated? 268.7(a)(5)(i)	~		
4.9	If the generator has a WAP, does it include all the information necessary to treat the waste(s), including selected testing frequency? 268.7(a)(5)(i)			
4.10	Is the waste analysis plan in the facility's on-site files and available to inspectors? 268.7(a)(5)(ii)			
4.11	Did the generator comply with the notification requirements of 268.7(a)(3) for treated wastes shipped off-site? 268.7(a)(5)(iii)	\		
4.12	Has the generator determined all applicable hazardous waste codes associated with hazardous waste generated? 268.9(a)	<		
4.13	If the waste is characteristic hazardous waste (and not D001 nonwastewater treated by CMBST, RORGS, or POLYM of 268.42 Table 1) did the generator identify reasonably expected underlying hazardous constituents? 268.9(a)	<		
4.14	If the hazardous waste is land disposed, did it meet the treatment standard requirements of 268.40? 268.40(a)	~		
4.15	If the waste or contaminated soil does not meet the treatment standards did the generator send a one-time written notice to the TSD containing all required information? 268.7(a)(2)	~		
4.16	If the generator choses not to determine if the waste meets the treatment standards did the generator send a one-time written notice to the TSD containing all required information? 268.7(a)(2)	nerator choses not to determine if the waste meets the treatment standards did the		
4.17	If the waste or contaminated soil met the treatment standards did the generator send a one-time written notice to the TSD containing all required information? 268.7(a)(3)	^		
4.18	Did the generator retain on-site a copy of all notices, certifications, waste analysis data, and other documentation produced for at least 3 years from the date the waste was last shipped? 268.7(a)(8)	<		
4.20	Did the generator meet the requirements identified in 268.7(a)(9) for use of the alternative treatment standards for lab packs? 268.7(a)(9)	1		

Signed:

A hazardous waste compliance inspection was conducted on this date, to determine your facility's compliance with applicable portions of Chapters 403 & 376, F.S., and Chapters 62-710, 62-730, 62-737, & 62-740 Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Portions of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) 260 - 279 have been adopted by reference in the state rules under Chapters 62-730 and 62-710, F.A.C.

Roger Evans	Inspector			
PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR NAME	PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR TITLE			
Thom:				
/(JLO»	DEP	05/12/2017		
PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR SIGNATURE	ORGANIZATION	DATE		
Chris Abel	Lead Material Handler			
Representative NAME	Representative TITLE			
	Safety-Kleen			
	ORGANIZATION			
NOTE: By signing this document, the Site Rep Report and is not admitting to the accuracy of Violations" or areas of concern.				
Leslie Pedigo	Environmental Specialist			
Representative NAME	Representative TITLE			
	DEP			
	ORGANIZATION			
NOTE: By signing this document, the Site Rep Report and is not admitting to the accuracy of Violations" or areas of concern.				
Steve Gugino	Branch General Manager			
Representative NAME	Representative TITLE			
	Safety-Kleen			
	ORGANIZATION			

NOTE: By signing this document, the Site Representative only acknowledges receipt of this Inspection Report and is not admitting to the accuracy of any of the items identified by the Department as "Potential Violations" or areas of concern.

Signed:

A hazardous waste compliance inspection was conducted on this date, to determine your facility's compliance with applicable portions of Chapters 403 & 376, F.S., and Chapters 62-710, 62-730, 62-737, & 62-740 Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Portions of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) 260 - 279 have been adopted by reference in the state rules under Chapters 62-730 and 62-710, F.A.C.

Domenic LetoBarone		Environmental Specialist		
Representative NAME		Representative TITLE		
		DEP		
		ORGANIZATION	_	
Report and is		Representative only acknowledges receipt of the of any of the items identified by the Departmen		
Report Appr	overs:			
Approver:	Richard M Vaughn	Inspection Approval Date:	05/18/2017	