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## 1 OVERVIEW

### 1.1 Introduction

This document presents the estimated closure and long-term-care costs for the Sarasota Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex (CCSWDC) as required by Rules 62-701.630, FAC and 62-701.730, FAC. Exhibit R-1 provides Financial Assurance Cost Estimate Form 62-701.900(28) showing the detailed cost estimates for closure and long-term care of the CCSWDC Class I Landfill. Exhibit R-2 provides backup for costs. The following describes how the costs for the estimate of closure and long-term care were obtained.

### 1.2 Assumptions

The detailed closure and long-term-care cost estimates are based on the following assumptions:

- The unit costs provided with each item are costs from recent bids, County Contracts, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Pay Item 12-Month Moving Averages, and RS Means Heavy Construction Cost Data.
- Item costs that were estimated using the RS Means Heavy Construction Cost Data are for Sarasota, Florida, which is the closest location available in the online edition and is representative of costs for Nokomis, Florida.

Table 1-1 defines the abbreviations used in the cost estimate.
Table 1-1 List of Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Definition | Abbreviation | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AC | Acre | KGAL | 1,000 Gallons |
| CF | Cubic foot | LF | Linear foot |
| CY | Cubic yard | LS | Lump sum |
| DY | Day | MSF | 1,000 square feet |
| EA | Each | SF | Square foot |
| GAL | Gallons | SY | Square yard |
| HR | Hour | YR | Year |

## 2 EXPLANATION OF CLOSURE COST ESTIMATES

This Section presents the basis of the work and assumptions to calculate the closure cost estimates. The closure area is based on the Closure area approved previously by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) and included in previous Financial Assurances Estimates for the facility.

A total of 63 acres (2D) in the Phase II landfill will require closure. The 3D surface area requiring closure is 64.9 acres or $2,825,536 \mathrm{SF}(313,948 \mathrm{SY})$. The required soil volumes for landfill closure based on the total Phase II 3D surface are presented in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1 Calculated Soil Volume for Landfill Closure

| Thickness <br> of Soil <br> Layer <br> (feet) | 3D <br> Surface <br> area <br> (SF) | Loss <br> Factor | 3D Surface <br> Area plus Loss <br> Factor <br> (SF) | 3D Surface <br> Area plus Loss <br> Factor <br> (SY) | Total <br> Volume of <br> Soil <br> (CF) | Total <br> Volume of <br> Soil <br> (CY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | $2,825,536$ | 1.2 | $3,390,643$ | 376,738 | $1,695,322$ | 62,790 |
| 1 | $2,825,536$ | 1.2 | $3,390,643$ | 376,738 | $3,390,643$ | 125,579 |
| 1.5 | $2,825,536$ | 1.2 | $3,390,643$ | 376,738 | $5,085,965$ | 188,369 |
| 2 | $2,825,536$ | 1.2 | $3,390,643$ | 376,738 | $6,781,286$ | 251,159 |

Note: Quantities in FACE spreadsheet are rounded to reflect appropriate cost estimate degree of accuracy.
The final cover will consist of 12 inches of intermediate bedding layer over the Class I waste, a 40-mil textured geomembrane, a drainage geocomposite, 24 inches of soil final cover, and vegetative cover.

A soil loss factor is used to adjust for a reduction in volume due to soil compaction. The soil loss factor is included in the quantity calculation because quantities of soil purchased will be greater than the quantity of soil in-place after compaction.

### 2.1 Item No. 1 - Monitoring Wells

No additional monitoring wells are required at the time of closure. As part of the operation of the landfill, the permit-required wells are installed.

### 2.2 Item No. 2 - Slope and Fill

This item assumes grading and surface preparation will be required throughout the Phase II closure and 1 foot of off-site material will be spread over the closure surface and compacted to serve as intermediate cover. The cost estimate is based on the estimates shown below:

| Closure Item 2 Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Excavation | $\$ 0.55 / \mathrm{SY}$ | FDOT item 162-1-11 Prepared Soil Layer, Finish Soil 6-inch |
| Placement and Spreading | $\$ 2.05 / \mathrm{CY}$ | RS Means Item 312323170020 |
| Compaction | $\$ 0.45 / \mathrm{CY}$ | RS Means Item 312323235100 |
| Off-Site Material | $\$ 9.00 / \mathrm{CY}$ | Quote from Big Earth Landscape Supply |

### 2.3 Item No. 3-Cover Material (Barrier Layer)

The final cover of the Class I landfill will consist of a layer of textured geomembrane and an overlying geocomposite. The cost estimate is based on the estimates shown below:

| Closure Item 3 Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Geomembrane: | $\$ 0.45 /$ SF | AGRU America |
| Geocomposite: | $\$ 0.59 /$ SF | AGRU America |

### 2.4 Item No. 4 - Top Soil Cover

The quantity for this item is based on 18 inches of final cover soil plus 6 inches of vegetative soil over the landfill closure area (including soil loss factor). The cost estimate figures are based on the following:

| Closure Item 4 Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Off-Site Material | $\$ 9.00 / \mathrm{CY}$ | Quote from Big Earth Landscape <br> Supply |
| Placement and Spreading | $\$ 2.05 / \mathrm{CY}$ | RS Means Item 312323170020 |

### 2.5 Item No. 5 - Vegetative Layer

Jones Edmunds assumes that the top of the landfill will be hydro-seeded and the side slopes will be sodded. Both areas account for a 10-percent loss factor.

| Closure Item 5 Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sodding | $\$ 0.21 /$ SF | Sarasota County Contract |
| Hydroseeding | $\$ 0.05 /$ SF | Sarasota County Contract |

### 2.6 Item No. 6 - Stormwater Control System

The stormwater system consists of 1,874 feet of downchute piping, 30 inlet and outlet structures, and 10,800 feet of terrace and toe drains. Also included is filter point matting to prevent erosion around each outlet structure.

| Closure Item 6 Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Piping | $\$ 33.06 /$ LF | Sarasota County Contract |
| Underdrains | $\$ 30.05 /$ LF | Sarasota County Contract |
| Control Structures | $\$ 1,502.55 /$ EA | Sarasota County Contract |
| Filter Point Mat | $\$ 43.07 / \mathrm{SY}$ | Sarasota County Contract |

### 2.7 Item No. 7 - Gas Controls: Passive

A passive gas system will not be constructed at this Facility.

### 2.8 Item No. 8-Gas Controls: Active Extraction

Cells 1 and 2 of Phase II comprise approximately 27 acres of the 63 acres requiring GCCS installation. Therefore, an additional 36 acres require GCCS installation at closing. Additionally, Jones Edmunds assumes that at the time of closing 50 percent of the 41 gas extraction wells installed in Phase II Cells 1 and 2 will require replacement.

| Closure Item 7 Description | Cost Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GCCS Construction | $\$ 47,950 /$ ACRE | Sarasota County Contract |
| Well Replacement | $\$ 167,353 /$ LS | Sarasota County Contract |

### 2.9 Item No. 9 - Security System

The fencing at the property is considered sufficient for access control to the Landfill.

### 2.10 Item No. 10 - Engineering

Engineering costs for designing and permitting were estimated based on experience with previous landfill closure projects in Florida and was estimated at 10 percent of the total construction cost.

### 2.11 Item No. 11 - Professional Services

This item consists of project management for the bidding, construction, construction quality assurance, and construction completion certification phases of the project and uses Jones Edmunds' standard rates.

Construction quality control (CQC) responsibilities belong to the general contractor and geomembrane installation subcontractor. Costs shown in Quality Assurance Testing are the third-party CQA activities, which are assumed to be administered by the consulting engineer. These costs were estimated from experience with previous landfill closure projects in Florida.

### 2.12 ITEM No. 12 - CONTINGENCY ITEM

A contingency amount of 15 percent of the total construction cost is estimated to cover unforeseen costs that may be incurred during construction and price fluctuations in the construction industry.

### 2.13 Item No. 13 - Site Specific Costs Item

Mobilization/Demobilization - This item is 7 percent of the subtotal of Item Nos. 1 through 9 to account for mobilization (5\%) and bonds and insurance (2\%). The subtotal of Item Nos. 1 through 9 is $\$ 10,425,837$.

Waste Tire Facility - This item is for the disposal of the Facility's waste tires and is based on the Waste Tire Processing Facility (WTPF) Permit Renewal application submitted to Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) dated June 6, 2017.

Materials Recovery Facility - This item is for the closure of the Facility's Material Recovery Facility and is based on the Permit Renewal Application submitted to FDEP dated September 12, 2014 adjusted for inflation.

Special Wastes - This item is for the disposal of the facilities special wastes including items such as household hazardous waste, electronics, white goods, etc. Jones Edmunds assumes that the County will haul up to 100 tons of special waste to the Polk County Landfill for disposal at a total cost of $\$ 32,000$. Additionally, the cost for disposal of yard waste was included in this line item. It is assumed that 3,000 tons of yard waste requiring disposal at the current CCSWDC disposal rate of $\$ 41.37 /$ ton would be required based on the previous financial assurance estimate for the facility for a total cost of $\$ 124,110$.

## 3 LONG-TERM CARE EXPLANATION OF COST ESTIMATES

These costs are included to provide for the long-term care of the Class I Landfill. The lined Class I Landfill is 118 acres and includes the closed Phase I and active Phase II landfills.

### 3.1 Item No. 1 - Groundwater Monitoring

The groundwater monitoring system consists of eight water quality monitoring wells that must be sampled semiannually. Based on Jones Edmunds' estimates for the annual sampling, laboratory analyses, and biannual reporting, the cost breakdown is as follows:

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Semiannual well sampling | $\$ 1,077.71 /$ well/event | Jones Edmunds estimate based on similar projects. |

### 3.2 Item No. 2 - Surface Water Monitoring

The surface water monitoring system consists of one water quality sampling point that must be sampled semiannually. Based on Jones Edmunds' estimates for the annual sampling, laboratory analyses, and reporting, the cost breakdown is as follows:

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Semiannual sampling | $\$ 1,234.00 /$ well/event | Jones Edmunds estimate based on similar projects. |

### 3.3 Item No. 3 - Gas Monitoring

The Class I Landfill has 10 perimeter gas monitoring probes and several ambient gas monitoring locations. These probes and ambient locations will continue to be monitored quarterly.

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gas probe monitoring | $\$ 143.00 /$ well/event | Jones Edmunds estimate based on similar projects. |

### 3.4 Item No. 4 - Leachate Monitoring

Leachate monitoring is not required at the CCSWDC by FDEP permit.

### 3.5 Item No. 5 - Leachate Collection/Treatment Systems

The Phase II permit application estimates that $10,468,708$ gallons of leachate will be generated during the first year of post closure care. In 2017, Phase I generated 1,200,000 gallons of leachate. Therefore, the annual leachate generation is anticipated to be 10,468,708 gallons (Phase II) plus 1,200,000 gallons (Phase I) which equals 11,668,708 gallons per year.

Maintenance of the leachate collection and treatment system includes jet cleaning the collection pipes every 5 years. Maintenance of the leachate storage tank includes cleaning, inspecting, and repairing the exterior every 3 years. The costs for cleaning the Facility's sumps and lift stations annually include the use of a vacuum truck and technician. The leachate disposal costs include disposal to the City of Venice East Side wastewater treatment plant based on the current rate.

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maintenance - Collection pipes | $\$ 37,644 /$ LS | Quote from Florida Jet Clean |
| Maintenance - Sumps, Traps | $\$ 720 / E A$ | Sarasota County Contract |
| Maintenance - Lift Stations | $\$ 720 / E A$ | Sarasota County Contract |
| Maintenance - Tank | $\$ 16,122 /$ LS | Sarasota County Contract |
| Disposal | $\$ 7.50 /$ KGAL | Current disposal contract |

### 3.6 Item No. 6 - Maintenance of Groundwater Monitoring Wells

The cost for this item is based on replacing one groundwater well every 5 years. This includes abandoning and drilling a new well.

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Replacement | $\$ 980 / E A$ | Sarasota County Contract |

### 3.7 Item No. 7 -Gas System Maintenance

The cost for this item is based on replacing 4 acres of the GCCS annually as part of maintenance, which results in replacing the entire GCCS once over the 30-year post-closure period. A Factor of Safety of 1.5 was added to account for replacing additional components of the system including components of the blower station, dewatering pumps, etc. The lump-sum cost for this item has been included under the operation line item.

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Well Replacement | $\$ 47,950 /$ AC | Sarasota County Contract |

### 3.8 ITEM No. 8 - LANDSCAPE

The cost for this item is based on mowing the Landfill approximately eight times a year. The area to be mowed is based on the 3D surface area of the Phases I and II closure surface.

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mowing | $\$ 19.00 / \mathrm{AC}$ | Sarasota County Contract |

### 3.9 Item No. 9 - Erosion Control and Cover Maintenance

For erosion control and cover maintenance, Jones Edmunds assumed that the entire closure surface would be regraded and sodded over the 30 -year closure period; this is approximately 4 acres per year. The repair of 100 square yards of liner is assumed per year. A mobilization cost of $\$ 3,000$ is included for the liner repair and has been incorporated into the unit square foot cost.

