

From: no-reply@dep.state.fl.us
To: benjamin.flesch@waters.nestle.com
Cc: [DEP_NED](#); [OGC_ESSAOrderPayment](#)
Subject: FDEP Penalty Payment(s) Receipt
Date: Tuesday, April 26, 2022 10:29:28 AM
Attachments: [ATT00001.bin](#)



**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
Environmental Protection**

Bob Martinez Center
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Ron DeSantis
Governor

Jeanette Nuñez
Lt. Governor

Shawn Hamilton
Secretary

Receipt for Payment

April 26, 2022

OGC Number: **220169**

Receipt
Number: **120006**

Payment(s)
made by: **Benjamin Flesch**
Address: **7100 NE Co Rd 340**
City, State Zip: **High Spings, FL 32643**

On behalf of:

Responsible **BLUETRITON BRANDS, INC.**
Party: **LANIE TUTEN**
Address: **7100 NORTHEAST COUNTY ROAD 340**
HIGH SPRINGS, FL 32643, US

Thank you for making your scheduled DEP Order Payment(s).

You have paid \$6,040.00. This represents payment of the following scheduled Order Payment(s):

Payment Due Date: 05/08/2022	\$6,040.00
-------------------------------------	-------------------

Total Paid	\$6,040.00
-------------------	-------------------

If you have any questions, please contact the Office of General Counsel at (850) 245-2268 or by e-mail at OGC_ESSAOrderPayment@dep.state.fl.us.



Florida Department Of Environmental Protection

Northeast District
8800 Baymeadows Way West, Suite 100
Jacksonville, Florida 32256

Ron DeSantis
Governor

Jeanette Nuñez
Lt. Governor

Shawn Hamilton
Secretary

April 7, 2022

Sent electronically to: lainie.tuten@waters.nestle.com

Ms. Lanie Tuten, Factory Manager
7100 Northeast County Road 340
High Springs, Florida 32643

SUBJECT: Department of Environmental Protection v. Bluetriton Brands, Inc.
OGC File No. 22-0169
Facility ID No. FLA499447
Gilchrist County

Dear Mrs. Tuten:

Enclosed is a copy of the executed Consent Order to resolve Case Number 22-0169.

The effective date of this Order is April 7, 2022, and all time frames will be referenced from this date.

As a reminder, a Consent Order is a binding legal document and was voluntarily entered into by both parties.

If you have any questions concerning the Consent Order, please contact Herndon Sims, at (904) 256-1612, or via email, at Herndon.Sims@FloridaDEP.gov. Your continued cooperation in the matter is greatly appreciated

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "James R. Maher".

James R. Maher, PE
Assistant Director

Enclosure: Executed Consent Order

cc: FDEP-OGC: Lea Crandall, Agency Clerk



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF Environmental Protection

Northeast District
8800 Baymeadows Way West, Suite 100
Jacksonville, Florida 32256

Ron DeSantis
Governor

Jeanette Nuñez
Lt. Governor

Shawn Hamilton
Secretary

March 22, 2022

Sent electronically to: lanie.tuten@waters.nestle.com

Ms. Lanie Tuten, Factory Manager
7100 Northeast County Road 340
High Springs, Florida 32643

SUBJECT: Department of Environmental Protection v. Bluetriton Brands, Inc.
OGC File No. 22-0169
Facility ID No. FLA499447
Gilchrist County

Dear Ms. Tuten:

Enclosed is the Consent Order to resolve the issues in the subject OGC File. Please review the Consent Order and, if you find it acceptable, sign and return the original document to this office within 14 days of receipt.

If you wish to modify the Consent Order, please respond to this office in writing within 14 days, explaining your concerns including any proposed changes.

If you have any questions concerning the Consent Order, please contact Herndon Sims, at (904) 256-1612, or via email, at Herndon.Sims@FloridaDEP.gov. Your continued cooperation in the matter is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "James R. Maher".

James R. Maher, PE
Assistant Director

FDEP-NED: Herndon Sims; Joni Petry; Arlene Wilkinson; DEP_NED

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT)
OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION)
)
v.)
)
BLUETRITON BRANDS, INC.)
_____)

OGC FILE NO. 22-0169

This Consent Order (“Order”) is entered into between the State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection (“Department”) and Bluetriton Brands, Inc. (“Respondent”) to reach settlement of certain matters at issue between the Department and Respondent.

1. The Department is the administrative agency of the State of Florida having the power and duty to protect Florida's air and water resources and to administer and enforce the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes ("Fla. Stat."), and the rules promulgated and authorized in Title 62, Florida Administrative Code ("Fla. Admin. Code"). The Department has jurisdiction over the matters addressed in this Order.

3. Bluetriton Brands, Inc. is a land application system for the disposal of bottle rinse water, process line wash water, and reverse osmosis concentrate from the water bottling plant ("Facility"). The Facility operated under Department Wastewater Permit No. FLA499447, which was issued on August 18, 2016, and expired on August 17, 2021. Respondent did not timely apply for a permit renewal. The Facility is located at 7100 Northeast County Road 340, High Springs, Florida, 32643, with coordinates 29°49' 3.5725" N, 82°41' 22.4954" W ("Property").

