


## PART II - MULTI-DAY PENALTIES AND ADJUSTMENTS

| Adjustments |  | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good faith prior to discovery: |  | \$0 |
| Justification: |  |  |
| Good faith after discovery: |  | \$0 |
| Justification: |  |  |
| History of non-compliance: |  | \$0 |
| Justification: |  |  |
| Economic benefit of non compliance: | Refer descriptions and calculations below. | \$3,481.20 |
| Justification: | Refer to descriptions and calculations below. |  |
| Ability to pay: |  | \$0 |
| Justification: |  |  |
|  | Total Adjustments: | \$3.481.20 |

$\mathbf{E B}=\mathbf{A C}(\mathbf{1 - T})+\mathbf{D C}(\mathbf{I})=\$ 2,844+\$ 384+\$ 87+\$ 105+\$ 23.70+\$ 37.50=\$ 3,481.20$
$\mathrm{AC}=$ Avoided Costs - expenditures nullified by violator's failure to comply (Operating and Maintenance costs)
$\mathrm{DC}=$ Delayed Costs - expenditures deferred by violator's failure to comply (Capital Costs)
$\mathrm{T}=$ Corporate Tax Rate $=21 \%$ (in 2018)
$I=$ Interest rate charged by IRS for delinquent accounts $=3 \%($ in 2018 $)$

## Avoided Costs (AC) for Violation 13:

Violation 13: The facility managed HW shop rags as non-HW oily shop rags \& evaporated a small amount of liquid paint. Cost estimate of properly disposing of HW shop rags: $\$ 300$ for one 55 -gallon drum of HW shop rags every 90 days for 3 years $=4$ drums $x$ 3yrs $\mathrm{x} \$ 300=\$ 3,600$. Facility added paint in open containers to existing HW drum.
$\mathrm{AC}=\$ 3,600(0.79)=\$ 2,844$

## Delayed Costs (DC) for Violations 4, 6, 11, 14, 15 and 17:

Violation 4: The facility had 32 drums of HW that had accumulated for $>90$ days in the HWAA. Cost estimate of properly managing 3255 -gallon drums: $\$ 400$ per drum (avg cost estimated) x $32=\$ 12,800 \quad$ DC $=\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 8 0 0 ( 0 . 0 3 )}=\$ 384$
Violations 6, 15: The facility did not cleanup 3 used oil (UO) spills, a spill of spent diesel \& a spill of spent blast media. Cost estimate of inhouse labor to cleanup \& properly manage waste from 5 releases: UO - $\$ 250$ labor $x 3$ spill sites $=\$ 750 ; \$ 300$ disposal per nonHW drum $\times 6$ drums $=\$ 1,800$. Other spills $-\$ 25$ labor $\times 2$ sites $=\$ 50 ; \$ 150$ per $1 / 2$ drum disposal $\times 2=\$ 300$. Sum: $\$ 750+\$ 1,800+$ $\$ 50+\$ 300=\$ 2,900 \quad$ DC $=\$ 2,900(0.03)=\$ 87$
Violation 11: The facility did not have secondary containment for 11 drums \& 4 totes of UO. Cost estimate for secondary containment for 11 drums and four totes: $\$ 300$ per 4-drum spill pallet $\times 3=\$ 900 ; \$ 1,300$ per 2-tote spill pallet $\times 2=\$ 2,600$. Sum: $\$ 900+\$ 2,600$ $=\$ 3,500 \quad \mathrm{DC}=\$ 3,500(0.03)=\$ 105$
Violation 14: The facility did not make HW determinations on spent antifreeze or two 55 -gallon drums of waste in a solid waste dumpster. Other waste listed in report had existing waste profiles for disposal. Cost estimate: Antifreeze - TCLP analysis shown in report $=\$ 130+\$ 210+40=\$ 380$; Waste in dumpster - TCLP analysis shown in report $=\$ 130 \times 2$ drums $=\$ 260$; Sampling Labor $=$ $\$ 150 . \quad \mathrm{DC}=\$ 380+\$ 260+\$ 150=\$ 790(0.03)=\$ 23.70$
Violation 17: The facility did not have required equipment near drums of HW in 2 areas. Cost estimate of 2 eyewash stations, a fire extinguisher and 2 spill kits: $\$ 50$ per eyewash $\times 2=\$ 100 ; \$ 500$ per spill kit $\times 2=\$ 1,000 ; 1$ fire extinguisher $\$ 150$. Sum: $\$ 100+$ $\$ 1,000+\$ 150=\$ 1,250 \quad \mathrm{DC}=\$ 1,250(0.03)=\$ 37.50$

## MULTI-DAY PENALTIES

Number of days adjustment factor(s) to be applied:
Justification:

Number of days matrix amount is to be multiplied:
Justification:
\$ -
Comments:

PART III - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS MADE AFTER MEETING WITH THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY

ADJUSTMENT
Relative merits of the case:
Resource Considerations:

Dollar Amount
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Adjustments made to penalty matrix amount for violations $1,5,7,12,15$ and 17.
Other Justification: (06-03-2019)


Gregory J. Strong, District Director