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sodding | $\$ 0.21 / \mathrm{SF}$ | Sarasota County Contract |
| Regrading | $\$ 0.55 /$ SY | FDOT Item 0162 111 Prepared soil layer, finish soil 6 inches |
| Liner Repair | $\$ 3.79 / \mathrm{SF}$ | AGRU America, Inc. |

### 3.10 Item No. 10 - Stormwater Management System Maintenance

Jones Edmunds assumed that the perimeter ditch system will be cleaned annually.

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conveyance maintenance | $\$ 0.73 /$ LF | Sarasota County Contract |

### 3.11 Item No. 11 - Security System Maintenance

Security system maintenance assumes 100 feet of fencing repairs annually and a new sign and gate replacement annually.

| Description | Cost/Unit | Source or Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fence repairs | $\$ 10.00 /$ LF | Sarasota County Contract |
| Gate repairs | $\$ 480.00 /$ EA | Sarasota County Contract |
| Sign repairs | $\$ 300.51 / E A$ | Sarasota County Contract |

### 3.12 Item No. 12 - Utilities

This item is based on the most recent fiscal year utility costs.

### 3.13 Item No. 13 - Leachate Collection/Treatment Systems Operation

This item includes operation labor cost for managing the system and current Jones Edmunds' billing rates for the personnel. The estimate includes 2 hours per week for an On-Site technician and 1 hour each per week for a P.E. Supervisor, On-Site Engineer, and an Office Engineer.

### 3.14 Item No. 14 - Administrative

This item includes administration labor costs for managing the permitting and reporting requirements, inspections, and maintenance. The cost estimate is consistent with current Jones Edmunds' labor rates and experience with similar facilities.

### 3.15 Item No. 15 - Contingency

The contingency is calculated as 10 percent of the total costs of Item Nos. 1 through 14. This number is based on Jones Edmunds' engineering judgment and consideration of estimation of expected annual costs.

### 3.16 Item No. 16 - Site-Specific Costs

Groundwater Monitoring Technical Report - This cost is for completion of a Technical Report required every 2.5 years. The cost is based on Jones Edmunds' cost of services.

## Exhibit R-1

Financial Assurance Cost Estimate Form 62-701.900(28), FAC

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Bob Martinez Center
2600 Blair Stone Road

DEP Form \# 62-701.900(28), F.A.C.
Form Title: Closure Cost Estimating Form For Solid Waste Facililites

Effective Date: January 6, 2010
Incorporated in Rute 62-701.630(3). F A. . .

## CLOSURE COST ESTIMATING FORM FOR SOLID WASTE FACILITIES

Date of DEP Approval: $\qquad$

## I. GENERAL INFORMATION:



Solid Waste Disposal Units Included in Estimate:


| Facility type: | $\square$ | Class I |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| (check all that apply) | $\square$ | $\square$ Class III $\quad \square$ C\&D Debris Disposal |
| Other: | Waste Tire Processing, C\&D MRF, Yard Waste Site |  |

## II. TYPE OF FINANCIAL ASSURANCE DOCUMENT (Check type)

Letter of Credit*$\square$
Insurance Certificate
■ Financial Test
$\square$ Trust Fund Agreement
Escrow Account
Performance Bond*Form 29 (FA Deferral) Guarantee Bond*

*     - Indicates mechanisms that require the use of a Standby Trust Fund Agreement
Northeast District 825 Bayneadows Way, Ste. B200
Jacksonville. FL 32256-7590 904-807.3300
Central District
3319 Maguire Blvd., Ste. 232
Orlando, FL 32803.3767 407-894-7555
Southwest District
Temple Terrace, FL 33637
0,
813.632.7600

For Myers. FL $33901-388$
239-332-6975

Southeast District
00 N . Congress Ave., Ste 200 West Palm Beach. FL 33401 561-681-6600

## II. ESTIMATE ADJUSTMENT

40 CFR Part 264 Subpart H as adopted by reference in Rule 62-701.630, Florida Administrative Code, (F.A.C.) sets forth the method of annual cost estimate adjustment. Cost estimates may be adjusted by using an inflation factor or by recalculating the maximum costs of closure in current dollars. Select one of the methods of cost estimate ajustment below.

## (a) Inflation Factor Adjustment

(b) Recalculated or New Cost Estimates

Inflation adjustment using an inflation factor may only be made when a Department approved closure cost estimate exists and no changes have occurred in the facility operation which would necessitate modification to the closure plan. The inflation factor is derived from the most recent Implicit Price Deflator for Gross National Product published by the U.S. Department of Commerce in its survey of Current Business. The inflation factor is the result of dividing the latest published annual Deflatory by the Deflator for the previous year. The inflation factor may also be obtained from the Solid Waste website www.dep.state.fl.us/waste/categories/swfr or call the Financial Coordinator at (850) $245-8706$.

This adjustment is based on the Department approved closing cost estimate dated:


| Signature | Address |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name \& Title | City, State, Zip Code |
| Date | E-Mail Address |
| Telephone Number |  |

## IV. ESTIMATED CLOSING COST (check what applies)

## $\square$ Recalculated Cost Estimate $\quad \square$ New Facility Cost Estimate

Notes: 1. Cost estimates for the time period when the extent and manner of landfill operation makes closing most expensive.
2. Cost estimate bust be certified by a professional engineer.
3. Cost estimates based on third party suppliers of material, equipment and labor at market value.
4. In some cases, a price quote in support of individual

| Description | Unit | Number of <br> Units | Cost $/$ Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$ Total Cost

2. Slope and Fill (bedding layer between waste and barrier layer):

Excavation
Placement and Spreading
Compaction
Off-Site Material
Delivery

| SY | 313,948 |
| :--- | ---: |
| CY | 125,579 |
|  | 125,579 |
| CY | 125,579 |
|  | 125,579 |


| $\$$ | 0.55 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\$$ | 2.05 |
| $\$$ | 0.45 |
| $\$$ | 9.00 |
| $\$$ | - |

Subtotal Slope and Fill:

| $\$$ | 172,671 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 257,437 |
| $\$$ | 56,511 |
| $\$$ | $1,130,211$ |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | $1,616,830$ |

3. Cover Material (Barrier Layer):

Off-Site Clay
Synthetics - 40 mil
Synthetics - GCL
Synthetics - Geonet
Synthetics - Other (explain)
Geocomposite
4. Top Soil Cover:

Off-Site Material
Delivery
Spread
5. Vegetative Layer:

| Sodding |
| :--- |
| Hydroseeding |
| Fertilizer |
| Mulch |
| Other (explain) |

6. Stormwater Control System:

| Earthwork | CY |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grading | SY |
| Piping | LF |
| Biteher Underdrains | LF |
| Berms | LF |
| Control Structures | EA |
| Other (explain) | SY |




## V. ANNUAL COST FOR LONG-TERM CARE

See 62-701.600(1)a.1., 62-701.620(1), 62-701.630(3)a. and 62-701.730(11)b. F.A.C. for required term length. For landfills
certified closed and Department accepted, enter the remaining long-term care length as "Other" and provide years remaining.
(Check Term Length)5 Years

- 20 Years
(T) 30 YearsOther, $\qquad$ Years

Notes: 1. Cost estimates must be certified by a professional engineer,
2. Cost estimates based on third party suppliers of material, equipment and labor at fair market value.
3. In some cases, a price quote in support of individual item estimates may be required.

All items must be addressed. Attach a detailed explanation for all items marked not applicable (N/A)

|  | Sampling |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Number of | (Cost / Well) / |  |
| Description | (Events / Year) | Wells | Event | Annual Cost |

1. Groundwater Monitoring [62-701.510(6), and (8)(a)]

| Monthly | 12 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarterly | 4 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Semi-Annual | 2 | 14 | \$ | 1,077.71 | \$ | 30,176 |
| Annual | 1 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  |  |  |  | Monitor | \$ | 30,176 |

2. Surface Water Monitoring [62-701.510(4), and (8)(b)] Monthly
Quarterly


| $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | 4,936 |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | 4,936 |

3. Gas Monitoring [62-701.400(10)]

Monthly
Quarterly
Semi-Annual
Annual
Semi-Annual
12

Annual
1
4,936
$\rightarrow-1$


| $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 5,720 |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | 5,720 |

4. Leachate Monitoring [62-701.510(5), (6)(b) and 62-701.510(8)c]

Monthly 12
Quarterly
Semi-Annual
Annual
Other (explain) $\qquad$

Subtotal Leachate Monitoring:


|  |  | Number of |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Unit | Units $/$ Year | Cost $/$ Unit | Annual Cost |

5. Leachate Collection/Treatment Systems Maintenance

Maintenance

| Collection Pipes | LS | 0.2 | \$ | 37,644.00 | \$ | 7,529 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sumps, Traps | EA | 5 | \$ | 720.00 | \$ | 3,600 |
| Lift Stations | EA | 7 | \$ | 720.00 | \$ | 5,040 |
| Cleaning | LS |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Tanks | LS | 0.333 | \$ | 16,122.00 | \$ | 5,374 |


| Description | Unit |  | Number of <br> Units $/$ Year | Cost / Unit | Annual Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impoundments |  |  |  |  |  |

6. Groundwater Monitoring Wells Maintenance

Monitoring Wells
Replacement
Abandonment

## LF

## EA

EA
Subtotal Groundwater Monitoring Well Maintenance;

| $\$$ | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 196 |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | 196 |

7. Gas System Maintenance

Piping, Vents
Blowers
Flaring Units
Meters, Valves
Compressors
Flame Arrestors
Operation

| LF |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EA |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| EA |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| EA |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| LS |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| EA |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| LS | 1 | \$ | 287,700.00 | \$ | 287,700 |
|  |  | Subtotal Gas System: |  | \$ | 287,700 |

8. Landscape Maintenance
Mowing
Fertilizer $\quad 1 \mathrm{yr}$
lyr

| AC | 118.0 | $\$$ | 19.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$$ | - |  |

Subtotal Landscape Maintenance:

| $\$$ | 17,936 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | 17,936 |

9. Erosion Control and Cover Maintenance

Sodding
Regrading
Liner Repair
Clay

10. Storm Water Management System Maintenance

Conveyance Maintenance LF
LF
10,298
Subtotal Storm Water
$\frac{\$ y y y}{S y} \quad 0.73$
11. Security System Maintenance

Fences
LF
Gate(s)
EA
Sign(s)
EA

| 100 | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 1,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$ | 480.00 | \$ | 480 |
| 1 | \$ | 300.51 | \$ | 301 |
| Subtotal Security System: |  |  | \$ | 1,781 |


| Description | Unit |  | Number of Units / Year | Cost / Unit |  | Annual Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. Utilities |  | LS | 1 | \$ | 11,460 | \$ | 11,460 |


| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P.E. Supervisor | HR | 52 | \$ | 165 | \$ | 8,580 |
| On-Site Engineer | HR | 52 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 6,500 |
| Office Engineer | HR | 52 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 6,500 |
| On-Site Technician | HR | 104 | \$ | 80 | \$ | 8,320 |
| Materials | LS |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | Subtotal Leachate Collection/Treatment System Operation: |  |  |  | \$ | 29,900 |


| 14. Administrative |
| :--- |
| P.E. Supervisor |
| On-Site Engineer |
| Office Engineer |
| Onsite Technician |
| Other Administrative Assistant |



|  | Subtotal of 1-14 Above: $\$ 1$ | 587,048 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15. Contingency |  |  |


| Description | Unit | Number of Units / Year | Cost / Unit |  | Annual Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 Site-Specific Costs (explain) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GW Monitoring Technical Report | LS | 0.4 | \$ | 12,465.00 | \$ | 4,986 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
|  |  | Subtota | S | cific Costs: | \$ | 4,986 |

ANNUAL LONG-TERM CARE COST (\$ / Year): \$ 650,739
Number of Years of Long-Term Care: $\qquad$

TOTAL LONG-TERM CARE COST (\$): \$ 19,522,170

## VI. CERTIFICATION BY ENGINEER

This is to certify that the Cost Estimates pertaining to the engineering features of this solid waste management facility have been examined by me and found to conform to engineering principles applicable to such facilities. In my professional judgment, the Cost Estimates are a true, correct and complete representation of the financial liabilities for closing and/or long-term care of the facility and comply with the requirements of Rule 62-701.630 F.A.C. and all other Department of Environmental Protection rules, and statutes of the State of Florida. It is understood that the Cost Estimates shall be submitted to the Dephethment annually, revised or adjusted as required by Rule 62701.830(4), F.A.C.