4. The Department finds that the following violation(s) occurred:

a) Respondent failed to submit a wastewater permit renewal application at least 180 days prior to existing permit expiration, in violation of Section 403.121(3)(b), Fla. Stat., as well as Rules Fla. Stat, 62-620.335(1) and 62-620.410(5), Fla. Admin. Code;

b) Respondent failed to submit Discharge Monitoring Reports (“DMRs”) by the 28th of month following month of operation in violation of Section 403.121(4)(f), Fla. Stat. as well as Rules 62-4.030 and 62-620.300(2), Fla. Admin. Code; and

c) Respondent failed to sample Nitrogen, Total (as N) between August 2020 and November 2021 in violation of Section 403.121(4)(d), Fla. Stat as well as Rules 62-620.610(18) and 62-600.680, Fla. Admin. Code.

Having reached a resolution of the matter Respondent and the Department mutually agree and it is

ORDERED:

5. Respondent shall comply with the following corrective actions within the stated time periods:

a) Within 90 days of the effective date of this Order, obtain a new wastewater permit for facility operations; any application for such permit shall be signed by an officer of Bluetriton Brands, Inc., as identified in Sunbiz.org or shall be signed by a manager of one or more manufacturing, production or operating facilities employing more than 250 persons or having gross annual sales or expenditures exceeding \$25,000,000.00 million (in second-quarter \$1,980.00 dollars), if authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures, in accordance with Fla. Admin. Code 62-620.305(1)(a).

b) Henceforth, submit complete DMRs by the 28th day of the month following month of operation;

c) Henceforth, timely complete all required sampling as identified in the expired permit, any additional sampling requested by the Department, and ultimately as identified in the new wastewater permit once received.

6. Respondent's completion of all corrective actions required by paragraph 5 within the respective deadlines specified thereunder shall constitute full compliance with Rule 62-620.300, Fla. Admin. Code.

7. Within 30 days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall pay the Department \$6,040.00 in settlement of the regulatory matters addressed in this Order. This amount includes \$5,540.00 for civil penalties, which includes \$540 for economic benefits incurred by the Respondent, and \$500.00 for costs and expenses incurred by the Department during the investigation of this matter and the preparation and tracking of this Order. The civil penalty in this case includes two violations that each warrant a penalty of \$2,000.00 or more.

8. Respondent agrees to pay the Department stipulated penalties in the amount of \$50 per day for each and every day Respondent fails to timely comply with any of the requirements of paragraph 5 of this Order. The Department may demand stipulated penalties at any time after violations occur. Respondent shall pay stipulated penalties owed within 30 days of the Department's issuance of written demand for payment and shall do so as further described in paragraph 9 below. Nothing in this paragraph shall prevent the Department from filing suit to specifically enforce any terms of this Order. Any stipulated penalties assessed under this paragraph shall be in addition to the civil penalties agreed to in paragraph 7 of this Order.

9. Respondent shall make all payments required by this Order by cashier's check, money order or on-line payment. Cashier's check or money order shall be made payable to the "Department of Environmental Protection" and shall include both the OGC number assigned to this Order and the notation "Water Quality Assurance Trust Fund." Online payments by e-check can be made by going to the DEP Business Portal at:

<http://www.fldepportal.com/go/pay/>. It will take a number of days after this order becomes final, effective and filed with the Clerk of the Department before ability to make online payment is available.

10. Except as otherwise provided, all submittals and payments required by this Order shall be sent to Herndon Sims, Department of Environmental Protection, 8800 Baymeadows Way West, Suite 100, Jacksonville, Florida, 32256.

11. Respondent shall allow all authorized representatives of the Department access to the Facility and the Property at reasonable times for the purpose of determining compliance with the terms of this Order and the rules and statutes administered by the Department.

12. In the event of a sale or conveyance of the Facility or of the Property upon which the Facility is located, if all of the requirements of this Order have not been fully satisfied, Respondent shall, at least 30 days prior to the sale or conveyance of the Facility or Property, (a) notify the Department of such sale or conveyance, (b) provide the name and address of the purchaser, operator, or person(s) in control of the Facility, and (c) provide a copy of this Order with all attachments to the purchaser, operator, or person(s) in control of the Facility. The sale or conveyance of the Facility or the Property does not relieve Respondent of the obligations imposed in this Order.

13. If any event, including administrative or judicial challenges by third parties unrelated to Respondent, occurs which causes delay or the reasonable likelihood of delay in complying with the requirements of this Order, Respondent shall have the burden of proving the delay was or will be caused by circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Respondent and could not have been or cannot be overcome by Respondent's due diligence. Neither economic circumstances nor the failure of a contractor, subcontractor, materialman, or other agent (collectively referred to as "contractor") to whom responsibility for performance is delegated to meet contractually imposed deadlines shall be considered circumstances beyond the control of Respondent (unless the cause of the contractor's late performance was also beyond the contractor's control). Upon occurrence of an event causing delay, or upon becoming aware of a potential for delay, Respondent shall notify the Department by the next working day and shall, within seven calendar days notify the Department in writing of (a) the anticipated length and cause of the delay, (b) the measures taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay, and (c) the timetable by which Respondent intends to implement these measures. If the parties can agree that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Respondent, the time for performance hereunder shall be extended. The agreement to extend compliance must identify the provision or provisions extended, the new compliance date or dates, and the additional

measures Respondent must take to avoid or minimize the delay, if any. Failure of Respondent to comply with the notice requirements of this paragraph in a timely manner constitutes a waiver of Respondent's right to request an extension of time for compliance for those circumstances.

