Violator's Name: North Florida Shipyards (EPA ID \#FLD 093598 548)
Address:
2060 E. Adams Street, Jacksonville, FL 32202
Name of Department Staff Responsible for the Penalty Computations:
Luke S. Lewis
Date:
10/5/2018

PART I - PENALTY DETERMINATIONS

|  | Violation Type | RCRA <br> Guideline | ELRA <br> Schedule | ENVIRON- <br> MENTAL <br> Harm | Extent of Dev. | Matrix <br> Amount | Adjustments | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 CFR 279.22(c): Used oil containers not labeled "Used Oil." | UO146 |  | $\begin{gathered} 3+2+0+1+0=6 \\ \text { Minor } \end{gathered}$ | Always Major | \$850 |  | \$850 |
|  | 40 CFR 262.17(a)(5)(i)(C): Containers did not have accumulation start dates. | HW4 |  | $\begin{gathered} 4+5+0+1+0=10 \\ \text { Minor } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Major for no shipments offsite | \$3,190 |  | \$3,190 |
| 3* | 62-730.160(4), FAC: Inadequate aisle space. | HW15 |  | $\begin{gathered} 4+8+0+1+0=13 \\ \text { Moderate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Major for 3 deep or more | \$10,000* |  | \$10,000* |
| 4 | 40 CFR 262.17(a): <br> More than 90 days without shipment. | HW217 |  | $\begin{gathered} 4+8+0+1+0=13 \\ \text { Moderate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Moderate for 30-180 days over accum. time | \$9,210 | \$384 | \$9,594 |
| 5 | 40 CFR 262.17(a)(5)(i)(A): <br> Container not properly labeled. | HW5 |  | $\begin{gathered} 4+2+0+1+0=7 \\ \text { Minor } \end{gathered}$ | Minor for 0$10 \%$ not labeled | \$430 |  | \$430 |
| 6 | 40 CFR 279.22(d): <br> Used oil releases. | UO140 |  | $\begin{gathered} 3+2+8+2+0=15 \\ \quad \text { Moderate } \end{gathered}$ | $\underline{\text { Always Major }}$ | \$3,900 | \$87 | \$3,987 |
| 7 | 40 CFR 262.15(a)(4): <br> Satellite containers not kept closed. | HW10 |  | $\begin{gathered} 4+2+0+1+0=7 \\ \text { Minor } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underline{\text { Always Major }}$ | \$3,190 |  | \$3,190 |
| 8 | 40 CFR 262.15(a)(5): Satellite containers not properly labeled. | HW6 |  | Always Minor | $\underline{\text { Always Minor }}$ | combined with \#5 |  | \$0 |
| 9 | 40 CFR 273.13(d)(1): <br> Container of universal waste was not kept closed. | UW37 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor for no } \\ & \text { release } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minor for }<10 \\ \text { bulbs } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | combined with \#7 |  | \$0 |
|  | 40 CFR 273.14(e): <br> Container of universal waste was not properly labeled. | UW38 |  | Always Minor | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minor for }<10 \\ \text { bulbs } \end{gathered}$ | combined with \#5 |  | \$0 |
| 11 | 62-710.401(6), FAC: <br> Used oil containers not on secondary con./open con. | UO144 |  | $\begin{gathered} 3+8+0+1+0=12 \\ \text { Minor } \end{gathered}$ | Always Major | \$850 | \$105 | \$955 |
|  | 40 CFR 262.42(a)(2): <br> No signed return copy or exception report. | HW113 |  | $\begin{gathered} 4+5+0+1+0=10 \\ \text { Minor } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Moderate for LQG to fail to file | \$1,420 |  | \$1,420 |



Adjustments

| Good faith prior to discovery: |  | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification: |  |  |
| Good faith after discovery: |  | \$0 |
| Justification: |  |  |
| History of non-compliance: |  | \$0 |
| Justification: |  |  |
| Economic benefit of non-compliance: | Refer to descriptions and calculations below. | \$3,481.20 |
| Justification: | Refer to descriptions and calculations below. |  |
| Ability to pay: |  | \$0 |
| Justification: |  |  |
|  | Total Adjustments: | \$3,481.20 |