George Reinban/Bt DA PE
Name and Title (pleasertype)
730 NE Waldo Road
Mailing Address


66516
Florida Registration Number
(please affix seal)

## VII. SIGNATURE BY OWNER/OPERATOR



Lois Rose, Operations Manager Name and Title (please type)
lerose@scgov.net
E-Mail address (if available)

4000 Knights Trail Road
Mailing Address

Nokomis, FL 34275
City, State, Zip Code

941-861-1589
Telephone Number

Exhibit R-2

## Backup of Closure and Long-Term Care Unit Costs

| Item | No. of Conts | Weighted Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 012074 | 3 | \$4.93 |
| 012170 | 16 | \$211.19 |
| 01251 | 6 | \$12.61 |
| 01253 | 2 | \$102.62 |
| 014170 | 6 | \$1,377.26 |
| 01451 | 3 | \$3.97 |
| 01452 | 13 | \$1.66 |
| 014571 | 1 | \$6.48 |
| 014572 | 1 | \$36.50 |
| 01604 | 133 | \$4.09 |
| $0160 \quad 4900$ | 1 | \$1.75 |
| 0162111 | 85 | \$. 55 |
| 0162112 | 1 | \$2.89 |
| 017376 | 1 | \$11.00 |
| 0173771 | 2 | \$139.44 |
| 021011 | 1 | \$10.00 |
| 021018 | 2 | \$18.92 |
| 021019 | 5 | \$4.33 |
| 02102 | 2 | \$37.28 |
| 0285701 | 75 | \$14.19 |
| 0285701900 | 1 | \$4.25 |
| 0285702 | 7 | \$14.36 |
| 0285703 | 5 | \$10.52 |
| 0285704 | 16 | \$9.97 |
| 0285705 | 6 | \$13.33 |
| 0285706 | 44 | \$14.44 |
| 0285707 | 5 | \$20.51 |
| 0285708 | 2 | \$26.26 |
| 0285709 | 66 | \$16.46 |
| 0285709900 | 1 | \$10.00 |
| 0285710 | 14 | \$15.29 |
| 0285711 | 15 | \$17.58 |
| 0285712 | 5 | \$19.58 |
| 0285713 | 8 | \$37.85 |
| 0285714 | 1 | \$56.45 |
| 0285715 | 17 | \$54.06 |
| 02861 | 40 | \$20.88 |
| 02862 | 12 | \$161.22 |
| 0287 1 | 2 | \$441.58 |
| 0327701 | 55 | \$2.30 |

$\underset{\text { thom SmROIAN }}{\text { RSMens data }}$

Big Earth Landscape Supply, Inc.


2423 MANATEE AVE W
BRADENTON, FL 34205
USA
Phone: 941/746-4471
Fax: 941/751-3790

Sold To:

| SARASOTA COUNTY |
| :--- |
| P.O. BOX 8 |
| SARASOTA, FL 34230 |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Ship To:
County Landfill
4000 Knights Trail road
Nokomis, FI, 34275

| Reference | PO Number <br> JASON | Customer No. <br> SAR725 | Salesperson <br> TROY | Order Date <br> Jan 11, 2018 | Ship Via | Terms <br> NET10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Jeremy Toms, El
Jones Edmunds \& Associates, Inc.
730 NE Waldo Road
Gainesville, FL 32641

## RE: $\quad$ Sarasota County Central Landfill Closure - Requested Budgetary Pricing

Agru America is pleased to provide the following budget pricing for the requested geosynthetic profile(s). Budget pricing options include a 40 mil LLDPE MicroSpike and Geocomposite system as Option \#1 and a 50 mil LLDPE MicroDrain geomembrane and geotextile as Option \#2. As discussed, ClosureTurf may work well in this application if you would want to evaluate further.

## Requested Geosynthetic Closure System \#1

This geosynthetic closure system includes a standard 40 mil LLDPE MicroSpike geomembrane with 8-200-8 geocomposite.

| Description | Quantity (SF) | Unit Price (\$/SF) |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 mil MicroSpike LLDPE - Material | 2,700,000 | \$ | 0.30 | \$ | 810,000.00 |
| 40 mil MicroSpike LLDPE - Installation | 2,700,000 | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 405,000.00 |
| 8/200/8 Geocomposite - Material | 2,700,000 | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 1,242,000.00 |
| 8/200/8 Geocomposite - Installation | 2,700,000 | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 351,000.00 |
| Total Budget for Conventional |  |  |  | \$ | 2,808,000.00 |

"Material budget pricing includes estimated freight to Sarasota, FL but no taxes. Installation budget pricing assumes non-union/non-prevailing wage rates.
*A 200 mil Geocomposite product is unlikely to meet the site specific requirements of a highly permeable cover soil typically found in FL.
Requested Geosynthetic Closure System \#2
This geosynthetic closure system includes a standard 50 mil LLDPE MicroDrain geomembrane with 8 oz nonwoven geotextile.

| Description | Quantity (SF) | Unit Price (\$/SF) |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 mil LLDPE MicroDrain - Material | 2,700,000 | \$ | 0.55 | \$ | 1,485,000.00 |
| 50 mil LLDPE MicroDrain - Installation | 2,700,000 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 486,000.00 |
| Agrutex 081 Nonwoven Geotextile - Material | 2,700,000 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 297,000.00 |
| Agrutex 081 Nonwoven Geotextile - Installation | 2,700,000 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 270,000.00 |
| Total Budget for MicroDrain Option |  |  |  |  | 2,538,000.00 |

[^0]We would reccomend performing design shear strength and transmissivity testing specific to your project needs. We can supply material samples for this testing when appropriate and answer any questions you may have. Thank you for reaching out to us and please feel free to contact me to discuss further at ceichelberger@agruamerica.com or 330.606 .8970 .

Sincerely,
Hifle
Chris Eichelberger
Agru America

Big Earth Landscape Supply, Inc.
2423 MANATEE AVE W
BRADENTON, FL 34205
USA
Phone: 941/746-4471
Fax: 941/751-3790
Quotation

| Date <br> Jan 11, 2018 | Page |
| :---: | :---: |
| Order Number |  |
| QT00715 |  |
|  |  |

Sold To:

| SARASOTA COUNTY |
| :--- |
| P.O. BOX 8 |
| SARASOTA, FL 34230 |
|  |
|  |

Ship To:
County Landfill
4000 Knights Trail road
Nokomis, FI, 34275

| Reference | PO Number <br> JASON | Customer No. <br> SAR725 | Salesperson <br> TROY | Order Date <br> Jan 11, 2018 | Ship Via | Terms <br> NET10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


$\underset{\text { from GఱroIAN }}{\text { RSMeans data }}$

## AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO COUNTY CONTRACT NO. 2015-062

This Amendment is made and entered into upon execution by both parties by and between Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the "County," and Sunbelt Sod \& Grading Company, a Florida corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor."

## WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the County and the Contractor entered into County Contract No. 2015-062 ("Contract") for Sod, Installation and Seeding Services, effective December 9, 2014; and

WHEREAS, on May 7, 2015, the County and the Contractor executed Amendment No. 1, revising Exhibit A - Technical Specifications and Exhibit B - Fee Schedule; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Contractor now require an amendment to increase the initial three-year Contract amount and the per fiscal year not to exceed amount.

NOW THEREFORE, the County and the Contractor, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, do agree to amend the Contract as follows:

1. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by reference.
2. Section III.A. COMPENSATION AND PAYMENT OF CONTRACTOR'S SERVICE is hereby replaced in its entirety with the following:
"The County shall pay the Contractor for the services rendered hereunder and completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Term Contract an amount not to exceed $\$ 1,050,000.00$ for the initial three year term. Of the total amount, payments per fiscal year shall not exceed $\$ 350,000.00$."
3. The pricing contained in Exhibit B - Fee Schedule, as amended, remains in effect, and a copy is attached hereto for administrative convenience.
4. Except as modified herein, all other terms, covenants, and conditions of the Contract shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the County and Contractor have executed this Amendment as of the last date written below.

WITNESS:


Sunbelt Sod \& Grading Company:
Print Name: Lesley Silva signed By: Teary silva Title: President
Date: 2-8-2016

SARASOTA COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA

BY:


Thomas A. Harmer, County Administrator

DATE:


Executed by the County Administrator Pursuant to Ordinance No. 2014-095 and Resolution No. 2014-220

Approved as to form and correctness:

BY:


| Lot A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT OF MEASURE | UNIT BID PRICE |
| A. 1 | FLORITAM, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.35 |
| A. 2 | FLORITAM, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED (USING STAKES OR STAPLES) | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.35 |
| A. 3 | ARGENTINE BAHIA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | $\begin{gathered} \text { SQUARE } \\ \text { FOOT } \end{gathered}$ | \$0.21 |
| A. 4 | ARGENTINE BAHIA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED (USING STAKES OR STAPLES) | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.23 |
| A. 5 | EMPIRE ZOYSA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.46 |
| A. 6 | EMPIRE ZOYSA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED (USING STAKES OR STAPLES) | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.46 |
| A. 7 | CELEBRATION BERMUDA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SQUARE } \\ & \text { FOOT } \end{aligned}$ | \$0.35 |
| A. 8 | CELEBRATION BERMUDA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED (USING STAKES AND STAPLES | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.35 |
| A. 9 | PENSACOLA BAHIA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.21 |
| A. 10 | PENSACOLA BAHIA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED (USING STAKES AND STAPLES | $\begin{gathered} \text { SQUARE } \\ \text { FOOT } \end{gathered}$ | \$0.23 |
| A. 11 | MOT FOR ARTERIAL ROADWAYS | EACH | \$150.00 |
| A. 12 | MOT FOR FDOT ROADWAYS | EACH | \$150.00 |
| Lot B |  |  |  |
| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT OF measure | UNIT BID PRICE |
| B. 1 | INSTALLATION OF HYDRO SEED UP TO 100 FT IN DISTANCE FROM PUMPING EOUIPMENT | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.05 |
| B. 2 | INSTALLATION OF HYDRO SEED UP TO 100 FT IN DISTANCE FROM 100 FT TO 200 FT FROM PUMPING TRUCK WITH USE OF ADDITIONAL HOSE | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.05 |
| B. 3 | INSTALLATION OF HYDRO SEED WITH TACKOFIER UP TO 100 FT IN DISTANCE FROM PUMPING EQUIPMENT | $\begin{gathered} \text { SQUARE } \\ \text { FOOT } \end{gathered}$ | \$0.06 |
| B. 4 | INSTALLATION OF HYDRO SEED WITH TACKOFIER FROM 100 FT TO 200 FT FROM PUMPING TRUCK WITH USE OF ADDITIONAL HOSE | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.06 |
| B. 5 | SPECIALTY SEED -\% MARK UP OVER SEED COST NOT TO EXGEED-5\% (AMENDMENT NO. 1) | PERCENT | 10\% |
| B. 6 | MOT FOR ARTERIAL ROADWAYS | EACH | \$150.00 |
| B. 7 | MOT FOR FDOT ROADWAYS | EACH | \$150.00 |

## AMENDMENT NO. 2 <br> TO COUNTY CONTRACT NO. 2013-450

This Amendment is made and entered into upon execution by both parties by and between Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the "County," and Woodruff \& Sons, Inc., an Indiana corporation authorized to do business in the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor."

## WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the County and the Contractor entered into County Contract No. 2013-450 ("Contract") for UPC for roads, transit, trails, sidewalks and drainage, effective July 9, 2013; and

WHEREAS, on April 18, 2016, the County and the Contractor executed Amendment No. 1, renewing the term of the Contract and revising the
Accepted Unit Price Schedule; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Contractor now require an amendment to renew the term of the Contract.

NOW THEREFORE, the County and the Contractor, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, do agree to amend the Contract as follows:

1. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by
reference.
2. The term of the Contract is hereby renewed for a period of one (1)
year, effective July 9,2017 through July 8,2018 .
3. The pricing contained in the Accepted Unit Price Schedule as last amended remains in effect, and a copy is attached hereto for administrative convenience.
4. $\S 287.135$, F.S., prohibits agencies from contracting with companies for goods or services of $\$ 1,000,000$ or more, that are on the Scrutinized Companies that Boycott Israel List, the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in Sudan List or the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in the Iran Petroleum Energy Sector List, or are engaged in business operations in Cuba or Syria, or are engaged in a boycott of Israel. The lists are created pursuant to $\$ 215.473$ and $\$ 215.4725$, F.S. Contractor certifies that the organization is not listed on the Scrutinized Companies that Boycott Israel List, the Scrutinized

| Closure Item 6 - Stormwater Control System: |
| :---: |
| Piping |
| Underdrains |
| Control Structures |

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the County and the Contractor have executed this Amendment as of the last date written below.

WITNESS:
Print Name: Debra S. Smith
Signed By:
 Woodruff \& Sons, Inc.:

Print Name: Linda S. Wakeman


SARASOTA COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA BY:


DATE: $\quad 7 / 3 / 1 / 7$
Executed by the Procurement Official pursuant to Chapter 4.1 (E) of the Sarasota County Procurement Manual

Approved as to form and correctness:

BY:


ACCEPTED UNIT PRICE SCHEDULE -- Bid \#122213CS


ACCEPTED UNIT PRICE SCHEDULE -- Bid \#122213CS


ACCEPTED UNIT PRICE SCHEDULE -- Bid \#122213CS


## CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT

## Contract for Phase II Subcells 1 \& 2 LFG Collection and Control System Expansion, Bid \#176597CS

This Contract is made and entered into as of the date of execution by both parties, by and between Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida (hereinafter "County") and Carlson Environmental Consultants, PC a North Carolina corporation authorized to do business in the State of Florida (hereinafter "Contractor").