14. The Department, for and in consideration of the complete and timely performance by Respondent of all the obligations agreed to in this Order, hereby conditionally waives its right to seek judicial imposition of damages or civil penalties for the violations described above up to the date of the filing of this Order. This waiver is conditioned upon Respondent's complete compliance with all of the terms of this Order.

15. This Order is a settlement of the Department's civil and administrative authority arising under Florida law to resolve the matters addressed herein. This Order is not a settlement of any criminal liabilities which may arise under Florida law, nor is it a settlement of any violation which may be prosecuted criminally or civilly under federal law. Entry of this Order does not relieve Respondent of the need to comply with applicable federal, state, or local laws.

16. The Department hereby expressly reserves the right to initiate appropriate legal action to address any violations of statutes or rules administered by the Department that are not specifically resolved by this Order.

17. Respondent is fully aware that a violation of the terms of this Order may subject Respondent to judicial imposition of damages, civil penalties up to \$15,000.00 per day per violation, and criminal penalties.

18. Respondent acknowledges and waives its right to an administrative hearing pursuant to sections 120.569 and 120.57, Fla. Stat., on the terms of this Order. Respondent also acknowledges and waives its right to appeal the terms of this Order pursuant to section 120.68, Fla. Stat.

19. Electronic signatures or other versions of the parties' signatures, such as .pdf or facsimile, shall be valid and have the same force and effect as originals. No modifications of the terms of this Order will be effective until reduced to writing, executed by both Respondent and the Department, and filed with the clerk of the Department.

20. The terms and conditions set forth in this Order may be enforced in a court of competent jurisdiction pursuant to sections 120.69 and 403.121, Fla. Stat. Failure to comply with the terms of this Order constitutes a violation of section 403.161(1)(b), Fla. Stat.

21. This Consent Order is a final order of the Department pursuant to section 120.52(7), Fla. Stat., and it is final and effective on the date filed with the Clerk of the Department unless a Petition for Administrative Hearing is filed in accordance with Chapter 120, Fla. Stat. Upon the timely filing of a petition, this Consent Order will not be effective until further order of the Department.

22. Respondent shall publish the following notice in a newspaper of daily circulation in Gilchrist County, Florida. The notice shall be published one time only within 15 days of the effective date of the Order. Respondent shall provide a certified copy of the published notice to the Department within 10 days of publication.

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

NOTICE OF CONSENT ORDER

The Department of Environmental Protection ("Department") gives notice of agency action of entering into a Consent Order with Bluetriton Brands, Inc. pursuant to section 120.57(4), Fla. Stat. The Consent Order addresses the failure to file for permit renewal, failure to submit monthly DMRs, and failure to conduct Total Nitrogen sampling at 7100 Northeast County Road 340, High Springs, Florida, 32643. The Consent Order is available for public inspection during normal business hours, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, at the Department of Environmental Protection, 8800 Baymeadows Way W, Suite 100, Jacksonville, Florida, 32256.

Persons who are not parties to this Consent Order, but whose substantial interests are affected by it, have a right to petition for an administrative hearing under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Fla. Stat. Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate final agency action, the filing of a petition concerning this Consent Order means that the Department's final action may be different from the position it has taken in the Consent Order.

The petition for administrative hearing must contain all of the following information:

- a) The name and address of each agency affected and each agency's file or identification

number, if known;

- b) The name, address, any e-mail address, any facsimile number, and telephone number of the petitioner, if the petitioner is not represented by an attorney or a qualified representative; the name, address, and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if any, which shall be the address for service purposes during the course of the proceeding; and an explanation of how the petitioner's substantial interests will be affected by the agency determination;
- c) A statement of when and how the petitioner received notice of the agency decision;
- d) A statement of all disputed issues of material fact. If there are none, the petition must so indicate;
- e) A concise statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the agency's proposed action;
- f) A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the agency's proposed action, including an explanation of how the alleged facts relate to the specific rules or statutes; and
- g) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wishes the agency to take with respect to the agency's proposed action.

The petition must be filed (meaning received) at the Department's Office of General Counsel, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS# 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 or received via electronic correspondence at Agency_Clerk@floridadep.gov, within 21 days of receipt of this notice. A copy of the petition must also be mailed at the time of filing to the District Office at 8800 Baymeadows Way W, Suite 100, Jacksonville, Florida, 32256. Failure to file a petition within the 21-day period constitutes a person's waiver of the right to request an administrative hearing and to participate as a party to this proceeding under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Fla. Stat. Before the deadline for filing a petition, a person whose substantial interests are affected by this Consent Order may choose to pursue mediation as an alternative remedy under section 120.573, Fla. Stat. Choosing mediation will not adversely affect such person's right to request an administrative hearing if mediation does not result in a settlement. Additional information

about mediation is provided in section 120.573, Fla. Stat., and Rule 62-110.106(12), Fla. Admin. Code.

25. Rules referenced in this Order are available at:

<http://www.dep.state.fl.us/legal/Rules/rulelist.htm>.

FOR THE RESPONDENT:


BlueTriton Brands, Inc.

