March 4, 2003

RECEIVED

AMOR 25%

y American State of

Ms. Nancy McKee Florida Department of Environmental Protection 3319 Maguire Blvd. Orlando, Florida 32803

RE: Presumed Hazardous Waste Inclusion in Oil in storage at Orlando Paving Plant #3

Dear Ms. McKee

We submit the enclosed reports to rebut the presumption of hazardous waste inclusion in our referenced oil as allowed under 40 C.F.R. 279.10 (b)(1). As you will note on the enclosed report, the total halogenated hazardous constituents are less than 380 parts per million. We therefore request that this oil be treated and classified as on-spec used oil per 40 C.F.R. 279 Rule 1200-1-11.

Additionally, we have enclosed an independent analysis confirming that the other criteria required by 40 C.F.R. 279 have been met in order to classify this oil as E.P.A. On-Specification oil.

We appreciate your patience and assistance in resolving this matter.

Sincerely,

Orlando Paving Company

R. Lewis Tillery Division Manager

Enclosures (2)

cc:

Wayne Evans

Dave Gannon

File



Oil Analysis Report

6729 Edgewater Commerce Parkway - Orlando, Florida - 32810-4278 - Phone 407 298-0846 - Fax: 407 299-7053

Sample Received From:

Orlando Paving (Oviedo)

1694 West Broadway

Oviedo, FL

Lab Control Number: 46451

Project No. 1502

Sample Identification:

Date Received: Date Reported: Used Oil (Big Tank) January 30, 2003 February 7, 2003

Sample Type:

Used Oil

Parameter	Analysis Method	Reporting Units	Method Detection Limit	Analysis Date	Analyst	Result
Arsenic	EPA 7061	ppm	0.4	2/5/03	КВ	0.84
Cadmium	EPA 7131	ppm	0.05	2/5/03	КВ	0.89
Chromium	EPA 7191	ppm	0.1	2/4/03	КВ	5.40
Lead	EPA 7421	ppm	0.05	2/4/03	КВ	12.64
Sulphur	ASTMDI29	%	0.10	2/4/03	KB	0.77
Flash Point	ASTM D93	F	100	2/7/03	КВ	155
Total Halogens	EPA 9056	ppm	300	2/4/03	КВ	5752
PCB-1016	EPA 8080	ug/kg	44	2/7/03	КВ	<44
PCB-1221	EPA 8080	ug/kg	44	2/7/03	KB	<44
PCB-1232	EPA 8080	ug/kg	44	2/7/03	КВ	<44
PCB-1242	EPA 8080	ug/kg	44	2/7/03	КВ	<44
PCB-1248	EPA 8080	ug/kg	44	2/7/03	КВ	<44
PCB-1254	EPA 8080	ug/kg	44	2/7/03	KB	<44
PCB-1260	EPA 8080	ug/kg	44	2/7/03	КВ	<44
Density	API	lb/gal	n/a	2/7/03	KB	7.36

Data Release Authorization

The sample integrity and reliability was verified by Laboratory personnel prior to analysis. Analysis method used are in accordance with F.A.C. 62-160 and applicable EPA protocols. Laboratory Quality Assurance is in accordance with Bottorf Associates Comprehensive Quality Assurance Plan No. 910102.