## WITNESSETH:

1. Construction Materials, Services, and Labor: That for and in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants hereinafter contained, together with cthe money consideration hereinafter recited, the Contractor shall furnish all the latror, services and materials for the construction of Phase II Subcells 1 \& 2 LFG Collection and Control System Expansion, Bid \#176597CS. All work and labor shaflibe done ir accordance with the plans and specifications on file with the County, as tisted in Article III, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged and which plans and specifictations are incorporated herein by reference.
II. Contract Price: In consideration of the work, labor, services and materialsto be furnished by the Contractor, in accordance with said plans and speeifications, the County agrees to pay to the Contractor, upon the completion and acceptance thereof by the County, or its duly authorized agent, the total contract price of One Million, Two Hundred Ninety-Four Thousand, Seven Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ( $\$ 1,294,700.00$ ). The total contract price includes a $10 \%$ contingency amount for unanticipated work within the scope of the project and may only be authorized at the discretion of the County's Administrative Agent.
III. Contract Documents: The contract documents, which comprise the entire contract between County and Contractor and which are made a part hereof by this reference, consist of the following:
2. Solicitation, and any addenda
3. Bid Form
4. Executed Sworn Statement: Florida Trench Safety Act
5. Local Hiring Initiative Participation Form
6. Stored Materials Affidavit
7. Consent of Surety to Final Payment
8. Final Affidavit and Release of Lien
9. General Conditions
10. Supplemental General Conditions \& Special Conditions
11. Technical Specifications
12. Permits
13. EPA Stormwater Management Guidance
14. Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act
15. Project drawings, 16 sheets, dated May 2017, prepared by SCS Engineers
16. The Notice to Proceed issued by County
17. Project Purchase Orders

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Contract as of the date last below written.

WITNESS:
Signed By:


## Carlson Envirorfinental Consultants, PC

Signed By:
Print Name: Brent H. Ross

## ATTEST:

KAREN E. RUSHING, Clerk of the Circuit Court and Ex-Officio Clerk of the Board of County Spmmissioners

Approved as to form and correctness:
BY:


# Sarasota County - Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex 

 Phase II Subcells 1 and 2 LFG Collection and Control System ExpansionBID No. 176597CS
Closure Item 8 - Active Gas Extraction Control: GCCS Construction plus Well Replacement Bidders must provide prices for each line item tor their bid to be considered responsive

| Item No. | Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) | Amount (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | Mobilization/Demobilization (Maximum of 5\% of Total Bid Price) | LS | 1 | \$ 60,000.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| 002 | Proiect Survey | LS | 1 | \$ 20.000.00 | \$ 20.000.00 |
| 003 | 36" Diameter Bore with $8^{\prime \prime}$ HDPE SDR 11 Casing | LF | 1,670 | \$ 150.00 | \$ 250,500.00 |
| 004 | Boring Refusal | LF | 251 | \$ 10.00 | \$ 2,505.00 |
| 005 | LFG Wellheads - Vertical, Caisson, and Remote Wells | EA | 41 | \$ 1,200.00 | \$ 49,200.00 |
| 006 | 24" Diameter HDPE SDR 17 Caisson Well Casing | EA | 5 | \$ 6,500.00 | \$ 32,500.00 |
| 007 | Ph wreacaca . Whal | 10 | 1 | c.c.cona | c $\quad$ - |

Cost to Replace $20.5(50 \%)$ Cell1 and 2 Gas Wells in Phase II = $(\$ 250,000+\$ 2,505+\$ 49,200+\$ 32,500) / 2=\$ 167,353$

| 010 | 4" Dewatering Discharge Line Isolation Valves | EA | 4 | \$ | 2,400.00 | \$ | 9,600.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 011 | 2" Air Supply Line Isolation Valves | EA | 4 | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | 8,000.00 |
| 012 | 12" Diameter Casing for Road Crossing | LF | 80 | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | 16,000.00 |
| 013 | 18" HDPE SDR 17 LFG Header Pipe | LF | 825 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 49,500.00 |
| 014 | 12" HDPE SDR 17 LFG Header Pipe | LF | 2,380 | \$ | 33.00 | \$ | 78,540.00 |
| 015 | 6" HDPE SDR 17 LFG Lateral Pipe | LF | 3,990 | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | 59,850.00 |
| 016 | 6" HDPE SDR 17 Leachate Cleanout Pipe Tie-Ins | LF | 1,200 | S | 18.50 | \$ | 22,200.00 |
| 017 | 4" HDPE SDR 11 Dewatering Discharge in Common Trench | LF | 7,800 | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 31,200.00 |
| 018 | 2" HDPE SDR 9 Air Line in Common Trench | LF | 7,800 | \$ | 2.25 | \$ | 17,550.00 |
| 019 | Vacumm Access Riser | EA | 6 | S | 30000.00 | \$ | 18,000.00 |


| 020 | GCCS Construction Unit Cost $=\$ 1,294,700 / 27$ acres $=\$ 47,950 /$ acre Remaining Area Requiring GCCS $=(63$ acres $)-(27$ acres $)=36$ acres Cost for Remaining GCCS Construction $=\$ 1,726,200$ |  |  |  |  |  | 70,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 021 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,725.00 |
| 022 |  |  |  |  |  | \% | 33,030.00 |
| 023 | Sodding - Side Slopes | SY | 32,000 | S | 2.80 | \$ | 89,600.00 |
| 024 | 60-mil Textured HDPE Geomembrane and Geocomposite - Repair Work | LS | 1 | \$ | $36,000.00$ | \$ | 36,000.00 |
| 025 | Total GCCS Construction Cost Plus Well Replacement Cost at Time of Closure = $\$ 1,726,200+\$ 167,353=\$ 1,893,553$ |  |  |  |  |  | 14,000.00 |
| 026 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,000.00 |
| 027 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20,000.00 |
| 028 | Gas Extraction Well Pumps - Supplied and Installed | EA | 10 | \$ | 6,500.00 | \$ | 65,000.00 |
| 029 | Waste Removal and Relocation | TON | 1,000 | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 30,000.00 |
| 030 | Waste Disposal Tipping Fee Allowance | LS | 1 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 031 | Borrow Soil Excavation and Placement | CY | 9,000 | \$ | 1.50 | \$ | 13,500.00 |
| 032 | LFG Vertical Well Targets - Subcell 3 | EA | 4 | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 10,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL PRICE |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,177,000.00 |
| Construction Contingency Allowance ( $10 \%$ of Subtotal Bid Price) |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 117,700.00 |
| TOTAL BID PRICE |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,294,700.00 |

TOTAL BID PRICE written in words:

| Jones <br> EDMUNDS | PROJECT NUMBER: PROJECT NAME: SUBJECT: | 19006-054-01 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sarasota County WTPF Permit Renewal |  |  |
|  |  | Closure Cost Calculations |  |  |
|  | BY: | J. Toms | DATE: | 5/11/2017 |
|  | CHECKED BY: | G. Reinhart | DATE: | 5/17/2017 |

OBJECTIVE: Calculate closure costs for a Waste Tire Processing Facility

GIVEN:

| Description | Quantity | Unit | Reference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Storage Area Dimensions | $180 \times 50$ | feet | Site plan |
| Maximum tire pile height | 15 | feet | Facility Permit |
| total amount of tires stored at facility | 500 | tons | Facility Permit |
| conversion | 100 | tires/ton | DEP form 62-701.900(22) |
| conversion | 10 | tires/yd3 | DEP form 62-701.900(22) |
| Tire disposal fee | 130 | $\$ /$ ton | Attachment D-2 |
| Tire hauling cost | 435 | $\$ / 30 y d 3$ | Attachment D-2 |

## CALCULATIONS:

Verify storage area is of sufficient size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Storage area volume }=180 \mathrm{ft} \times 50 \mathrm{ft} \times 15 \mathrm{ft}=135,000 \mathrm{ft}^{3} \\
& \text { Total tires that can be stored on site }=135,000 \mathrm{ft}^{3} \times \frac{1 y d^{3}}{27 \mathrm{ft}^{3}} \times \frac{10 \text { tires }}{y d^{3}} \times \frac{1 \text { ton }}{100 \text { tires }}=500 \text { tons }
\end{aligned}
$$

Facility has sufficient space to store 500 tons of whole tires.

Calculate Disposal Cost:

$$
=500 \text { tons } x \frac{\$ 130}{\text { ton }}=\$ 65,000
$$

Calculate Hauling Cost:

$$
=500 \text { tons } x \frac{100 \text { tires }}{\text { ton }} \times \frac{y d^{3}}{10 \text { tires }} \times \frac{\$ 435}{30 y d^{3}}=\$ 72,500
$$

Calculate Total Facility Closure Cost:

| Total Tire disposal Cost $=$ | $\$ 137,500$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Facility cleanup cost $=$ | $\$$ | 2,000 |
| $10 \%$ contingency $=$ | $\$ 13,950$ |  |
| (based on 40 hours at $\$ 50 /$ hour ) |  |  |
| Total Facility Closure Cost $=$ | $\$ 153,450$ |  |

CCSWDC
C\&DD and Class III MRF
Financial Assurance Cost Estimate
Date Updated: 9/12/2014
As part of closure of the MRF, all waste and recyclable materials will be removed. Financial Assurance for the MRF is included in the Financial Assurance for the Sarasota County Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex. The closure cost estimate for the MRF is calculated below. It was assumed that the waste and recyclable materials would be at the maximum stockpile permitted capacity, and would be disposed of in the on-site Class I Landfill at the current tip fee for Class I solid waste of $\$ 57.56$ per ton. The floors will be cleaned/washed when the facility is closed. Leachate will be pumped to the Class I Landfill storage tanks (see note 1). Since all waste will be removed from the area upon closure, no long-term care is anticipated.

CLOSURE COST ESTIMATE ${ }^{1}$ (MRF Only)

| Description | Amount | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposal of the permitted stockpile <br> at the on-site Class I Landfill | 12,000 tons $^{2}$ | $\$ 57.56 /$ ton | $\$ 690,720$ |
| Loading and Hauling waste one mile <br> round trip from MRF to on-site <br> Class I Landfill, \$10 per cubic yard. | $48,000 \mathrm{CY}$ | $\$ 10 / \mathrm{CY}^{3}$ | $\$ 480,000$ |
| Cleaning/Pressure Washing | $65,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $\$ 0.13 / \mathrm{SF}^{4}$ | $\$ 8,450$ |
| Contingency @10\% of Subtotal | 1 | $\$ 117,917$ | $\$ 117,917$ |
| Overhead, Profit and General <br> Conditions @ 10\% of Subtotal | 1 | $\$ 129,709$ | $\$ 129,709$ |
| TOTAL CLOSURE COST <br> ESTIMATE |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 2 6 , 7 9 6}$ |

Notes:

1) Approximately 22,000 gallons of leachate will be accepted by the Class I Landfill leachate collection system at no cost; therefore, it is not included in the closure cost estimates for the MRF. Volume of storage on site based on the calculations provided in Table 1-2 MRF Storage Capacities.
2) Tonnage based on 48,000 cy at $500 \mathrm{lb} /$ cy average density for $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{D}$ waste (see attached density estimation sheet from Florida Center study).
3) $\$ 10.00 /$ CY quote dated $9 / 1 / 2014$ provided by Haul-Away of the Suncoast Inc. includes mileage.
4) $\$ 0.13 /$ SF quote dated $94 / 14$ provided by Boro Building and Property Maintenance.

Cost estimates attached.

## Inflation adjustment:

$\$ 1,426,796 \times 1.015 \times 1.014 \times 1.009 \times 1.013=\$ 1,500,950$


Closure Item 13 - Site-Specific Costs: Special Wastes - Electronics, White Goods, HHW, Etc.
f (https://www.facebook.com/polkcountygovfl)

## 

## Search the Site

MENU

## Landfill

North Central Landfill

## LOCATION

The landfill is located at 7425 DeCastro Road, Winter Haven, FL 33880, between Lakeland and Winter Haven, 4.5 miles east of U.S. 98 South on County Road 540.

HOURS

Monday through Friday 7 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Saturdays 7:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.
Closed Sunday and the following holidays:

New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day

## PAYMENT

Cash, check, debit or credit cards are acceptable forms of payment Monday through day.
Credit cards are NOW accepted on Saturday.

For information on setting up a business account, please contact our office at (863) 284-4319.

All incoming loads are subject to a $\$ 10$ minimum fee and must be secured. No waste generated from outside Polk County will be accepted without prior written approval from the Board of County Commissioners.

