PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET SUBJECT TO FINAL APPROVAL

	Violator's Name:	iolator's Name: Water Recovery, LLC (EPA ID #FLR 000 069 062)						
	Address:	1819 Albert St., Jacksonville, FL 32202 - Duval County						_
	Name of Department Staff Responsible for the Penalty Computations: Bonnie Bradshaw							
	Date:	12/21/2020						· -
_		PART I - PENALTY DETERMINATIONS						
	Violation Type and description	RCRA Guideline	ELRA Schedule	ENVIRON- MENTAL Harm	Extent of Dev.	Matrix Amount	Adjust- ments	Total
1	40 CFR 262.11: Failed to perform accurate/complete hazardous waste (HW) determination.			4+8+0+3+0=15 Moderate	Moderate for 25-75%	Combined with #3		\$0
2	40 CFR 262.20(a)(1): Failed to use HW manifest and dispose of HW properly.	HW154		4+8+6+3+0=21 Major	Major for LQG with no docs	\$32,915		\$32,915
3	40 CFR 268.7(a)(1): Failed to determine if waste required treatment prior to land disposal.	HW274		4+8+0+3+0=15 Moderate	Always Major	\$13,455		\$13,455
4	40 CFR 273.13(d): Failed to close two (2) boxes of spent lamps.	UW37		Minor for no release	Moderate for 10- 100 lamps	\$1,420		\$1,420
5	40 CFR 273.14(e): Failed to label two (2) boxes of spent lamps.	UW38		Always Minor	Moderate for 10- 100 lamps	Combined with #4		\$0
6	40 CFR 273.15(c): Failed to mark two (2) boxes of spent bulbs with accumulation start date.	UW42		Minor for SQH	Always Moderate	\$1,420		\$1,420
					Subtotals:	\$49,210		\$49,210.00 -\$7,381.50
						Good Faith Adjustment (-15%) Total Penalties for all violations:		
					Total Pena		•	\$41,829 \$500
January 21, 2021								
Эr	egory, J. Strong, District Di	irector			Date			
Michael Arts January 27, 2021								
Michael Halpin, Assistant Deputy Director Date								
Peer Reviewed by Division: Yes (X) Received 1/12/21 No ()								

<u>P</u> A	ART II - AI	DJUSTMENTS AND MULTI-DAY PENALTIES	
A D W (GT) (E) (TG			
ADJUSTMENTS Good faith prior to discovery:			Amount \$0
Justification:	:	·	φυ
Justification.			
	•	ustment was made based on the facility's cooperation and quick response to	
Good faith after discovery:		and correct the violations observed during the inspection, and their hiring tant to assist with the corrective actions and returning to compliance.	-\$7,382
Justification:	01 4 0011041.	ant to assist with the corrective actions and returning to compliance.	Ψ1,50-
History of non-compliance:			\$0
Justification:			
		F	
Economic benefit of non com	ipliance:	Economic benefit was calculated, but not pursued because it was less than 10% of the proposed penalty.	\$0.00
Justification:	Parente	man 1070 of the proposed penalty.	Ψ σ τ σ σ
Ability to pay:			\$0
Justification:			
EB = AC(1-T) + DC(I) = \$0 + \$14		Total Adjustments:	-\$7,381.50
T = Corporate Tax Rate = 21% (in I I = Interest rate charged by IRS for Avoided Costs (AC) for Violation Violation 3: Avoided costs were cosolid waste landfill and properly dis Delayed Costs (DC) for Violation Violation 1: The facility did not m pesticides and herbicides = \$607. D Violation 3: The facility did not delayed Costs (DC) for Violation 1	2020) delinquent ad 13: considered, but sposed of. 18: 1 and 3: considered was 1 and 3: considered of the sposed	erminations on ICP-OES wastewater. Cost for TCLP metals, volatiles, semi-vo	olatiles,
MULTI-DAY PENALTII	<u>ES</u>		
Number of days adjustment fa	actor(s) to b	pe applied:	*
Justification:			\$ -
Or Number of days matrix amou	nt is to be t	multiplied	
Justification:		natupitea.	\$ -
Comments:			

PART III - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS MADE AFTER MEETING WITH THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY				
<u>ADJUSTMENT</u>	Dollar Amount			
Relative merits of the case:				
Resource Considerations:				
Other Justification:				
Date	Gregory J. Strong, District Director			