Kent	D.	Botte

PC&B Environmental Laboratories, Inc. 210 Park Road Ovledo, FL 32765-8801 PHONE: 407-359-7194 FAX: 407-359-7197	Report of Analysis Volatile Ofganics by GCMS	CLIENT NAME: Oriendo Peving PROJECT NAME: Plant 3 PROJECT NUMBER: 0503 DATE RECEIVED: 02/21/2003		
Lab Reference Number		ANALYTICAL PROTOCOL; EPA 8280		
Client Sample ID	203020159-1	ANGELTIOAL PROJUCUL, EPA 8280		
Date/Time Sampled	OIL SAMPLE			
Date/Time Extracted	02/20/2003			
Date/Time Analyzed	02/25/2003 02/26/2003 01:01			
Semple Matrix (as Received) Analysis Confirmed	OII	RECEIVED		
Ollution Factor	GCMS	NECCIVITY .		
Result Units	2500			
Benzena	ug/kg	HAR COLOR		
Bromobenzane	59000			
Bromochieremethane	12500 U	Frague & was a		
Bromodichioromethana	12500 U	Control pig. 12		
Bromoform	12500 U	•		
Bromomathane	12500 U			
n-Butylbenzene	12500 U			
940-Butylbenzene	126300			
tert-Butylbenzena	32500			
Carbon tetraphiorida	12500 U			
Chlorobenzena	12500 U 12500 U			
Chloroethane	12500 U			
Chleroform	12500 U			
Chloromethane	12500 U			
2-Chiarataluene	12500 U			
4-Chiorotaluene	12500 U			
Dibromochioromathane	12500 U			
1,2-Dibromo-3-ohioropropane	12500 U			
1,2-Dibromoethene	12600 U			
Dibromomethane	12500 U			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	12500 U			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	12500 U			
1,4-Dichiorobanzana	12500 ປ			
Dichlorodifluoromethene	12500 U			
1,1-Dichloroethane 1,2-Dichloroethane	12500 U			
1,1-Dichloroethena	12500 U			
cis-1,2-Dichioroethene	12500 U			
trens-1,2-Dichiorosthene	34260			
1,2-Dichloropropane	12500 U			
1,3-Dichioropropene	12500 🗓			
2,2-Dichloropropane	12600 U			
1,1-Dichloropropene	12500 U			
Ethylbenzene	12500 U			
Hexmohlorobutadiene	170300			
leopropylbanzene	12500 U			
p-isopropyitaluane	26750 12500 ს			
Methylene chloride	12500 U			
Naphthelene	202000			
n-Propylbenzene	196000			
Styrene	12500 U			
1,1,1,2-Tetrachiorosthans	12500 U			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethene	12500 U			
Tetrachlorosthane	327500			
Toluene	817500			
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	12500 U			
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	12500 U			
1,1,1-Trichiorosthane	12500 U			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1250a U			
Trichlorouthene	17250			
Trichiorofluoromaihana	12500 വ			
1,2,3-Trichioropropane	1 250 0 U			
1,2.4-Trimethylbanzene	885000 J			
1.3,5-Trimethylbenzens	238800			
Vinyi chioride	12500_U			

PC&B Environmental Laboratories, Inc. 210 Park Road Oviado, FL 32765-8801 PHONE: 407-359-7194 FAX: 407-359-7197	Report of Analysia Volatile Organics by GCMS	CLIENT NAME: Orlando Paving PROJECT NAME: Plant 3 PROJECT NUMBER: 0503 DATE RECEIVED: 02/21/2003
Lab Reference Number	203020159-1	ANALYTICAL PROTOCOL: EPA 8260
Cilent Sample ID	OIL SAMPLE	
Dale/Time Sampled Date/Time Extracted	02/20/2003	
Date/Time Analyzed	02/25/2003	
Sample Matrix (se Received)	02/26/2003 01:01	
Anelysia Confirmed	Oll	
Dilution Factor	GCMS	
Result Units	2500 117/100	
måp-Xylenes	730000 730000	
o-Xylene	262500	
ole-1,2-Dichioropropene	12500 U	·
trans-1,2-Dichloropropene	1250p U	
MTBE	85500	
(Surr) 1,2-Dichleroethane-d4 (%)	93	
(Surr) Toluene-d8 (%)	84	
(Surr) 4-Bromofluorobenzene (%)	84	

U = Undetected. The value preceading the 'U' is the RL for the analyte, based on dilution. Results reported on a Wet Weight basis.

NELAP- FDOH Certification # E63239

Reviewed by :	
---------------	--

PC&B Environmental Laboratories, Inc. 210 Park Road Ovledo, FL 32785-5801	Report of Analysis	CLIENT NAME: Orlando Paving PROJECT NAME: Plant 3	
PHONE: 407-359-7194 Lab Reference Number Cilent Sample ID	203020159-1	PROJECT NUMBER: 0503 DATE RECEIVED: 02/21/2003	
Date/Time Sampled Sample Matrix (se Received) ASTM E203 Water by Karl Flaher	OIL SAMPLE 02/20/2003 O))		

U = Undetected. The value preceeding the 'U' is the Ri. for the analyte. Results reported on a Wet Weight besis.