Yard Trash

Construction and Demolition Debris

Refrigerators and $A / C$ Units (White Goods)

Standard Passenger Vehicle Tires: No more than 24 tires accepted per visit

Equipment/Semi Tires over 17"
Scrap Metals

Mobile Homes

Assume 100 tons Special Waste requiring disposal at Special Handling Materials Cost
\$22/ton
\$36.50/ton
\$27.50/ton without Freon
\$50/ton with Freon
\$2/tire off rim
\$5/tire on rim
\$175/ton
\$27.50/ton
\$495/side

> Special Handling Materials (Requiring additional resources to process the load properly)
\$175/ton

Unaccepted Materials:
Hauling Cost Estimated Based on Waste Tire Hauling Cost $\$ 72,500 / 500$ tons tires $=\$ 145 /$ ton

- Bulk Liquids
- Pressurized tanks

Total Special Waste Hauling and Disposal Cost = 100 tons $\times(\$ 145 /$ ton $+\$ 175 /$ ton $)=\$ 32,000$

- Commercial Quantities of liquids (55 Gallon drums)
- Ammunition/Explosives
- Biological Waste
- Asbestos
- Radioactive waste

Smoking is strictly prohibited while at the landfill.

If you have questions, call Waste and Recycling Division at (863) 284-4319.

The Polk County Board of County Commissioners is an equal opportunity and affirmative action (/equal-opportunity/equal-opportunity-action-plan) employer.

Polk County Board of County Commissioners

330 W. Church St.
PO Box 9005
Bartow, FL 33831-9005
(863) 534-6000

## Landfill

## Landfill Overview

The Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex (CCSWDC) is centrally located within Sarasota County and accepts residential, municipal and commercial garbage from the unincorporated areas of Sarasota, and from three municipalities (cities of North Port, Sarasota and Venice). Landfill operations are supported by the tipping fees collected for processing and/or disposal of materials received.

Sarasota County offers landfill customers a free, fast and easy service to make convenient and secure online credit card payments.

## Hours of Operation

Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex
4000 Knights Trail Road, Nokomis
Administration Monday-Friday, 8 a.m.-5 p.m.
Landfill and C\&D Monday-Friday, 8 a.m. -5 p.m.
Landfill and C\&D Saturday, 8 a.m.-2 p.m.

## Citizens Convenience Center

4010 Knights Trail Road, Nokomis
Monday-Friday, 8 a.m.-5 p.m.
Saturday, 8 a.m.-2 p.m.
NOTE: All customers unloading items by hand need to arrive at least 30 minutes prior to closing.

Public Access Map to Landfill (Monday-Friday)
Public Access Map to Landfill (Saturday)

## Disposal Rates/Tipping Fees

| Item | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Solid Waste | $\$ 57.56 /$ ton |
|  | $\$ 7.50$ minimum (up to 240lbs) |
|  |  |
| Construction and Demolition Debris $\$ 48.96 /$ ton |  |
|  | $\$ 16.32 /$ cubic yard for all vehicles |
|  | (lesser of the two) |
|  |  |
|  | $\$ 158.60 /$ ton |
| Waste Tire | $\$ 2 /$ each for individual passenger vehicle tires (up to 5 ) |


| 2/20/2018 Landfill \| Sarasota County, FL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yard Waste | $\$ 41.37 /$ ton  <br> $\$ 5$ minimum (up to 240lbs) Closure Item <br> Special | Site-Specific Costs: <br> - Yard Waste |
| Vehicle Weighing | \$5/each (documentation) |  |
| Sludge | \$75/ton |  |
| Asbestos* | \$57.56/ton (non-friable) <br> $\$ 75 /$ ton (friable) <br> $\$ 10$ minimum for all vehicles |  |
| Rates effective Oct. 1, 2014 <br> Soils, dredge materials, car wash an special wastes require prior approva Request form to the Special Waste I County landfill. | Assume 3,000 tons of yard waste requiring disposal at current CCSWDC disposal rate of $\$ 41.37$ ton. $3,000 \text { tons } \times \$ 41.37=\$ 124,110$ | d other similar <br> ste Disposal <br> erial to the Sarasota |

Payment for disposal rates must be made with cash, credit card (Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover) or a debit card with a bank logo on it. Business or personal checks are not accepted.
*For each load of asbestos brought to the Sarasota County landfill, haulers must complete a Waste Shipment Record form and schedule the drop-off at least 24 hours in advance by calling 941-861-5000. Asbestos will ONLY be accepted Monday-Friday, 8 a.m.-3 p.m. NOTE: The FDEP Notice of Demolition or Asbestos Renovation form must also be completed and returned to the appropriate agency.

## Landfill Gas to Energy Program

The Landfill Gas to Energy Facility at the CCSWDC is a joint project between Sarasota County and a private company, Landfill Energy Systems Florida (Aria Energy). The innovative technology demonstrates Sarasota County's commitment to clean energy and a sustainable future.

Methane, a greenhouse gas produced by the county's landfill, is converted into electricity that enters the power grid.

Three engines inside the building can produce as much as 4.8 megawatts of electricity, or enough to power 2,800 homes. The engines weigh about 20 tons each, have 20 cylinders and produce more than 2,200 horsepower apiece. Each engine uses about 500 cubic feet of landfill gas per minute.

## Landfill Gas to Energy Fast Facts

## Mulch

NEED MULCH? We've got it, thanks to the more than 300,000 cubic yards of vegetative storm debris generated by Hurricane Irma. The debris has been mulched and is now available to Sarasota County residents for residential use. It's available at the Central County Landfill, 4000 Knights Trail Road, Nokomis, between 8 a.m. to $4: 30$ p.m.,

Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex Cost Estimate for Monitoring Services 2018 Financial Assurance Cost Estimate

JonesEdmunds)

1. Groundwater Monitoring (62-701.510(6), and (8)(a))

| Sampling <br> Frequency <br> (Events/Year) | Number of Wells | \$/Well/Event | $\$ /$ Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Semi-Annually | 2 | 14 | $\$$ | $1,077.71$ | $\$$ | $30,176.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |

Subtotal Groundwater Monitoring: \$ 30,176.00

| Estimates for above calculations: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Annual Cost for Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring |  |
| Total Sampling Cost for Groundwater Monitoring Wells | $\$ /$ Year |
| Total Reporting Cost for Groundwater Monitoring Wells | $\$$ |
| Total Laboratory Analytical Cost for Groundwater Monitoring Wells | $\$$ |
| Total Cost for Groundwater Monitoring (Per Year) | $\$$ |

Notes: $\quad$ All costs are for a third party providing all materials and labor.
Number of wells based on FDEP Permit Modification No. 0130542-028-SO-IM Requirements of:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Semi-Annually: } & \begin{array}{l}
1 \text { Background Well } \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
4 \text { Detection Wells }
\end{array} \\
\end{array}
$$

* Slight Differences in Totals Due to Multiplication and Rounding of Unit Costs

Sarasota County SWDC
Costs Based on Current JE Costs for Alachua County Landfills - ENCO

LTC Item 1 - Groundwater Monitoring: Semi-Annual

Semiannual Groundwater Laboratory Costs - Per Event

| Parameter | \# Samples | \# EqBlks | \# TripBlks | Total Samples | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ammonia | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 8.40 | \$ 92.40 |
| Chloride | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 8.40 | \$ 92.40 |
| Iron | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 80.30 |
| Mercury | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 12.60 | \$ 138.60 |
| Nitrate | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 172.70 |
| Sodium | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 80.30 |
| Manganese | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 80.30 |
| Sulfate | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 12.00 | \$ 132.00 |
| TDS | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 80.30 |
| Appendix 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | \$ 245.00 | \$ 2,695.00 |
| Appendix 1 VOCs | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | \$ | \$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ODC Markup | 3,644.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ 4,008.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rounded | \$ 4,009.00 |

Semiannual NAM Wells Laboratory Costs - Per Event

| Parameter | \# Samples | \# EqBIks | \# TripBlks | Total Samples | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ammonia | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | \$ 8.40 | \$ 42.00 |
| Iron | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 36.50 |
| Manganese | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 36.50 |
| Arsenic | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 36.50 |
| TDS | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 36.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal | \$ 188.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ODC Markup | 1.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ 206.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rounded | \$ 207.00 |

## LTC Item 2 - Surface Water Monitoring: <br> Semi-Annual

## Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex Cost Estimate for Monitoring Services 2018 Financial Assurance Cost Estimate

2. Surface Water Monitoring (62-701.510(4), and (8)(b))

| Sampling <br> Frequency <br> (Events/Year) | Number of Stations | \$/Station/Event | $\$ /$ Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Semi-Annually 2 2 $\$$ $1,234.00$ $\$$ $4,936.00$ |
| :---: |


| Estimates for above calculations: |  | $\$ /$ Year |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Annual Cost for Semi-Annual Surface Water Monitoring |  |  |
| Total Sampling Cost for Surface Water Stations | $\$$ | 810.00 |
| Total Reporting Cost for Surface Water Stations | $\$$ | 470.00 |
| Total Laboratory Analytical Cost for Surface Water Stations | $\$$ | $3,656.00$ |
| Total Costs Related to Surface Water Monitoring (Per Year) | * | $4,936.00$ |

Notes: $\quad$ All costs are for a third party providing all materials and labor.
Number of stations based on FDEP Permit Modification No. 0130542-028-SO-IM Requirements of:
Semi-Annually: 2 Surface Water Stations

[^1]
## Sarasota County SWDC

Costs Based on Current JE Costs for Alachua County Landfills - ENCO
Annual Surface Water Laboratory Costs - Per Event

| Parameter | \# Samples | \# EqBlks | \# TripBlks | Total Samples | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Un-Ionized Ammonia | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 18.00 | \$ 54.00 |
| Hardness | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 10.00 | \$ 30.00 |
| BOD | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 47.10 |
| Iron | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 21.90 |
| Mercury | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 75.00 | \$ 225.00 |
| Nitrate | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 47.10 |
| TDS | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 21.90 |
| TOC | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 47.10 |
| Fecal Coliform | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 63.00 |
| Total Phosphorus | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 18.85 | \$ 56.55 |
| Chlorophyll A | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 45.00 | \$ 135.00 |
| Total Nitrogen | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 35.00 | \$ 105.00 |
| COD | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 47.10 |
| TSS | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 8.40 | \$ 25.20 |
| Appendix 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | \$ 245.00 | \$ 735.00 |
| Appendix 1 VOCs | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$ - | \$ |


| Subtotal | $\$$ | $1,660.95$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| ODC Markup |  | 1.10 |
| Total | $\$$ | $1,827.05$ |


| Rounded | $\$ 1,828.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex
Cost Estimate for Monitoring Services
JonesEdmunds) 2018 Financial Assurance Cost Estimate
3. Gas Monitoring (62-701.400(10))

| Sampling <br> Frequency <br> (Events/Year) | Number of Stations | \$/Station/Event | $\$ /$ Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Quarterly | 4 | 10 | $\$$ | 143.00 | $\$$ | $5,720.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Estimates for above calculations: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Annual Cost for Quarterly Landfill Gas Monitoring | $\$ /$ Year |
| Total Sampling Cost for Landfill Gas Monitoring | $\$$ |
| Total Reporting Cost for Landfill Gas Monitoring | $\$$ |
| Total Cost Related to Landfill Gas Monitoring (Per Year) | $*$ |

Notes: $\quad$ All costs are for a third party providing all materials and labor.
Number of stations based on FDEP Permit Modification No. 0130542-028-SO-IM Requirements of:
Quarterly: 4 Landfill Gas Monitoring Probes
6 Ambient Points (Buildings / Structures/Other)

[^2]Florida Jetclean


The undersigned certifies that the bidder will provide products and/or services in accordance with the specifications of the IFB and all issued addendums at the rates listed above for the term of the Agreement.


## 153166TM HAULING，REMOVAL AND UNLOADING OF RAW SEWAGE FROM UTILITY FACILITIES

THIS TERM CONTRACT is made and entered into as of the date of execution by both parties，by and between Sarasota County，a political subdivision of the State of Florida，hereinafter referred to as the＂County＂and Innovative Fabricators of Florida，Inc．dba Innovative Contractors，a Florida corporation，hereinafter referred to as＂Contractor．＂

## WITNESSETH

WHEREAS，the County requires the services of a contractor to perform removal and unloading of raw sewage from utility facilities；and，

WHEREAS，the County issued a solicitation 153166TM，on Decembe and，

管隠：mauling
 ？
M
M
T
$\square$
2015；

WHEREAS，the County evaluated the responses received and found the Eontrader qualified to perform the necessary services；and，

WHEREAS，the County approved a Notice of Recommended Award on February 16， 2016；and，

WHEREAS，the Contractor has reviewed the services required pursuant to this Term Contract and is qualified，willing and able to provide and perform all such services in accordance with its terms．

NOW，THEREFORE，the County and the Contractor，in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein，do agree as follows：

## I．CONTRACTOR＇S SERVICES

The Contractor agrees to diligently provide all materials，services and labor as the Primary Contractor for Category 2－Removal of Raw Sewage using a Vacuum Truck，in accordance with the scope of services made part of this Term Contract as Exhibit A，attached hereto and incorporated herein．

## II．TERM

This Term Contract shall commence on June 8， 2016 and shall continue for a period of three years．This Term Contract may be renewed for up to two additional one year periods subject to written agreement of both parties．This Term Contract will supersede Contractor＇s current contract with the County （designated as Bid No．111347JA）and dated March 21， 2011.