DONE AND ORDERED this 7th day of April 2022, in Duval County, Florida.

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT
OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Gregory J. Strong
District Director
Northeast District

Filed, on this date, pursuant to section 120.52, Fla. Stat., with the designated Department Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.

 _____

April 7, 2022

Clerk

Date

Copies furnished to:

Lea Crandall, Agency Clerk, Mail Station 35 (executed copy only)
Herndon Sims, Dung Vo, Joni Petry, FDEP-Jacksonville
Jennifer Walters, FDEP-Tallahassee
Kathryn Horst, FDEP-OGC



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

WASTEWATER COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT



Facility Details

Facility Name	Blue Triton Brands, Inc.			WAFR ID	FLA499447		
Physical Address	7100 NE CR-340			City, State, Zip	High Springs, FL 32643		
County	Gilchrist			Facility Phone #	352-474-0423		
Permit Issued:	8/18/2016			Permit Expiration:	8/17/2021		
Facility Type	Industrial Wastewater			Is the Facility NPDES (Y/N)	No		
Latitude	Degrees °	29	Minutes ‘	49	Seconds “	3.5725 N	
Longitude	Degrees °	82	Minutes ‘	41	Seconds “	22.4954 W	

Inspection Details

Inspection Type	Entry Date			Exit Date			
CEI	9/23/2021			9/23/2021			
	Entry Time (HH:MM AM/PM)			Exit Time (HH:MM AM/PM)			
	12:45 pm			02:30 pm			
Sampling Taken (Y/N)	No		RQ #	NA		QA Conducted (Y/N)	No
Name(s) and Title of Field Representatives(s)	Operator Certification			Email		Phone Number	
Matt Collins	NA			Matthew.collins@waters.nestle.com		352-474-0423	
Name(s) and address of Permittee / Designated Rep.	Title			Email		Phone Number	
Laine Tuten	Factory Manager			laine.tuten@waters.nestle.com		352-	

Inspector Information

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspectors(s)	District Office/Phone Number	Date
Herndon Sims 	NED/904-256-1612	12/29/2021
Name and Signature of Reviewer	District Office/Phone Number	Date
Joni Petry 	NED/904-256-1606	1/7/2022

Facility Compliance Eval Areas

<i>IC = In Compliance; MC = Minor Out of Compliance; NC = Out of Compliance; SC = Significant Out of Compliance; NA = Not Applicable; NE = Not Evaluated Significant Non-Compliance Criteria Should be Reviewed when Out of Compliance Ratings Are Given in Areas Marked by a “*”</i>							
Overall Compliance Determination				Significant Out of Compliance			
NC	*Permit	IC	Laboratory	IC	Facility Site Review	NC	*Effluent Quality
IC	*Compliance Schedules	SC	Sampling	IC	Flow Measurement	IC	*Effluent Disposal
NC	*Records & Reports	NA	Biosolids	IC	*Operation & Maintenance	NA	*Groundwater
NA	SSO Survey	IC	Other	NA	Nutrient Management Plan	NA	Access Control
NA	Site Restrictions & Setbacks	NA	Odor/Nuisance	NA	Site Monitoring	NA	MLPW Disposal
NA	Manure Solids						

Clear Report	Hide/Unhide Placeholders	Generate Blank Rows (for field paper setup)	Generate Deficiency & Observation	Finish Report
---------------------	---------------------------------	--	--	----------------------

Single Event Violations (“*” SNC SEVs)