NELAP- FDOH Certification # £83239

Reviewed by :	
---------------	--



Department of Environmental Protection

Jeb Bush Governor Central District 3319 Maguire Boulevard, Suite 232 Orlando, Florida 32803-3767 February 24, 2003

David B. Struhs Secretary

<u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> 7001 0360 0000 6784 7553

Dave Gannon, Project Manager Asphalt Plants Orlando Paving Company P.O. Box 547186 Orlando, FL 32854-7186 OCD-HW-C-03-0064

Seminole County- HW Inspection Summary

Dear Mr. Gannon,

A hazardous waste compliance inspection was conducted at your facility on January 30, 2003. The inspection was conducted under the authority of Section 403.091, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 403, Part IV, Florida Statutes. The inspection was designed to ascertain the compliance status of your facility with 40 CFR 260-268, adopted in Florida Administrative Code Chapter 62-730. The inspection was initiated due to a complaint received by the Department alleging strong odors and health complaints occurring in conjunction with the operation of the plant.

Orlando Paving Company (Orlando Paving) is a Division of Hubbard Construction Company. Orlando Paving manufactures asphalt for retail sale.

The asphalt is manufactured from virgin and recycled aggregate material, which is heated and mixed with liquid asphalt. The final product is stored in two large silos on the property.

Orlando Paving uses used oil as a fuel for heat generation. The used oil is supplied by Oils Unlimited, Inc. (Oils Unlimited) of Sanford, Florida. Orlando Paving receives an oil analysis with each shipment of used oil from Oils Unlimited. During the inspection, six months worth of used oil analysis were reviewed. It was noted that Orlando Paving had several shipments with duplicated used oil analysis because the used oil originated from the same Oils Unlimited batch. Orlando Paving received duplicate analysis (one batch) that reported a high level of cadmium (3.67 PPM), exceeding the 2 ppm specification level for used oil, for the following shipments: 1/7/03, 1/10/03, 1/13/03, 1/14/03, 1/23/03, and 1/29/03.

During the inspection out-briefing, the Department recommended additional laboratory testing be conducted on the used oil with the reported high level of cadmium. Specifically, the Department requested that semi-volatiles, volatiles, and 8 RCRA metals be conducted on the used oil.

On February 10, 2003, the Department received test results. The analysis conducted included 4 metals (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, and lead), flashpoint, and total halogens. The results indicated that cadmium was 0.89 PPM (on-spec); however, total halogens were 5,752 PPM.

"More Protection, Less Process"

Printed on recycled paper.

Orlando Paving Company Page 2

On February 10, 2003, a meeting was held at the Department to discuss the test results. At that time, it was stated that Orlando Paving had pumped out and segregated the "hot" used oil and was currently using natural gas as a fuel for heat generation. Also, it was stated that Orlando Paving would be starting a new procedure to ensure that it would not receive hot used oil in the future.

During the meeting, the Department requested that additional testing be conducted on the hot used oil prior to disposal. During a subsequent telephone conversation with Orlando Paving, the Department was told that testing could not be performed because the used oil had been taken away.

On February 19, 2003, the Department conducted an additional complaint inspection at Orlando Paving. During the time of the inspection, it was observed that the hot used oil in question was being stored in a large tank at Orlando Paving's facility.

The Department conducted a site inspection of Oils Unlimited on February 17, 2003. Oils Unlimited stated that the used oil analysis that was reported to be high in cadmium was a type error and that corrected analysis would be redistributed.

Also, Oils Unlimited had researched the origin of the hot used oil reported at Orlando Paving and found, using a Dexil 1400, that all of their used oil and used oil that was delivered to other clients (which was the same batch delivered to Orlando Paving) was on-spec.

Within 10 days of your receipt of this letter, Orlando Paving should make arrangements to have the hot oil disposed of as hazardous waste or have additional testing conducted on the oil to make a claim that the oil is non-hazardous. The testing must include volatiles, semi-volatiles, and 8 RCRA metals.

Within 30 days your receipt of this letter, provide the Department with the following information:

1. The names of your used oil suppliers, other than Oils Unlimited.

2. If the oil in question is determined to be hazardous waste, provide disposal receipts and an explanation of how the contamination is suspected to have occurred.

3. If the oil in question is determined to be non-hazardous waste, provide the laboratory analysis documenting the non-hazardous claim.

If you have any questions or comments please address them to Nancy McKee at (407) 893-3323.

Sincerely,

Lu Burson

Environmental Manager Hazardous Waste Section

NM

CC: Lewis Tillery, Division Manager, Orlando Paving Company (email)
Calvin Patterson, Oils Unlimited, Inc.
John Turner, Compliance Supervisor, Air Resource Management (email)
Caroline Shine, Enforcement Supervisor, Air Resource Management (email)