LTC Item 5 - Leachate Collection/Treatment Systems Maintenance: Maintenance
153166TM HAULING, UNLOADING AND REMOVAL OF RAW SEWAGE FROM UTILITY FACILITIES EXHIBIT B - FEE SCHEDULE

| CATEGORY 2-REMOVAL OF RAW SEWAGE USING A VACUUM TRUCK |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT OF <br> MEASURE | UNIT PRICE |
| 1 | HAULING RATE WITH DRIVER - STANDARD SERVICE <br> HOURS | HOUR | $\$ 140.00$ |
| 2 | HAULING RATE WITH DRIVER - AFTER HOURS | HOUR | $\$ 160.00$ |
| 3 | STANDBY RATE WITH DRIVER-STANDARD SERVICE <br> HOURS | HOUR | $\$ 80.00$ |
| 4 | STANDBY RATE WITH DRIVER-AFTER HOURS | HOUR | $\$ 80.00$ |
| 5 | TECHNICIAN | HOUR | $\$ 40.00$ |
| 6 | MOT- TO BE REIMBURSED AT COST WITH PRIOR <br> COUNTY APPROVAL. | N/A | COST |

Assume 4 hours to clean each sump and lift station.
4 hrs $\times(\$ 140 / \mathrm{hr}+\$ 40 / \mathrm{hr})=\$ 720$ per sump or lift station

## ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT <br> TO TERM CONTRACT NO. 1529590100

This Assumption Agreement is made effective as of the date of execution by and among Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida ("County") and Cascade Drilling, L.P., a Delaware Corporation authorized to do business in the State of Florida ("Assignee").

## WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the County and Terra Sonic International, LLC ("Assignor") entered into Term Contract No. 1529590100 ("Contract") for Groundwater Monitoring Wells, Piezometer Wells and Gas Migration Probes, effective April 27, 2015; and,

WHEREAS, the Assignee acquired the U.S. Drilling Services Division from Assignor in November 2015; and,

WHEREAS, the Assignee and the County now wish to memorialize the Assignee's assumption of all rights, duties and obligations of Assignor under the Contract.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto covenant and agree as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein by this reference.
2. The terms of the Assumption shall bind and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their respective heirs, legal representatives and successors and assigns.
3. Assignee hereby assumes, all of Assignor's rights, duties and obligations under the Contract.
4. Exhibit A - Scope of Services is unchanged but attached hereto for administrative convenience.
5. Exhibit B - Fee Schedule is unchanged but attached hereto for administrative convenience.
6. IF THE ASSIGNEE HAS QUESTIONS REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 119, FLORIDA STATUTES, TO THE ASSIGNEE'S DUTY TO PROVIDE PUBLIC RECORDS RELATING TO THIS CONTRACT, CONTACT THE CUSTODIAN OF PUBLIC RECORDS AT:


## SARASOTA COUNTY

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA

By:


Date: $\quad 2 / 2 y / 16$
Executed by the County Administrator Pursuant to Ordinance No. 2016-019 and Resolution No. 2016-055

Approved as to form and correctness:
BY:


## IFQ 152959 TM-GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS, PIEZOMETER WELLS AND GAS MIGRATION PROBES

## EXHIBIT B - FEE SCHEDULE

| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT OF MEASURE | UNIT PRICE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Groundwater Well Installation - Hollow Stem Auger2 inch diameter SCH 40 PVC with $0.010^{\prime \prime}$ slots | Per linear foot | \$30.00 |
| 2 | Groundwater Well Installation - Hand Auger - 2 inch diameter SCH 40 PVC with $0.010^{\prime \prime}$ slots | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per linear } \\ & \text { foot } \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$25.00 |
| 3 | Well part- 4 inch $\times 5$ foot long aluminum well protective box | Each | \$100.00 |
| 4 | Well part- 6 inch $\times 5$ foot long aluminum well protective box | Each | \$205.00 |
| 5 | Well part- 8 inch diameter with 8 inch skirt well manhole, bolt-down | Each | \$45.00 |
| 6 | Well part- 4 inch $\times 6$ foot PVC cement filled bollard | Each | \$65.00 |
| 7 | Well part- $2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$ thick concrete pad | Each | \$75.00 |
| 8 | Probe Installation - Hollow Stem Auger- 1 inch diameter SCH 80 PVC with $0.010^{\prime \prime}$ slots | Per linear foot | \$29.00 |
| 9 | Probe Installation - Hand Auger - 1 inch diameter SCH 80 PVC with $0.010^{\prime \prime}$ slots | Per linear foot | \$24.00 |
| 10 | Probe Installation - Hollow Stem Auger- 2 inch diameter SCH 40 PVC with $0.010^{\prime \prime}$ slots | Per linear foot | \$30.00 |
| 11 | Probe Installation - Hand Auger - 2 inch diameter SCH 40 PVC with $0.010^{\prime \prime}$ slots | Per linear foot | \$24.00 |
| 12 | Groundwater Well Development | Hourly | \$75.00 |
| 13 | Well/Probe Abandonment - $2^{\prime \prime}$ | Per linear foot | \$12.00 |
| 14 | Well Abandonment 4" | Per Linear Foot | \$16.00 |
| 15 | Probe Abandonment - ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Per linear foot | \$10.00 |
| 16 | Repair/Maintenance of Wells | Hourly | \$150.00 |
| 17 | Repair/Installation of Staff Gauges | Hourly | \$75.00 |
| 18 | Boat Usage | Daily | \$500.00 |
| 19 | Miscellaneous Supplies at cost plus markup | \% | $9 \%$ |

## Well Replacement

Assume 25 ft well depth, 2 bollards
$(\$ 30 \times 25 \mathrm{ft})+\$ 100+(\$ 65 \times 2)=\$ 980$

## CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT

## Contract for Phase II Subcells 1 \& 2 LFG Collection and Control System Expansion, Bid \#176597CS

This Contract is made and entered into as of the date of execution by both parties, by and between Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida (hereinafter "County") and CarIson Environmental Consultants, PC a North Carolina corporation authorized to do business in the State of Florida (hereinafter "Contractor").

## WITNESSETH:

1. Construction Materials, Services, and Labor: That for and in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants hereinafter contained, together with the money consideration hereinafter recited, the Contractor shall furnish all the lator, services and materials for the construction of Phase II Subcells 1 \& 2 LFG Colfection and Control System Expansion, Bid \#176597CS. All work and labor shäilibe done in accordance with the plans and specifications on file with the County, as tisted in Aiticle III, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged and which plans and specifictations are incorporated herein by reference.
II. Contract Price: In consideration of the work, labor, services and materialsto be furnished by the Contractor, in accordance with said plans and specifications, the County agrees to pay to the Contractor, upon the completion and acceptance thereof by the County, or its duly authorized agent, the total contract price of One Million, Two Hundred Ninety-Four Thousand, Seven Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ( $\$ 1,294,700.00$ ). The total contract price includes a $10 \%$ contingency amount for unanticipated work within the scope of the project and may only be authorized at the discretion of the County's Administrative Agent.
III. Contract Documents: The contract documents, which comprise the entire contract between County and Contractor and which are made a part hereof by this reference, consist of the following:
2. Solicitation, and any addenda
3. Bid Form
4. Executed Sworn Statement: Florida Trench Safety Act
5. Local Hiring Initiative Participation Form
6. Stored Materials Affidavit
7. Consent of Surety to Final Payment
8. Final Affidavit and Release of Lien
9. General Conditions
10. Supplemental General Conditions \& Special Conditions
11. Technical Specifications
12. Permits
13. EPA Stormwater Management Guidance
14. Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act
15. Project drawings, 16 sheets, dated May 2017, prepared by SCS Engineers
16. The Notice to Proceed issued by County
17. Project Purchase Orders

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Contract as of the date last below written.

WITNESS:
Signed By:


Print Name: Brent H. Ross


## SARASOTA COUNTY

 OF SARASOTA CÓULATY, FLORIDA

BY:


ATTEST:
KAREN E. RUSHING, Clerk of the Circuit Court and Ex-Officio Clerk of the Board of County commissioners:

Approved as to form and correctness:
BY:


Sarasota County - Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex Phase II Subcells 1 and 2 LFG Collection and Control System Expansion BID No. 176597CS
LTC Item 7 - Gas System Maintenance
Bidders must provide prices for each lime item tor their bid to be considered responsive

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Item No. } \\ \hline 001 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Description | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Unit } \\ \hline \text { LS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ | Unit Price (\$) |  | Amount (\$) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mobilization/Demobilization (Maximum of 5\% of Total Bid Price) |  |  | \$ | 60,000.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| 002 | Project Survey | LS | 1 | \$ | 20,000.00 | S | 20,000.00 |
| 003 | $36^{\prime \prime}$ Diameter Bore with 8" HDPE SDR 11 Casing | LF | 1,670 | S | 150.00 | S | 250,500.00 |
| 004 | Boring Refusal | LF | 251 | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 2,505.00 |
| 005 | LFG Wellheads - Vertical, Caisson, and Remote Wells | EA | 41 | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | 49,200.00 |
| 006 | 24" Diameter HDPE SDR 17 Caisson Well Casing | EA | 5 | \$ | 6,500.00 | \$ | $32,500.00$ |
| 007 | Phase II LFGCCS Connection - North Slope | LS | 1 | \$ | 5,500.00 | S | 5,500.00 |
| 008 | Phase II LFGCCS Connection - South Slope | LS | 1 | \$ | 5,500.00 | \$ | 5,500.00 |
| 009 | 12" Header Isolation Valves | EA | 4 | S | 4,500.00 | S | 18,000.00 |
| 010 | 4" Dewatering Discharge Line Isolation Valves | EA | 4 | S | 2,400.00 | S | 9,600.00 |
| 011 | 2" Air Supply Line Isolation Valves | EA | 4 | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | 8,000.00 |
| 012 | 12" Diameter Casing for Road Crossing | LF | 80 | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | 16,000.00 |
| 013 | 18" HDPE SDR 17 LFG Header Pipe | LF | 825 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 49,500.00 |
| 014 | 12" HDPE SDR 17 LFG Header Pipe | LF | 2,380 | S | 33.00 | \$ | 78,540.00 |
| 015 | 6" HDPE SDR 17 LFG Lateral Pipe | LF | 3,990 | S | 15.00 | \$ | 59,850.00 |
| 016 | 6" HDPE SDR 17 Leachate Cleanout Pipe Tie-Ins | LF | 1,200 | S | 18.50 | \$ | 22,200.00 |
| 017 | 4" HDPE SDR 11 Dewatering Discharge in Common Trench | LF | 7,800 | S | 4.00 | S | 31,200.00 |
| 018 | 2" HDPE SDR 9 Air Line in Common Trench | LF | 7,800 | \$ | 2.25 | \$ | 17,550.00 |
| 019 | Vacuum Access Riser | EA | 6 | S | 3,000.00 | S | 18,000.00 |

GCCS Construction Unit Cost $=\$ 1,294,700 / 27$ acres $=\$ 47,950 /$ acre
Portion of the GCCS Replaced on an Annual Basis as Maintenance $=4$ acres Additional FS to Account for Additional Maintenance Items and Blower Station =1.5

Corrected Acreage including FS $=(1.5) \times(4$ acres $)=6$ acres
Annual Cost for Maintenance $=(6$ acres $) \times(\$ 47,950 /$ acre $)=\$ 287,700$

| 025 | 60-mil Thermoplastic Polyolefin (TPO) Exposed Geomembrane Cover Liner - Repair Work | LS | 1 | S | 14,000.00 | \$ | 14,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 026 | 60-mil Thermoplastic Polyolefin (TPO) - Supplied Only | ROLL | 2 | \$ | 6,000.00 | S | 12,000.00 |
| 027 | Gas Extraction Well Pumps - Supplied Only | EA | 5 | \$ | 4,000.00 | S | 20,000.00 |
| 028 | Gas Extraction Well Pumps - Supplied and Installed | EA | 10 | S | 6,500.00 | S | 65,000.00 |
| 029 | Waste Removal and Relocation | TON | 1,000 | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 30,000.00 |
| 030 | Waste Disposal Tipping Fee Allowance | LS | 1 | S | 20,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 031 | Borrow Soil Excavation and Placement | CY | 9,000 | \$ | 1.50 | S | 13,500.00 |
| 032 | LFG Vertical Well Targets - Subcell 3 | EA | 4 | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 10,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL PRICE |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,177,000.00 |
| Construction Contingency Allowance ( $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ of Subtotal Bid Price) |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 117,700.00 |
| TOTAL BID PRICE |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,294,700.00 |

TOTAL BID PRICE written in words:

## 176643 PMB - ROUGH CUT MOWING SERVICES FOR BEE RIDGE LANDFILL SITE-SITE 1

THIS TERM CONTRACT is made and entered into as of the date of execution by both parties, by and between Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the "County" and Walkers Services, Inc., a Florida corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor."

## WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the County requires the services of a Contractor to perform Rough Cut Mowing Services for Bee Ridge Landfill Site-Site 1; and,

WHEREAS, the County issued a solicitation, IFQ 176643PMB on April 21, 2017; and, WHEREAS, the County evaluated the responses received and found the Contractor qualified to perform the necessary services for Site 1 ; and,

WHEREAS, the County approved a Notice of Recommended Award on June 9, 2017; and,

WHEREAS, the Contractor has reviewed the services required pursuant to this Term Contract and is qualified, willing and able to provide and perform all such services in accordance with its terms.

NOW, THEREFORE, the County and the Contractor, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, do agree as follows:

## I. CONTRACTOR'S SERVICES

The Contractor agrees to diligently provide all materials, services and labor for Rough Cut Mowing Services for Bee Ridge Landfill Site-Site 1 in accordance with the Scope of Services made part of this Term Contract as Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein.

## II. TERM

This Term Contract shall commence immediately upon execution by both the County and the Contractor and shall continue for a period of three years. This Term Contract may be renewed for up to two additional one year periods subject to written agreement of both parties.

## III. COMPENSATION AND PAYMENT OF CONTRACTOR'S SERVICE

A. The County shall pay the Contractor for the services rendered hereunder and completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Term Contract a total amount not to exceed ninety thousand dollars and zero cents $(\$ 90,000.00)$ for the initial three-year term.

## TERM CONTRACT FOR

## 176643PMB - ROUGH CUT MOWING SERVICES FOR BEE RIDGE LANDFILL SITE-SITE 1

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Term Contract as of the date last below written.

WITNESS:
Signed By:
Print Name: $\qquad$

WALKERS SERVICES, INC.
Signed By:


Print Name: LISA MAthER
Title: $\qquad$
Date: 6/21/17

## SARASOTA COUNTY

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA

BY :


DATE:


Executed by the Procurement Official, pursuant to Chapter 4.1 (E) of the Sarasota County Procurement Manual

Approved as to form and correctness:
BY:


## 176643PMB ROUGH CUT MOWING SERVICES FOR BEE RIDGE LANDFILL SITE-SITE 1 <br> ATTACHMENT 1 - BEE RIDGE LANDFILL MOWING AREA



RECEIVED February 28, 2018
South District DEP


## 176643PMB ROUGH CUT MOWING SERVICES FOR BEE RIDGE LANDFILL SITE-SITE 1 EXHIBIT B - FEE SCHEDULE

LTC Item 8 - Landscape Maintenance: Mowing

| ITEM\# | DESCRIPTION | UNIT OF <br> MEASURE | PRICE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rough Cut Mowing Services for Bee Ridge Landfill Site-Site 1 | PER CYCLE | $\$ 4,180.00$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mowing: } \\
& \$ 4,180 / 220 \mathrm{AC}=\$ 19 / \mathrm{AC}
\end{aligned}
$$

## AMENDMENT NO. 2 <br> TO COUNTY CONTRACT NO. 2015-062

This Amendment is made and entered into upon execution by both parties by and between Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the "County," and Sunbelt Sod \& Grading Company, a Florida corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor."

## WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the County and the Contractor entered into County Contract No. 2015-062 ("Contract") for Sod, Installation and Seeding Services, effective December 9, 2014; and

WHEREAS, on May 7, 2015, the County and the Contractor executed Amendment No. 1, revising Exhibit A - Technical Specifications and Exhibit B - Fee Schedule; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Contractor now require an amendment to increase the initial three-year Contract amount and the per fiscal year not to exceed amount.

NOW THEREFORE, the County and the Contractor, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, do agree to amend the Contract as follows:

1. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by reference.
2. Section III.A. COMPENSATION AND PAYMENT OF CONTRACTOR'S SERVICE is hereby replaced in its entirety with the following:
"The County shall pay the Contractor for the services rendered hereunder and completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Term Contract an amount not to exceed $\$ 1,050,000.00$ for the initial three year term. Of the total amount, payments per fiscal year shall not exceed $\$ 350,000.00$."
3. The pricing contained in Exhibit B - Fee Schedule, as amended, remains in effect, and a copy is attached hereto for administrative convenience.
4. Except as modified herein, all other terms, covenants, and conditions of the Contract shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the County and Contractor have executed this Amendment as of the last date written below.

WITNESS:
Print Name:
Signed By:


Sunbelt Sod \& Grading Company:


Title:


Date: $2-8-2016$

SARASOTA COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA
$B Y$ :
 County Administrator

DATE:


Executed by the County Administrator Pursuant to Ordinance No. 2014-095 and Resolution No. 2014-220

Approved as to form and correctness:

BY: $\qquad$

IFB 142806HR Sod, Installation and Seeding Services EXHIBIT B - FEE SCHEDULE

| Lot A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT OF MEASURE | UNIT BID PRICE |
| A. 1 | FLORITAM, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.35 |
| A. 2 | FLORITAM, DELIVERED ANO INSTALLED (USING STAKES OR STAPLES) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SQUARE } \\ & \text { FOOT } \end{aligned}$ | \$0.35 |
| A. 3 | ARGENTINE BAHIA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | $\begin{gathered} \text { SQUARE } \\ \text { FOOT } \end{gathered}$ | \$0.21 |
| A. 4 | ARGENTINE BAHIA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED (USING STAKES OR STAPLES) | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.23 |
| A. 5 | EMPIRE ZOYSA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.46 |
| A. 6 | EMPIRE ZOYSA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED (USING STAKES OR STAPLES) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SQUARE } \\ & \text { FOOT } \end{aligned}$ | \$0.46 |
| A. 7 | CELEBRATION BERMUDA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.35 |
| A. 8 | CELEBRATION BERMUDA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED (USING STAKES AND STAPLES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SQUARE } \\ & \text { FOOT } \end{aligned}$ | \$0.35 |
| A. 9 | PENSACOLA BAHIA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SQUARE } \\ & \text { FOOT } \end{aligned}$ | \$0.21 |
| A. 10 | PENSACOLA BAHIA, DELIVERED AND INSTALLED (USING STAKES AND STAPLES | $\begin{gathered} \text { SQUARE } \\ \text { FOOT } \end{gathered}$ | \$0.23 |
| A. 11 | MOT FOR ARTERIAL ROADWAYS | EACH | \$150.00 |
| A. 12 | MOT FOR FDOT ROADWAYS | EACH | \$150.00 |
| Lot B |  |  |  |
| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT OF MEASURE | UNIT BID PRICE |
| B. 1 | INSTALLATION OF HYDRO SEED UP TO 100 FT IN DISTANCE FROM PUMPING EOUIPMENT | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.05 |
| B. 2 | INSTALLATION OF HYDRO SEED UP TO 100 FT IN DISTANCE FROM 100 FT TO 200 FT FROM PUMPING TRUCK WITH USE OF ADDITIONAL HOSE | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.05 |
| B. 3 | INSIALLLAIION OF HYDRO SEED WITH TACKOFIER UP TO 100 FT IN DISTANCE FROM PUMPING EQUIPMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SQUARE } \\ & \text { FOOT } \end{aligned}$ | \$0.06 |
| B. 4 | INSTALLATION OF HYDRO SEED WITH TACKOFIER FROM 100 FT TO 200 FT FROM PUMPING TRUCK WITH USE OF ADDITIONAL HOSE | SQUARE FOOT | \$0.06 |
| B. 5 | SPECIALTY SEED -\% MARK UP OVER SEED COST NOT TO EXCEED-5\% (AMENDMENT NO. 1) | PERCENT | 10\% |
| B. 6 | MOT FOR ARTERIAL ROADWAYS | EACH | \$150.00 |
| B. 7 | MOT FOR FDOT ROADWAYS | EACH | \$150.00 |



The Plastics Experts.

AGRU America, Inc.
500 Garrison Road
Georgetown, SC 29440
Phone: 1-843-546-0600

Janurary 10, 2018
Geomembrane cost $=(\$ 0.30 \times 900$ SF $)+(\$ 0.15 \times 900$ SF $)+\$ 3,000$ mobilization $=\$ 3,405$ Unit Cost $=\$ 3,405 / 900 \mathrm{SF}=\$ 3.79 / \mathrm{SF}$

Jones Edmunds \& Associates, Inc.
730 NE Waldo Road
Gainesville, FL 32641

## RE: $\quad$ Sarasota County Central Landfill Closure - Requested Budgetary Pricing

Agru America is pleased to provide the following budget pricing for the requested geosynthetic profile(s). Budget pricing options include a 40 mil LLDPE MicroSpike and Geocomposite system as Option \#1 and a 50 mil LLDPE MicroDrain geomembrane and geotextile as Option \#2. As discussed, ClosureTurf may work well in this application if you would want to evaluate further.

## Requested Geosynthetic Closure System \#1

This geosynthetic closure system includes a standard 40 mil LLDPE MicroSpike geomembrane with 8-200-8 geocomposite.

| Description | Quantity (SF) | Unit Price (\$/SF) | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 40 mil MicroSpike LLDPE - Material | $2,700,000$ | $\$$ | 0.30 | $\$$ |
| 40 mil MicroSpike LLDPE - Installation | $2,700,000$ | $\$$ | 0.15 | $\$ 10,000.00$ |
| $8 / 200 / 8$ Geocomposite - Material | $2,700,000$ | $\$$ | 0.46 | $\$$ |
| $8 / 200 / 8$ Geocomposite - Installation | $2,700,000$ | $\$$ | 0.13 | $\$$ |
| Total Budget for Conventional |  |  | $351,000,00$ |  |

*Material budget pricing includes estimated freight to Sarasota, FL but no taxes. Installation budget pricing assumes non-union/non-prevailing wage rates.
*A 200 mil Geocomposite product is unlikely to meet the site specific requirements of a highly permeable cover soil typically found in FL.

## Requested Geosynthetic Closure System \#2

This geosynthetic closure system includes a standard 50 mil LLDPE MicroDrain geomembrane with 8 oz nonwoven geotextile.

| Description | Quantity (SF) | Unit Price (\$/SF) | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 50 mil LLDPE MicroDrain - Material | $2,700,000$ | $\$$ | 0.55 | $\$$ |
| 50 mil LLDPE MicroDrain - Installation | $2,700,000$ | $\$$ | 0.18 | $\$$ |
| Agrutex 081 Nonwoven Geotextile - Material | $2,700,000$ | $\$$ | 0.11 | $\$$ |
| Agrutex 081 Nonwoven Geotextile - Installation | $2,700,000$ | $\$$ | 0.000 |  |
| Total Budget for MicroDrain Option | $\$$ | $270,000,00$ |  |  |

[^3]We would reccomend performing design shear strength and transmissivity testing specific to your project needs. We can supply material samples for this testing when appropriate and answer any questions you may have. Thank you for reaching out to us and please feel free to contact me to discuss further at ceichelberger@agruamerica.com or 330.606.8970.

Sincerely,
Che Sill
Chris Eichelberger
Agra America

## TERM CONTRACT FOR

## 163193JLS Canal and Drainage System Maintenance and Clearing

THIS TERM CONTRACT is made and entered into as of the date of execution by both parties, by and between Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the "County" and Rick Richards, Inc., acFlorida corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor."

## WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the County requires the services of a contractor to perform canal arid drainage system maintenance and clearing; and,

WHEREAS, the County issued a solicitation, 163193JLS on January 7, 2016; and
WHEREAS, the County evaluated the responses received and found the Coritractor qualified to perform the necessary services; and,

WHEREAS, the County approved a Notice of Recommended Award on March 4, 2016; and,

WHEREAS, the Contractor has reviewed the services required pursuant to this Term Contract and is qualified, willing and able to provide and perform all such services in accordance with its terms.

NOW, THEREFORE, the County and the Contractor, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, do agree as follows:

## I. CONTRACTOR'S SERVICES

The Contractor agrees to diligently provide all materials, services and labor for the performance of canal and drainage system maintenance and clearing, in accordance with the scope of services made part of this Term Contract as Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein.
II. TERM

This Term Contract shall commence immediately upon execution by both the County and the Contractor and shall continue for a period of three years. This Term Contract may be renewed for up to two additional one year periods subject to written agreement of both parties.

## III. COMPENSATION AND PAYMENT OF CONTRACTOR'S SERVICE

A. The County shall pay the Contractor for the services rendered hereunder and completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Term Contract a total amount not to exceed two million two hundred and fifty thousand dollars and zero cents ( $\$ 2,250,000.00$ ) for the initial three-year term. Payments shall not exceed seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars and zero cents ( $\$ 750,000.00$ ) per fiscal year.