Check for Yes	Evaluation Area	Description	Finding Description	Finding ID
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permit	Effluent Violations - Unapproved Bypass	Wastewater was diverted from a portion of the treatment process without department approval.	UNBY
<input type="checkbox"/>	*Permit	Permit Violations - Discharge Without a Valid Permit	The facility was operating without a permit or with an expired permit.	UPHI
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permit	Permit Violations - Failure to Submit Timely Permit Renewal Application	The permittee failed to submit an application to renew the existing permit at least 180 days prior to expiration.	PFSA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Laboratory	Management Practice Violations - Laboratory Not Certified	The laboratory was not certified by the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC).	LNCE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sampling	Monitoring Violations - Analysis not Conducted	The facility failed to collect and/or analyze samples as required by permit or enforcement action.	ANCV
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sampling	Monitoring Violations - Failure to Monitor for Toxicity Requirements	The facility failed to collect and/or analyze routine or follow-up toxicity samples.	FTOX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Records and Reports	Management Practice Violations - Failure to Develop Adequate SPCC Plan	The facility failed to develop or maintain their Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures (SPCC) plan.	FSPC
<input type="checkbox"/>	Records and Reports	Management Practice Violations - Failure to Maintain Records	The facility failed to maintain records for the required retention period.	FMRR
<input type="checkbox"/>	Records and Reports	Reporting Violations - Failure to Notify	The permittee failed to notify the department of any event or activity that requires notification as required by permit or rule.	RSWP
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Records and Reports	Reporting Violations - Failure to Submit DMRs	The permittee failed to submit any DMR required by rule, permit, or enforcement action in a timely manner.	FDMR
<input type="checkbox"/>	Records and Reports	Reporting Violations - Failure to submit required report (non-DMR, non-pretreatment)	The facility failed to submit any report required by rule, permit, enforcement action or inspection activity except for DMRs.	FRPT
<input type="checkbox"/>	Facility Site Review	Management Practice Violations - Improper Land Application (non-503, non-CAFO)	The land application system was not being maintained.	LASN
<input type="checkbox"/>	Flow Measurement	Monitoring Violations - No Flow Measurement Device	The facility failed to install a flow measurement device, an approved flow measurement device, or a working flow measurement device.	NOFL
<input type="checkbox"/>	Operation and Maintenance	Management Practice Violations - Improper Operation and Maintenance	The facility failed to follow their operation and maintenance plan/manual.	IONM
<input type="checkbox"/>	Operation and Maintenance	Management Practice Violations - Inflow/Infiltration (I/I)	The facility had an inflow and infiltration problem causing collection system issues and/or operational issues.	ININ
<input type="checkbox"/>	Operation and Maintenance	Management Practice Violations - No Licensed/Certified Operator	The facility was being operated without a certified operator or by an operator that is not licensed for the size of plant.	ONCO
<input type="checkbox"/>	*Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Failed Toxicity Test	Persistent acute toxicity has been documented through follow-up tests.	EATX
<input type="checkbox"/>	*Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Failed Toxicity Test	Persistent chronic toxicity has been documented through follow-up tests.	ECTX
<input type="checkbox"/>	*Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Failed Toxicity Test	Persistent acute or chronic toxicity has been documented in the effluent through the use of routine and follow-up tests.	ETOX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Narrative Effluent Violation	The facility violated a permit or enforcement narrative effluent limit.	XNEV
<input type="checkbox"/>	Effluent Quality	Effluent Violations - Reported Fish Kill	The facility had a discharge of wastewater that resulted in a fish kill.	XFSH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Discharge to Waters	A sewage spill from any components of a collection/transmission system or from a treatment plant reached surface waters including stormwater conveyance system or drainage ditch.	SSO1
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Failure to Maintain Records or Meet Record Keeping Requirements	The facility failed to keep routine documentation and reporting records of spills, and/or operation and maintenance activities on the collection/transmission system.	SSO2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Failure to monitor	The facility failed to collect and/or analyze bacteriological samples for sewage spills that reached surface waters.	SSO3
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Failure to report violation that may endanger public health 122.41(I)(7)	The facility failed to report a sewage spill within 24 hours of discovery.	SSO4
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Improper Operation and Maintenance	The facility failed to perform routine preventative maintenance to keep the collection/transmission system in good working order.	SSO5
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Survey	WW SSO - Overflow to Dry Land	A sewage spill from any part of a collection/transmission system or treatment plant that did not make it to surface waters, i.e., stormwater collection system, drainage ditch, stream, pond, or lake.	SSO6

Permit

Compliance Rating	Out of Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
Questions				
*Is the permit current?	Yes			
Is a copy of the permit available onsite?	Yes			
Is the facility operated in accordance with the permit?	Yes			
*Was the facility constructed or modified with an appropriate or valid permit issued by the Department?	N/A			
Has the facility submitted the permit renewal application within the required timeframe prior to the expiration date?	No			
If the permittee for the facility has changed did the department receive notification of this change?	Yes			
If the permit is accompanied by a Consent Order or Administrative Order are, they abiding by the conditions of the order?	N/A			
Is wastewater from a portion of the treatment process diverted with Department approval?	N/A			
*Is the facility discharging to waters of the state with an appropriate FDEP permit?	N/A			
*Was the facility free from unpermitted discharge, bypass, collection system, or residuals with a high potential for water quality or health impacts?	Yes			
Is the facility free from any Permit violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes			
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a “*” Questions with “No” responses indicate deficiencies Questions with “N/A” responses do not apply to the facility				
Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:				
The permittee failed to submit an application to renew the existing permit at least 180 days prior to expiration. [62 620.335(1) F.A.C., 62-620.410(5) F.A.C., PFSA]	Deficiency: (Narrative) Permittee failed to submit the application at least 180 days prior to existing permit expiration. Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) The permit renewal application was submitted on August 5, 2021. Missing the renewal application submittal due date as required by permit is enforceable.			
Observations:				
The 1 st permit revision was completed on March 29, 2019 for an official name change from Nestle Waters to Blue Triton. The 2 nd permit revision was completed August 20, 2020 for treatment/flow modifications and total nitrogen monitoring.				

Compliance Schedule

Compliance Rating	In Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
Questions				
If the facility has a compliance schedule in a permit, Administrative Order or Enforcement Action are they in compliance with the schedule?	Yes			
*Are the Compliance Date(s), Construction Milestone(s), Enforcement Order Schedule(s) or Final Compliance Date started/completed within 90 days of the due date?	N/A			

Has the facility completed construction and submitted a Notification of Completion of Construction for Wastewater Facilities or Activities (Form 62-620.910(12)), if required?	N/A
Has the Notification of Availability of Record Drawings and Final Operation and Maintenance Manuals (Form 62-620.910(13)) been submitted as required?	N/A
If the facility is under a Toxicity Corrective Action Plan, are they in compliance with the plan?	N/A
Is the facility free from any Compliance Schedule violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
<p>Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a “*”</p> <p>Questions with “No” responses indicate deficiencies</p> <p>Questions with “N/A” responses do not apply to the facility</p>	
Observations:	
<p>As a reminder, under the Permit Schedules, Section VI, Item 2, permittee is required to submit the renewal permit application no later than 180 days prior to the expiration date of existing permit.</p> <p>Facility is required to maintain and periodically update the BMP plan. The BMP met the permit requirements.</p>	

