

Review of 2014 1st Semi-annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for J.E.D. Solid Waste Management Facility

Review D	ate: 8/27/14 Reviewed By:	Allen Rainev	Environmental Sr	ecialist III	WACS Faci	ility ID # 89544	
Review Date:8/27/14Reviewed By: Allen Rainey, Environmental Specialist IIIWACS Facility ID #: 89544Facility Name:J.E.D. Solid Waste Management Facility							
2	ng Periods: May 2014	,ement i denity					
Type: Routine and Evaluation Monitoring			Facility Class Types: Class I, Construction & Demolition Debris				
Report Date: 8/14/14			Received Date: 8/15/14 (upload to WACS)				
Prepared	By: Geosyntec Consultants	Submitted By:					
		F	Review Details				
Summary							
Other than the continuation of evaluation monitoring for benzene, there are no actions needed to protect groundwater. The							
facility's.	July 2014 Technical Report appr	opriately evalu	ated and discusse	d sodium, chloride, a	and arsenic ex	xceedance trends.	
			neter Exceedance	<u>s</u>			
• Benzene standard (1 μ g/L) exceeded in groundwater wells as follows.							
	Well ID	We	ll Type	Concentration	ι (μg/L)		
	MW-1A	De	tection	7.8			
	MW-3A	De	tection	6.5			
	MW-3B	De	tection	1.1			
	MW-4A	De	tection	2.9			
	MW-5A	De	tection	1.2			
	MW-6A	De	tection	2.3		1	

• Arsenic standard (10 μ g/L) exceeded in evaluation monitoring well CW-1 at 77.6 μ g/L. The well's arsenic concentrations have decreased significantly since its first sampling event in December 2013 (278 μ g/L) and the February 2014 sampling event (166 μ g/L). (MW-13A had an arsenic concentration of 10.4 μ g/L. The Department's policy on rounding considers that concentration to meet the standard. During the November 2013 monitoring period, MW-13A had an arsenic concentration of 10.5 μ g/L, which is also close to the standard.)

Detection

Detection

Detection

Detection

Detection

Detection

4.4

6.6

7.7

6.3

4.3

1.7

- Sodium standard (160 mg/L) exceeded in detection well MW-1A at 297 mg/L. That concentration is lower compared to the November 2013 monitoring period and similar to past measurements that were discussed in the July 2014 Technical Report.
- Chloride standard (250 mg/L) exceeded in detection well MW-1A at 544 mg/L. That concentration is lower compared to the November 2013 monitoring period and similar to past measurements that were discussed in the July 2014 Technical Report.
- Ammonia 62-777 GCTL (2.8 mg/L) exceeded in thirteen A-zone groundwater wells and two B-zone groundwater wells. Of the A-zone wells containing ammonia above the GCTL, wells MW-9A, MW-10A and MW-11A contained concentrations below the background of 10 mg/L established in the facility's Monitoring Plan Implementation Schedule for those wells.
- Total dissolved solids standard (500 mg/L) exceeded in five A-zone groundwater wells and eight B-zone groundwater wells.
- Iron standard (0.3 mg/L) exceeded in a majority of groundwater wells, as had occurred during the November 2013 monitoring period.
- Iron standard (1 mg/L) exceeded in both surface water locations.
- pH values in all the wells are below the range of 6.5 8.

MW-8A

MW-9A

MW-10A

MW-11A

MW-12A

MW-13A

• Dissolve oxygen values in a majority of the wells are below 1 mg/L.

Notations

- Evaluation monitoring for benzene under Rule 62-701.510(6), F.A.C., shall continue.
- The laboratory exceeded the hold time for nitrate analysis for well MW-7B.
- The facility's July 2014 Technical Report appropriately addresses sodium and chloride exceedances in well MW-1A.
- Based on Department policy, no further investigation for ammonia is necessary.

Purging Completion

Dissolved oxygen > 5 mg/L or \leq 20% saturation? NO	Turbidity ≤ 20 NTUs? NO							
If no, ± 0.2 mg/L or readings are within 10%? NO *	If no, \pm 5 NTUs or readings are within 10%? NO *							
Temperature $\pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C? YES	$pH \pm 0.2$ standard units? YES							
Specific conductance \pm 5% of reading? YES								
* did not achieve optional stabilization criteria for 18 wells for DO &1 well for turbidity (DO in majority of wells is $< 1 \text{ mg/L}$)								
Sampling and Analysis								
Sampling dates: May 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15		Last lab analysis date: 5/24/14						
# of active groundwater monitoring locations: 36		# of active surface water monitoring locations: 2						
Initial sampling device: peristaltic pump		Re-sampling device: N/A						
All groundwater and surface water sampling points sampled		All analyses performed? YES						
Trip blanks? YES		Field or equipment blanks? YES						
Lab certified under National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program? YES								
Unionized ammonia analysis? N/A		Phenols analysis? N/A ^X						
^X Department approval granted on 5/14/14 to end total phenols analyses								
Monitoring Plan Implementation Schedule Reporting Requirements								
	ate: 1/23/14	/23/14 Permit: SO49-0199726-022						
Notification made within 14 days of sampling? YES								
Cover letter? YES								
Ground Water Monitoring Report, DEP Form 62-520.900(2) (or equivalent)? YES Certification Date: 6/18/14								
Summary of exceedances & sampling issues? YES								
Groundwater contour maps? YES ^a		Contour maps signed and sealed? YES						
Water levels & water elevation table? YES	Water level measurements made within one-day period? YES							
Groundwater Sampling Logs, DEP Form FD 9000-24? YES								
Chain of custody forms? YES								
Conclusions and recommendations? YES								
Lab and field EDD files named correctly (89544_201405_swldd.txt & 89544_201405_swfdd.txt)? YES								
Report named correctly (25473_201405_swgwmr.pdf)? YES								
File(s) indicate successful data export? NO								
Report signed and sealed by P.G.? YES	U U	Date signed and sealed: 8/14/14						
Report received within 60 days of completing lab analysis? NO								
^a contour map for A-zone wells only								