CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

	COMPLIA	NCE INSP	ECTION CH	HECKLIST	
INSPECTION TYPE:	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		COMPLAINT	/DISCOVERY	(CI)
	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)		ARMS COMF	PLAINT NO:	
		_			
AIRS ID#:	DATE:	ARRIVE:		DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME:					
FACILITY LOCATION:					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED RE	P:				
Email:			PHONE:		MOBILE:
CONTACT NAME:					
Email:		P	PHONE:		MOBILE:
ENTITILEMENT PERIO	D: Effective Date:	E	End Date:		
	OMPLIANCE STATUS (che				
☐ IN COMPLIANC	E MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE	☐ SIGNI	FICANT Non	-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRO 1. Name(s) of facility rep.	resentative(s):				(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Dwief Notes					
2. Is the Authorized Repr					Yes No
If no, who is?					
If different, did the	facility provide an administrative	ve update with	hin 30 days?		Yes No
3. Is the facility contact start If no, who is?	till the same?				Yes No
4. Will facility be conduc	ting VE test(s) during today's ir	nspection?			☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, was the complia	ance authority notified at least 1	5 days in adv	ance?		Yes No

4 - CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/individual baghouse

Is the emissions unit a silo, weigh hopper (batcher) or other type of ENCLOSED storage or conveying equipm	nent? Yes No
If so, answer the following questions in Part I and Part II, but skip Part III and Part IV.	
If not, skip Part I and Part II, but answer the questions in Part III and Part IV	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	☐Yes ☐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
d. Date of last VE test:	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	☐Yes ☐ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	☐Yes ☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	□Yes □ No □N/A
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	☐Yes ☐ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	□Yes □ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conv	eying equipment
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? 	□Yes □ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \[\sum_N/A \] - silo not loaded during inspection.	□Yes □ No
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	□Yes □ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	

g.	Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐Yes ☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) - g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) - g.3) and go to h.	
	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal and duration?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? tons/hour .	
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is. separate from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate duration.	☐ Yes ☐ No
	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.	
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	
1		
PA	ART III: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
	ART III: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION Date of last inspection:	•
1.		•
1.	Date of last inspection:	for each question)
1.	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	for each question)
1.	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	for each question) Yes No No
1.	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	for each question) Yes No No
1. 2.	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. 2. PA	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. 2. PA Ur Eq	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? ART IV: FIELD OBSERVATIONS - Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. aconfined Emissions from the following types of sources: Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Sto	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. 2. PA Ur Eq	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? ART IV: FIELD OBSERVATIONS - Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Inconfined Emissions from the following types of sources: Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Stoquipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. 2. PA Ur Eq	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? ART IV: FIELD OBSERVATIONS - Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Inconfined Emissions from the following types of sources: Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storument, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A

	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ Yes	No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2.	If the required reasonable precautions listed above are <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Are there any other types of reasonable precautions being taken?	☐ Yes	No
	If so, what)?		
	b. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	c. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	d. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

5 - Truck Load system; emissions controlled by cartridge filter

Is the emissions unit a silo, weigh hopper (batcher) or other type of ENCLOSED storage or conveying equipm	nent? Yes No
If so, answer the following questions in Part I and Part II, but skip Part III and Part IV.	
If not, skip Part I and Part II, but answer the questions in Part III and Part IV	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one box
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	☐Yes ☐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
d. Date of last VE test:	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	☐Yes ☐ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	☐Yes ☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	□Yes □ No □N/A
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	□Yes □ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	☐Yes ☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conv	eying equipment
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐Yes ☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?	□Yes □ No
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	□Yes □ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	

g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐Yes ☐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) - g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) - g.3) and go to h.	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal and duration?	Yes No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minutes	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is. separate from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate duration.	☐ Yes ☐ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes ☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	☐ Yes ☐ No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	
PART III: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
PART III: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 	for each question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 	for each question) Yes No Yes No
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	for each question) Yes No Yes No
 Date of last inspection:	for each question) Yes No Yes No
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART IV: FIELD OBSERVATIONS - Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from the following types of sources: Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Sto	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A

	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2.	If the required reasonable precautions listed above are <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Are there any other types of reasonable precautions being taken?	☐ Yes	□No
	If so, what)?		
	b. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	c. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	☐ No
	d. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

6 - CCB Plant-silo(slag) w/individual baghouse (replaces EU 001)