$\mathrm{EB}=\mathrm{AC}(1-\mathrm{T})+\mathrm{DC}(\mathrm{I})=\mathbf{2 , 8 4 4}+\mathbf{\$ 3 8 4}+\mathbf{\$ 8 7}+\mathbf{\$ 1 0 5}+\mathbf{\$ 2 3 . 7 0}+\mathbf{3 7 . 5 0}=\mathbf{\$ 3 , 4 8 1 . 2 0}$
$\mathrm{AC}=$ Avoided Costs - expenditures nullified by violator's failure to comply (Operating and Maintenance costs)
DC = Delayed Costs - expenditures deferred by violator's failure to comply (Capital Costs)
$\mathrm{T}=$ Corporate Tax Rate $=21 \%$ (in 2018)
$\mathrm{I}=$ Interest rate charged by IRS for delinquent accounts $=3 \%($ in 2018 $)$

## Avoided Costs (AC) for Violation 13:

Violation 13: The facility managed HW shop rags as non-HW oily shop rags \& evaporated a small amount of liquid paint. Cost estimate of properly disposing of HW shop rags: $\$ 300$ for one 55 -gallon drum of HW shop rags every 90 days for 3 years $=4$ drums x 3yrs $\mathrm{x} \$ 300=\$ 3,600$. Facility added paint in open containers to existing HW drum.
$\mathbf{A C}=\mathbf{\$ 3 , 6 0 0}(\mathbf{0 . 7 9})=\mathbf{\$ 2 , 8 4 4}$

## Delayed Costs (DC) for Violations 4, 6, 11, 14, 15 and 17:

Violation 4: The facility had 32 drums of HW that had accumulated for $>90$ days in the HWAA. Cost estimate of properly managing 32 55-gallon drums: $\$ 400$ per drum (avg cost estimated) x $32=\$ 12,800 \quad$ DC $=\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 8 0 0 ( 0 . 0 3 )}=\mathbf{\$ 3 8 4}$
Violations 6, 15: The facility did not cleanup 3 used oil (UO) spills, a spill of spent diesel \& a spill of spent blast media. Cost estimate of inhouse labor to cleanup \& properly manage waste from 5 releases: UO - $\$ 250$ labor x 3 spill sites $=\$ 750 ; \$ 300$ disposal per non-HW drum x 6 drums $=\$ 1,800$. Other spills $-\$ 25$ labor x 2 sites $=\$ 50 ; \$ 150$ per $1 / 2$ drum disposal x $2=\$ 300$. Sum: $\$ 750+$ $\$ 1,800+\$ 50+\$ 300=\$ 2,900 \quad$ DC $=\mathbf{\$ 2 , 9 0 0}(\mathbf{0 . 0 3})=\$ 87$
Violation 11: The facility did not have secondary containment for 11 drums $\& 4$ totes of UO. Cost estimate for secondary containment for 11 drums and four totes: $\$ 300$ per 4 -drum spill pallet x $3=\$ 900 ; \$ 1,300$ per 2-tote spill pallet $\times 2=\$ 2,600$. Sum: $\$ 900+\$ 2,600=\$ 3,500 \quad \mathbf{D C}=\mathbf{\$ 3 , 5 0 0}(\mathbf{0 . 0 3})=\mathbf{\$ 1 0 5}$
Violation 14: The facility did not make HW determinations on spent antifreeze or two 55-gallon drums of waste in a solid waste dumpster. Other waste listed in report had existing waste profiles for disposal. Cost estimate: Antifreeze - TCLP analysis shown in report $=\$ 130+\$ 210+40=\$ 380$; Waste in dumpster - TCLP analysis shown in report $=\$ 130 \times 2$ drums $=\$ 260$; Sampling Labor $=$ $\$ 150 . \quad \mathbf{D C}=\mathbf{\$ 3 8 0}+\mathbf{\$ 2 6 0}+\mathbf{1 5 0}=\mathbf{\$ 7 9 0}(\mathbf{0 . 0 3})=\mathbf{2 3 . 7 0}$
Violation 17: The facility did not have required equipment near drums of HW in 2 areas. Cost estimate of 2 eyewash stations, a fire extinguisher and 2 spill kits: $\$ 50$ per eyewash x $2=\$ 100 ; \$ 500$ per spill kit x $2=\$ 1,000 ; 1$ fire extinguisher $\$ 150$. Sum: $\$ 100+$ $\$ 1,000+\$ 150=\$ 1,250 \quad$ DC $=\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 5 0}(\mathbf{0 . 0 3})=\mathbf{\$ 3 7 . 5 0}$

## MULTI-DAY PENALTIES

Number of days adjustment factor(s) to be applied:
Justification:
Or
Number of days matrix amount is to be multiplied:
Justification:

| PART III - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS MADE AFTER MEETING WITH THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY |
| :--- |
| ADJUSTMENT |
| Relative merits of the case: |
| Resource Considerations: |
| Other Justification: |