Laboratory

Compliance Rating	In Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
Questions				
Is there a current copy of the laboratory certification onsite?	Yes			
If the facility has an onsite laboratory does it have a Florida Department of Health Environmental Laboratory Certification Program certification?	N/A			
Facility DOH Certification #	Eurofins Lab: E871024 Yes			
Contract Lab Name and DOH Certification #	NA N/A			
Does the onsite laboratory use sample analysis methods prescribed in 40 CFR part 136 or a test method that has gone through the EPA alternative method approval process?	N/A			
Does the facility have standard operating procedures that follow the methods set in 62-620.10(18) F.A.C. including 40 CFR Part 136; including required instrumentation, glassware cleaning, reagent/standard use, and troubleshooting procedures?	N/A			
Does the facility have a QA/QC program with a written QA/QC manual as required by 40 CFR 122.41 that is up to date and available for review?	N/A			
Does the facility follow the procedures set in the QA/QC manual; including instrument calibration/maintenance, checks on standard solutions, sample analysis precision/accuracy limits on a prescribed bases and QC samples (duplicate, spiked, blank in at least 10%)?	N/A			
Is the detailed record complete and available for review for each set of analyses performed including the order of calibration, QA/QC, bracketing, and samples analyzed?	N/A			
Does the facility have QA/QC records on the reagent preparation, instrument calibration/maintenance, incubator temperature and purchase of laboratory supplies?	N/A			
Does the facility's laboratory documentation of the sample results use qualifier codes when sample QA/QC fall outside acceptable precision and accuracy limits set in the QA/QC manual?	N/A			
Does the facility's laboratory take and record corrective actions or trouble shooting steps when data falls out of the precision and accuracy limits?	N/A			
Are records of standard(s) and reagent(s) preparation maintained at the laboratory?	N/A			
Is the laboratory maintaining adequate records for reagent preparation(s)?	N/A			
Does the laboratory have a system for uniformly recording, correcting, processing and reporting data; including formulas, significant figures, rounding rules, units, cross-checking calculations?	N/A			

Is the facility's laboratory adequate for analyzing samples; including pure water, clean bench space for instrument use/storage free of contamination, necessary equipment, vibration free area, ventilation, humidity and temperature control?	N/A
Does the Laboratory meet NELAC and EPA standards including; dry and clean sample storage locations, sample custodian(s) to ensure upon receipt of samples, proper sample storage, preservation and custody documentation?	N/A
Does the facility use appropriate standards that are prepared in volumetric glassware, checked against reliable primary standards, labeled properly, stored in clean containers, and discarded when expired or degraded?	N/A
Does the facility's laboratory analyst(s) demonstrate competency and appropriate training; including ability to follow procedures, ability to meet precision and accuracy limits, knowledge of equipment and analytical methods.	N/A
If the facility test requires temperature measurement is there a thermometer present that is routinely calibrated against NIST thermometer within calibration date range?	N/A
Is the sample refrigerator temperature correct to meet the preservation requirements for the samples stored within?	N/A
Is the facility free from any Laboratory violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	N/A
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a “*” Questions with “No” responses indicate deficiencies Questions with “N/A” responses do not apply to the facility	
Observations:	
Facility has an on-site laboratory for analyzing bottle water parameters. These analyses are not a requirement for the industrial wastewater permit and was not evaluated.	
The contract laboratory, Eurofins Eaton Analytical is an out of state laboratory.	

Sampling

Compliance Rating	Significant Out of Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
Questions				
In facility log books or other documentation are the daily records appropriately recorded including; composite sampler or other temperatures, and daily calibration of meters.	Yes			
Does the facility maintain records of their daily calibration of their pH meter, chlorine meter, dissolved oxygen meter?	No			
Does the facility maintain records of their daily checks of their in-line meter(s) with their field meter(s)?	No			
Do field sheets document that the collection and analysis of field tests were analyzed within the 15-minute holding time.	No			
Are meters calibrated and sample analysis conducted at the facility done in accordance with DEP SOP(s) and NELAC guidelines? (calibration frequency and sample bracketing for pH, total residual chlorine (TRC), turbidity, DO)	No			
Are all the primary and secondary standards used to calibrate and verify meters, used prior to expiration dates and verified against primary standards appropriate for pH, TRC, turbidity, DO?	No			
Are the inline meters reading within established limits compared to the bench meters? (TRC ≤ 20%, Turbidity ≤ 20%, pH 0.2 SU)	N/A			
Were safe access points for obtaining representative influent/effluent samples available?	Yes			
Are influent sampling points located prior to internal facility return lines including supernatant, filter backwash and return activated sludge (RAS)?	N/A			
Are samples being collected and analyzed as required by the permit or enforcement action; including location, type (grab/composite), time, and frequency?	No			