## 163193JLS Canal and Drainage System Maintenance and Clearing EXHIBIT B - FEE SCHEDULE

| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT OF <br> MEASURE | UNIT PRICE |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 2.1 - Canal and Drainage System Maintenance | Linear Foot | $\$ 0.73$ |
| 2 | 2.2 - Maintenance of Phillippi Creek Parallel Storage <br> Facility | Each | $\$ 325.00$ |
| 3 | 2.3 .3 - Vertical Vegetation Trimming | Square Foot | $\$ 1.00$ |
| 4 | 2.3 .4 - Woody Vegetation Removal | Square Foot | $\$ 0.10$ |
| 5 | 2.3 .5 - Overgrown or Past Due Maintenance | Square Foot | $\$ 0.10$ |
| 6 | 3.0 - Excess Litter Removal | Hour | $\$ 1.00$ |

THIS TERM CONTRACT is made and entered into as of the date of execution by both parties, by and between Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the "County" and Bodi and Associates, Inc., a Florida profit corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor."

## WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the County requires the services of a Contractor to perform fence installation and repair services; and,
WHEREAS, the County issued a solicitation, 166406 MZ on May 4, 2016 ;
WHEREAS, the County evaluated the responses received and found the Contractor qualified to perform the necessary services; and,

WHEREAS, the County approved a Notice of Recommended Award ongune 20, 2016; and,

WHEREAS, the Contractor has reviewed the services required pursuant to this Term Contract and is qualified, willing and able to provide and perform all such services in accordance with its terms.

NOW, THEREFORE, the County and the Contractor, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, do agree as follows:

## I. CONTRACTOR'S SERVICES

The Contractor agrees to diligently provide all materials, services and labor for the performance of fence installation and repair services, in accordance with the work specifications made part of this Term Contract as Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein.

## II. TERM

This Term Contract shall commence immediately upon execution by both the County and the Contractor and shall continue for a period of three years. This Term Contract may be renewed for up to two additional one year periods subject to written agreement of both parties.

## III. COMPENSATION AND PAYMENT OF CONTRACTOR'S SERVICE

A. The County shall pay the Contractor for the services rendered hereunder and completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Term Contract a total amount not to exceed One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents $(1,500,000.00)$ for the initial three-year term.

IFB 166406 MZ FENCE INSTALLATION AND REPAIR SERVICES EXHIBIT B - FEE SCHEDULE

| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT OF MEASURE | UNIT PRICE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT 1: FENCING MATERIALS |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL FENCE HEIGHT $4^{\prime}$ | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$7.60 |
| 1.2 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL FENCE HEIGHT $5^{\prime}$ | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$7.60 |
| 1.3 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL FENCE HEIGHT $6^{\prime}$ | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$10.00 |
| 1.4 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL. FENCE HEIGHT $8^{\prime}$ | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$12.00 |
| 1.5 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL FENCE HEIGHT 10' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$14.00 |
| 1.6 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITHOUT TOP RAIL; WITH TENSION WIRE; FENCE HEIGHT 4' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$5.50 |
| 1.7 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITHOUT TOP RAIL; WITH TENSION WIRE; FENCE HEIGHT 5' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$5.50 |
| 1.8 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITHOUT TOP RAIL; WITH TENSION WIRE; FENCE HEIGHT 6' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$8.00 |
| 1.9 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITHOUT TOP RAIL; WITH TENSION WIRE; FENCE HEIGHT $8^{\prime}$ | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$10.00 |
| 1.10 | GALVANIZED FENCING WITHOUT TOP RAIL; WITH TENSION WIRE; FENCE HEIGHT $10^{\prime}$ | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$12.50 |
| 1.11 | GALVANIZED POST; CORNER POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT 4' | EACH | \$70.00 |
| 1.12 | GALVANIZED POST; CORNER POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT $5^{\prime}$ | EACH | \$70.00 |
| 1.13 | GALVANIZED POST; CORNER POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT $6^{\prime}$ | EACH | \$121.00 |
| 1.14 | GALVANIZED POST; CORNER POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT $8^{\prime}$ | EACH | \$121.00 |
| 1.15 | GALVANIZED POST; CORNER POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT $10^{\prime}$ | EACH | \$129.00 |
| 1.16 | GALVANIZED POST; TERMINAL POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT 4' | EACH | \$50.00 |
| 1.17 | GALVANIZED POST; <br> TERMINAL POST WITH BRACES; <br> FENCE HEIGHT 5' | EACH | \$50.00 |
| 1.18 | GALVANIZED POST; TERMINAL POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT 6' | EACH | \$75.00 |
| 1.19 | GALVANIZED POST; TERMINAL POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT $8^{\prime}$ | EACH | \$85.00 |

## IFB 166406MZ FENCE INSTALLATION AND REPAIR SERVICES EXHIBIT B - FEE SCHEDULE

| 1.20 | GALVANIZED POST; TERMINAL POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT 10' | EACH | \$110.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.21 | GALVANIZED POST; INCLUDING POST AND BRACES; FENCE HIEGHT 4' | EACH | \$1.00 |
| 1.22 | GALVANIZED POST; INCLUDING POST AND BRACES; FENCE HIEGHT $5^{\prime}$ | EACH | \$1.00 |
| 1.23 | GALVANIZED POST; INCLUDING POST AND BRACES; FENCE HIEGHT 6' | EACH | \$1.00 |
| 1.24 | GALVANIZED POST; INCLUDING POST AND BRACES; FENCE HIEGHT $8^{\prime}$ | EACH | \$1.00 |
| 1.25 | GALVANIZED POST; INCLUDING POST AND BRACES; FENCE HIEGHT $10^{\prime}$ | EACH | \$1.00 |
| 1.26 | GALVANZIED GATE; INCLUDING POSTS AND BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT 4' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$25.00 |
| 1.27 | GALVANZIED GATE; INCLUDING POSTS AND BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT 5' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$25.00 |
| 1.28 | GALVANZIED GATE; INCLUDING POSTS AND BRACES; FFNCF HEIGHT 6' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$40.00 |
| 1.29 | GALVANZIED GATE; <br> INCLUDING POSTS AND BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT $8^{\prime}$ | Assume 12 ft gate $12 \times \$ 40=\$ 480 /$ gate | \$60.00 |
| 1.30 | GALVANZIED GATE; <br> INCLUDING POSTS AND BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT 10' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$60.00 |
| 1.31 | VINYL COATED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL; FENCE HEIGHT 4' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$9.00 |
| 1.32 | VINYL COATED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL; FENCE HEIGHT 5' | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$9.00 |
| 1.33 | VINYL COATED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL; FENCE HEIGHT $6^{\prime}$ | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$12.00 |
| 1.34 | VINYL COATED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL; FENCE HEIGHT $8^{\prime}$ | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$14.00 |
| 1.35 | VINYL COATED FENCING WITH TOP RAIL; FENCE HEIGHT $10^{\prime}$ | PER LINEAR FOOT | \$17.00 |
| 1.36 | VINYL COATED POST; CORNER POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT 4' | EACH | \$65.00 |
| 1.37 | VINYL COATED POST; CORNER POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT $5^{\prime}$ | EACH | \$65.00 |
| 1.38 | VINYL COATED POST; CORNER POST WITH BRACES; FENCE HEIGHT 6' | EACH | \$95.00 |

## AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO COUNTY CONTRACT NO. 2013-450

This Amendment is made and entered into upon execution by both parties by and between Sarasota County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the "County," and Woodruff \& Sons, Inc., an Indiana corporation authorized to do business in the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor."

## WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the County and the Contractor entered into County Contract No. 2013-450 ("Contract") for UPC for roads, transit, trails, sidewalks and drainage, effective July 9, 2013; and

WHEREAS, on April 18, 2016, the County and the Contractor executed Amendment No. 1, renewing the term of the Contract and revising the Accepted Unit Price Schedule; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Contractor now require an amendment to renew the term of the Contract.

NOW THEREFORE, the County and the Contractor, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, do agree to amend the Contract as

1. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by
reference.
2. The term of the Contract is hereby renewed for a period of one (1) year, effective July 9, 2017 through July 8, 2018.
3. The pricing contained in the Accepted Unit Price Schedule as last amended remains in effect, and a copy is attached hereto for administrative convenience.
4. $\S 287.135$, F.S., prohibits agencies from contracting with companies for goods or services of $\$ 1,000,000$ or more, that are on the Scrutinized Companies that Boycott Israel List, the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in Sudan List or the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in the Iran Petroleum Energy Sector List, or are engaged in business operations in Cuba or Syria, or are engaged in a boycott of Israel. The lists are created pursuant to $\S 215.473$ and $\S 215.4725$, F.S. Contractor certifies that the organization is not listed on the Scrutinized Companies that Boycott Israel List, the Scrutinized Amendment No. 2 to County Contract No. 2013-450
BID - 122213CS

> | LTC Item 11 - Security System Maintenance: |
| :---: |
| Sign |

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the County and the Contractor have executed this Amendment as of the last date written below.


Approved as to form and correctness:
BY :


LTC Item 11 - Security System Maintenance: Sign


# Sarasota County Board of County Commissioners Year to Date Actual Detail <br> Solid Wst Oper Administration - 52812400 - Fund 420 <br> Electric, Gas, Fuel Oil Util - 500431 <br> October 2016 Through September 2017 

LTC Item 12 - Utilities

| Date Reference | Check Number | Sec. Reference Description |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/01/2016 J170048 |  | Sec.Rer ${ }^{\text {a }}$ REVERSE AJE 162881 | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{(8.43)}$ |
| 10/10/2016 10164512556400 | WR | 451255640016100 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | $8.43)$ 8.43 |
| 10/31/2016 10167226232481 | WR | 7226232481161028 LORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 8.43 773.04 |
| 11/08/2016 111645I2556400 | WR | 451255640016110 TLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 8.62 |
| 11/30/2016 11167226232481 | WR | 722623248116112 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 1,020.13 |
| 12/08/2016 12164512556400 | WR | 451255640016120 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 8.43 |
| 12/30/2016 12167226232481 | WR | 722623248116122 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 698.13 |
| 01/09/2017 01174512556400 | WR | 451255640017010 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 10.88 |
| 01/31/201701177226232481 | WR | 722623248117013 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 1,144.29 |
| 02/07/201702174512556400 | WR | 451255640017020 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 10.88 |
| 03/09/201702177226232481 | WR | 722623248117022 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 961.93 |
| 03/09/2017 03174512556400 | WR | 451255640017030 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 10.88 |
| 03/30/2017 03177226232481 | WR | 722623248117032 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 1,016.86 |
| 04/11/2017 04174512556400 | WR | 451255640017040 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 10.88 |
| 05/01/2017 04177226232481 | WR | 722623248117042 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 773.70 |
| 05/09/2017 05174512556400 | WR | 4512556400170508 FORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 10.88 |
| 05/31/2017 05177226232481 | WR | 722623248117053 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 931.52 |
| 06/08/2017 06174512556400 | WR | 451255640017060 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 10.88 |
| 07/05/201706177226232481 | WR | 722623248117062 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 944.06 |
| 07/11/2017 07174512556400 | WR | 451255640017071 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 10.88 |
| 07/31/2017 07177226232481 | WR | 7226232481170728 LORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 974.26 |
| 08/09/2017 08174512556400 | WR | 4512556400170808 LORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 11.28 |
| 08/30/2017 08177226232481 | WR | 722623248117082 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI |  |
| 09/14/201709174512556400 | WR | 451255640017090 FLORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | $\begin{array}{r} 1,070.04 \\ 1229 \end{array}$ |
| 09/29/2017 09177226232481 | WR | 7226232481170928 LORIDA POWER A ELECTRIC UTILI | 12.29 1,02380 |
| 09/30/2017 AJEI72736 |  | Accrue FPL invoices | $\begin{array}{r} 1,023.80 \\ 11.37 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | Org Code Total | 11,459.91 |
|  |  | Report Total | 11,459.91 |

Central County Solid Waste Disposal Complex
Cost Estimate for Monitoring Services
2018 Financial Assurance Cost Estimate

## 16. Site Specific Costs

| Unit | Number of <br> Units/Year | Cost/Unit | $\$ /$ Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Technical Report | 1 | 0.4 | $\$$ | $12,465.00$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $4,986.00$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Subtotal Technical Report: | $\$$ | $4,986.00$ |  |


| Estimates for above calculations: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Annual Cost for Groundwater Monitoring Technical Report | $\$ /$ Year |
| Total Reporting Cost for Technical Report |  |
| Total Cost Related to Technical Report (Per Year) | $*$ |

Notes: All costs are for a third party providing all materials and labor.
Number of reports based on FDEP Permit Modification No. 0130542-028-SO-IM Requirements of:
Every 2.5 Years: 1 Groundwater Monitoring Technical Report

* Slight Differences in Totals Due to Multiplication and Rounding of Unit Costs


[^0]:    *Material budget pricing includes estimated freight to Sarasota, FL but no taxes. Installation budget pricing assumes non-union/non-prevailing wage rates.

[^1]:    * Slight Differences in Totals Due to Multiplication and Rounding of Unit Costs

[^2]:    * Slight Differences in Totals Due to Multiplication and Rounding of Unit Costs

[^3]:    *Material budget pricing includes estimated freight to Sarasota, FL but no taxes. Installation budget pricing assumes non-union/non-prevailing wage rates.