Is the emissions unit a silo, weigh hopper (batcher) or other type of ENCLOSED storage or conveying equipm	nent? Yes No
If so, answer the following questions in Part I and Part II, but skip Part III and Part IV.	
If not, skip Part I and Part II, but answer the questions in Part III and Part IV	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	☐Yes ☐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
d. Date of last VE test:	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	☐Yes ☐ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	☐Yes ☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	□Yes □ No □N/A
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	☐Yes ☐ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	□Yes □ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conv	eying equipment
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? 	□Yes □ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \[\sum_N/A \] - silo not loaded during inspection.	□Yes □ No
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	□Yes □ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	

g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐Yes ☐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) - g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) - g.3) and go to h.	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal and duration?	Yes No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minutes	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is. separate from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate duration.	☐ Yes ☐ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes ☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	☐ Yes ☐ No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	
PART III: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
PART III: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 	for each question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 	for each question) Yes No Yes No
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	for each question) Yes No Yes No
 Date of last inspection:	for each question) Yes No Yes No
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART IV: FIELD OBSERVATIONS - Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from the following types of sources: Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Sto	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question) Yes No Yes No No N/A

	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2.	If the required reasonable precautions listed above are <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Are there any other types of reasonable precautions being taken?	☐ Yes	□No
	If so, what)?		
	b. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	c. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	d. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

8 - CCB Plant-cement weigh batcher w/baghouse

Is the emissions unit a silo, weigh hopper (batcher) or other type of ENCLOSED storage or conveying equipm	nent? Yes No
If so, answer the following questions in Part I and Part II, but skip Part III and Part IV.	
If not, skip Part I and Part II, but answer the questions in Part III and Part IV	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	☐Yes ☐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
d. Date of last VE test:	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	☐Yes ☐ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	☐Yes ☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	□Yes □ No □N/A
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	☐Yes ☐ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	□Yes □ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conv	eying equipment
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? 	□Yes □ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \[\sum_N/A \] - silo not loaded during inspection.	□Yes □ No
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	□Yes □ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	

g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust	collector?
If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) - g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1	1) - g.3) and go to h.
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test	? Yes No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the rand duration?	normal Yes No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching of minutes	luration?
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a disseparate from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the reduration.	gh hopper (batcher)
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching minutes.	g duration?
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this	site visit?
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes ☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the higher	st six-minute average.
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	
PART III: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
PART III: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 	for each question) Yes No Yes No
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 	for each question) Yes No Yes No
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	for each question) Yes No Yes No
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART IV: _FIELD OBSERVATIONS - Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	for each question) Yes No Yes No Yes No N/A
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	for each question) Yes No Yes No Yes No N/A
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART IV: FIELD OBSERVATIONS - Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from the following types of sources: Truck Loading and United States (20% opacity)?	for each question) Yes
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question) Yes
1. Date of last inspection:	for each question) Yes

	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ Yes	No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2.	If the required reasonable precautions listed above are <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Are there any other types of reasonable precautions being taken?	☐ Yes	□No
	If so, what)?		
	b. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	c. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	d. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)							
<u>C</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑	only on	e box for each question)			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:						
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		Yes	☐ No			
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		☐ Yes	☐ No			
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		☐ Yes	☐ No			
2.	Does this facility include:						
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the excursion and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?-		☐ Yes	☐ No			
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?						
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	r general	☐ Yes	☐ No			
	11 1 ES, what other general permit units of activities?						
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:						
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		☐ Yes	☐ No			
	b. 428,000 gallons of gasoline?		☐ Yes	☐ No			
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		☐ Yes	☐ No			
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		☐ Yes	☐ No			
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		☐ Yes	☐ No			
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr +			propane/yr <=1.00?			
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr		1.3 MN	I gal propane/yr			
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel confor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	onsumptic	on 🗌 Ye	s 🗌 No			
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)							
	1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?						
2.	Does the owner or operator:						
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?] Yes [No			
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with a terms and conditions of the air general permit?	all [Yes [No			
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air generation and Department rules?		Yes [No			

<u>R</u>]	RELOCATABLE PLANT:	ly one box for each question)	
1.	• — • • —	; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following ques</i>	tion 2.)
2.	2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used	to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilize	zation?
	(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer	question 2.c below.)	
	a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropre-mail, fax, or written communication at least	riate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, ast one business day prior to changing location?	☐ Yes ☐ No
		y Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] later than five business days following a relocation?	☐ Yes ☐ No
		Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	☐ Yes ☐ No
3.	3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a faci and the relocatable batch plant is not included	lity with a separate air construction or air operation permit, as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	
	a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used f If YES, what was the purpose?	For a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to co-located at the permitted facility?	indicate how long it was	Yes No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 me	onths in duration?	Yes No
<u>C</u>]	CHANGES		
	associated with a change in ownership or with	or phone number of the facility or authorized representative no a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r similar minor administrative change at the facility?	ot Yes No
2.	2. If YES, did the facility provide written notifica	ation within 30 days of the change?	☐ Yes ☐ No
No	New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in	n Ownership:	
3.	3. Since the last registration form submittal has the a. Installation of any new process equipment?	here been	Yes No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		☐ Yes ☐ No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with eq	uipment that is substantially different?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	d. A change in ownership?		☐ Yes ☐ No
4.	4. If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, 30 days prior to the change?	was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	☐ Yes ☐ No
Inspector's Name Date of Inspection		_	
		Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_
	Comments:		