Are samples being collected in the proper containers, preserved and analyzed in appropriate hold times in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136, Table II?	Yes
If the facility has a composite sampler with cooling system at the influent/effluent sampling location is there a thermometer present in the sampler that is annually checked against NIST thermometers?	N/A
Is composite sampling being conducted appropriately; including purging, sampling velocity at least 2fps, clean tubing, individual sample volume of at least 100 mL, sample storage of <6°C preservation, hold times and representative samples?	N/A
Did the facility have their Chain of Custody records?	Yes
If sampling was conducted and observed during the inspection did the sampling follow DEP SOP requirements?	N/A
Did the facility collect and/or analyze routine or follow-up toxicity samples as required by permit or enforcement action?	N/A
Is the facility free from any Sampling violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility	
Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:	
Does the facility maintain records of their daily calibration of their pH meter, chlorine meter, dissolved oxygen meter?[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FD 1000-6000]	Deficiency: (Narrative) Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova.
	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH calibration documents for review. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.
Does the facility maintain records of their daily checks of their in-line meter(s) with their field meter(s)?[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FD 1000-6000]	Deficiency: (Narrative) Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova
	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH calibration documents for review. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.
Do field sheets document that the collection and analysis of field tests were analyzed within the 15-minute holding time.[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FD 1000-6000]	Deficiency: (Narrative) Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova.
	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH field sheets for review and verification. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.

Are meters calibrated and sample analysis conducted at the facility done in accordance with DEP SOP(s) and NELAC guidelines? (calibration frequency and sample bracketing for pH, total residual chlorine (TRC), turbidity, DO)[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FT 1000]	<p>Deficiency: (Narrative) Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova.</p> <p>Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH calibration documents for review and verification that the analyses are being performed in accordance with DEP SOP. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.</p>
Are all the primary and secondary standards used to calibrate and verify meters, used prior to expiration dates and verified against primary standards appropriate for pH, TRC, turbidity, DO?[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FT 1000]	<p>Deficiency: (Narrative) Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova.</p> <p>Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH calibration documents for review. This document should include the pH standards. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.</p>
The facility failed to collect and/or analyze samples as required by permit or enforcement action. [62-600.650(1) F.A.C., 62-620.610(18) F.A.C., 62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-600.660(1) F.A.C., DEP SOP FS 2400, ANCV]	<p>Deficiency: (Narrative) Facility failed to monitor/analyze total nitrogen monthly since the issuance of the 2nd permit revision in August 2020.</p> <p>Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) A request for additional information (RAI) was sent to permittee to submit the total nitrogen results for evaluation. Failure to submit total nitrogen data as required by permit is enforceable.</p>
Observations:	
Facility is required to submit monthly DMR flow and total nitrogen data. TDS, Sulfate, Nitrite plus Nitrate is analyzed and submitted semiannual. If sampling is conducted several time within a monitoring period, the maximum value must be reported on the DMR.	

Records and Reports

Compliance Rating	Out of Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
Questions				
Are the entries in the operator logbook clear, concise, informative and relevant?				Yes

Was copy of the current O&M manual available at the time of the inspection?	N/A
Is there a current operator license?	N/A
Is there a current RPZ certification?	N/A
Does the facility have and maintain their Spill Prevention Control and Counter measurement (SPCC) Plan?	Yes
Are all required documents and reports available at the plant well organized and complete?	Yes
Does the facility maintain the records onsite for the required retention period?	Yes
Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) Review Period	November 2018 to October 2021 Yes
Are the discharge monitoring reports completed properly?	Yes
Are the DMRs submitted on the proper form?	Yes
Is an authorized representative signing the DMRs?	Yes
Has the permittee submitted an annual Reclaimed Water and/or Effluent Analysis Report?	N/A
A review of the last toxicity test did not reveal any deficiencies?	N/A
Has the facility submitted all report(s) during the review period that are required by rule, permit, enforcement action or inspection activity, other than DMRs?	Yes
*Has the facility timely submitted DMRs as required by rule, permit, or enforcement action? (If either reports are >30 days late meets SNC criteria)	No
Has the facility submitted all final compliance schedule reports as required by rule, permit, or enforcement action?	N/A
Has the permittee notified the Department of any event or activity that requires notification as required by permit or rule?	Yes
*Are records or reports free from falsified data?	Yes
Is the facility free from any Records and Reports violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
<p>Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a “*”</p> <p>Questions with “No” responses indicate deficiencies</p> <p>Questions with “N/A” responses do not apply to the facility</p>	
Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:	
<p><i>The permittee failed to submit any DMR required by rule, permit, or enforcement action in a timely manner. [403.161(1)(b) F.S., 62-600.680 F.A.C., 62-620.610(18)(a) F.A.C., FDMR]</i></p>	<p><i>Deficiency: (Narrative)</i></p> <p>-Facility is not submitting DMRs by the 28th of the month following the month of operation. - --The following DMRs have not been submitted: January-October 2019; and September-November 2021.</p> <p><i>Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)</i></p> <p>-Permit requires the permittee to submit the DMRs for each monitoring period by the 28th of the month following the month of operation. Not submitting DMRs timely as required by permit is enforceable.</p> <p>-The flow unit is reported in “MGD” not “Gallons”.</p> <p>-For the missing DMRs between January-October 2019, facility reported the following: Nestle Waters acquired the facility back in February of 2019 from Ice River. As for February 2019 to November 2019, the facility did not have an accurate method for determining wastewater discharge amount. Equipment was installed as part of a capital project to ensure amount of wastewater discharge is recorded.</p>
Observations:	

Facility needs to comply with all requirements of the permit. Contact Department if there are any questions or concerns about issues in the permit.

