

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Lawton Chiles Governor Southwest District 3804 Coconut Palm Drive Tampa, Florida 33619 813-744-6100

Virginia B. Wetherell Secretary

41193

December 29, 1993

Ms. Patricia Berry
Department of Solid Waste
Hillsborough County
Post Office Box 1110
Tampa, FL 33601

4029 C30075 M

Re: SE Landfill Phases 5 and 6 Certification Permit #8009-158504, Hillsborough County

Dear Ms. Berry:

This office has several comments and concerns regarding Phases 5 and 6 to be resolved prior to DEP authorizing the operation of these future disposal areas. Please respond to the attached December 29, 1993 memorandum to Robert Butera. If you have any questions, you may call me at (813) 744-6100, extension 382.

Sincerely,

Kim B. Ford, P.E. Solid Waste Section

Division of Waste Management

KBF/ab Attachment

cc: Daryl Smith, HCSW
Robert Butera, P.E., FDEP TampR F C E I V E D
Paul Schipfer, HCEPC

JAN 11 1994

BUREAU OF SOLID AND HAZARDOUS WASTE

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

## Memorandum

TO:

Robert Butera, P.E.

Solid Waste Manager

Waste Management

FROM:

Kim Ford, P.E. Solid Waste Section Waste Management

DATE:

December 29, 1993

SUBJECT: SE Landfill Phases 5 and 6 Certification

Permit SO29-158504 authorized the operation of Phases 1, 2, 3, and 4 and construction of Phases 5 and 6. This permit was issued on December 12, 1989 and expires on December 1, 1994.

Construction certification for Phases 5 and 6 was submitted on February 8, 1993. The following comments and concerns are based on a review of Volumes I and II of the certification.

The design and operation of this landfill is unusual. A brief description for several of the components are required. The operation of these components is critical to the proper management of the landfill. The components are:

Temporary sumps
Valves
Perforated end caps
Pipes connecting existing to future phases
Riser pipes
16" PE pipe
36" RC pipe
Interior berms between existing and future phases
Interior grades to promote stormwater drainage
LCRS grades to remove leachate

The following are concerns noted from a review of the related certification documents that shall be explained:

Appendix B - Daily Field Reports

2-14-90

Observed a mud wave of the existing phosphatic clays in Phase VI, 18"-24" high due to placing special fill.

Memo to Robert Butera, P.E. SUBJECT: SE Landfill Phases 5 and 6 Certification December 29, 1993 Page Two

3-13-90

Mud wave 12" high observed along leading edge of special fill placement.

10-29-90

Test pits dug 10'-15' deep to establish thickness of clay lense and backfilled with sand.

11-2-90

Large crack in clay layer observed along north berm excavation. Work discontinued until June 1991. North berm backfilled with sand and compacted during July 1991.

7-23-91

Clay previously placed in west perimeter ditch was excavated and ditch backfilled with sand tailings

7-24-91

Perimeter berm and anchor for liner construction modified to reduce clay excavation and backfilling.

8-12-91

Factory seams separate.

8-13-91

"Liner temperature at 131°F at 1110 hrs, factory seam able to tear apart with ease".

9-19-91

Work on LCRS discontinued due to crushed granite rock containing wood and limestone.

10-22-91

Liner seam split open while installing LCRS.

10-24-91

Liner seams become unbonded while air lance testing at 120 psi. 50% of the liner seaming at temperatures above 120°. 90% of the air lance testing at less than 120 psi.

Memo to Robert Butera, P.E. SUBJECT: SE Landfill Phases 5 and 6 Certification December 29, 1993
Page Three

Appendix M - Seaming Log

8-9-91 - 8-26-91

Liner temperatures from 121°F to 148°F while seaming.

Appendix N - Seaming

8-12-91 - 8-30-91

All air lance testing at less than 100 psi.

Appendix R - Correspondence for LCRS Construction

10-1-91

Letter from Geosyntec Consultants states "Typical industry specifications require that the carbonate content of leachate collection materials be less than or equal to 15 percent".

5-22-92

Geosyntec plans for the berm and liner construction show that the Phase IV Temporary Sump on Sheet 3 located in Phase VI appears to collect leachate. Leachate collection in Phases 5 and 6 is not authorized by DEP.

7-23-92

Hollingworth plans for the berms and grades for Phases 5 and 6 do not show grading for positive drainage of the surface to the west to separate and remove stormwater from leachate.

Other related concerns that shall be addressed prior to allowing operation of Phases 5 and 6 are as follows:

Future well points
Removal of temporary sumps
Removal of interior berms
Stormwater drainage and removal
Leachate containment and removal
Daily operation plans
Sequence of filling (plans and cross-sections)
Temporary piezometers in Phases 5 and 6