Facility Site Review

Compliance Rating	In Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
Questions				
The facility was free from odors emanating from the facility.			Yes	
The facility was free from excessive noise which could be heard beyond the boundaries of the facility.			Yes	
Is the mechanical bar screen functioning as intended?			N/A	
Are grit and/or screenings being disposed of in accordance with the permit?			N/A	
Are records of the disposal of the screenings and grit collected at the facility available?			N/A	
Are the aeration basins diffusers free from clogs and providing adequate mixing?			N/A	
Is even distribution of air observed in the aeration basin?			N/A	
Are the air line(s) to the aeration basin(s) free from leaks?			N/A	
Are dual blower motors present as required by rule?			N/A	
Are the blower motors equipped with belt guards?			N/A	
The blower motors are free from excessive noise.			N/A	
Are all the blower motors present and operational at the time of the inspection?			N/A	
Are spare parts and a second standby blower motors stored onsite?			N/A	
Is the electrical box wiring for the blower motors adequately protected?			N/A	
Are there two functioning pumps that alternate?			N/A	
The RAS line was free from excessive splashing that could cause solids to be discharged outside the tank.			N/A	
Are the treatment lagoon berms properly stabilized?			N/A	
Is the base of the lagoon free from lateral seepage at the time of the inspection?			N/A	
Is the treatment lagoon properly secured to prevent unauthorized access?			N/A	
Are all the aerators in the lagoon operational?			N/A	
Does the treatment lagoon have adequate freeboard space?			N/A	
Is the treatment lagoon free from excessive foaming?			N/A	
Were the tank contents in the aerobic digester(s) well mixed?			N/A	
Is the stilling well free from a thick layer of sludge and/or trash?			N/A	
Are the clarifier weir(s) level?			N/A	
Does the skimmer appear to be functional in the clarifier?			N/A	
Are the clarifiers free from solids discharging over the weir(s)?			N/A	
Are the digester(s) free from excessive odors and/or foaming?			N/A	
Does the facility dispose of their sludge appropriately?			N/A	
The leachate from the sludges is not being disposed of onto the ground?			N/A	
Is the Chlorine Contact Chamber (CCC) effluent clear and free from scum, solids accumulation and debris?			N/A	
Are the baffles in the CCC functioning as intended?			N/A	
Is the chlorine contact chamber providing a minimum contact time as designed?			N/A	
Does the chlorine injection point provide optimal mixing to occur in the CCC?			N/A	
Is the CCC chlorine pump operational, providing adequate chlorine supply for disinfection and at the permitted location?			N/A	
Is the chlorine storage area protected from the elements?			N/A	
Is the alarm indicator for the chlorine system operational?			N/A	
Is the chlorine supply covered in frost indicating an issue with the system?			N/A	

Is the fan inside the chlorine room operational?	N/A
Are the chlorine scales operational?	N/A
Is an operational Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) available for the chlorine room?	N/A
Are the chlorine gas cylinders properly secured?	N/A
Is a fresh supply of ammonia available to test for leaks in the gas chlorination system?	N/A
Is the automatic SO2 feed operational within de-chlorination process?	N/A
Is the SO2 system free from frost within de-chlorination process?	N/A
Are the bisulfite (SO2) gas cylinders properly secured for de-chlorination?	N/A
Was there adequate ventilation in the SO2 room?	N/A
Chlorine and SO2 cylinders marked with empty/full tags?	N/A
Is the exterior of the tanks, wall, and/or pipes of the facility free from leaks?	N/A
The facility is free from evidence of recent overflows.	N/A
Is the area around the lift station(s) maintained?	N/A
Is there emergency contact information on and/or around the lift station(s)?	N/A
Is the required signage adequate?	N/A
Does the facility have a fence around their lift station(s)?	N/A
Is the gate around the lift station and the cover to the lift station locked?	N/A
Is the cover to the lift station(s) free from safety hazards?	N/A
Was the collection system or lift station free from offsite objectionable odors?	N/A
The lift station visual and audio alarm operating satisfactory?	N/A
Was an alternative power source available at the facility?	N/A
Is the onsite generator tested under load on a monthly basis?	N/A
Are records available for the testing of the generator?	N/A
Is the land application system being maintained?	N/A
Are the potable water supply lines and the facility free from cross connections?	N/A
Is an RPZ in place and free of leaks on all potable water supply lines?	N/A
Is there a record of testing available on the RPZ?	N/A
The treatment equipment was free from excessive corrosion.	Yes
Is the facility providing measures to protect the public health and safety at all times?	Yes
Is there adequate access to all monitoring locations?	Yes
Are the facility grounds clean and well maintained?	Yes
Is the facility free from any Facility Site Review violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
<p>Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a “*”</p> <p>Questions with “No” responses indicate deficiencies</p> <p>Questions with “N/A” responses do not apply to the facility</p>	
Observations:	
Conducted a facility walk thru and did not observed any operation or safety issues.	

Flow Measurement

Compliance Rating	In Compliance			
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
Questions				
Is there easy access to flow meter?	Yes			
Is the flow meter in the correct location?	Yes			
Is the flow measuring device installed properly?	Yes			
Is the flow meter calibrated at least annually and is it current?	Yes			

When was the flow meter last calibrated?	6/31/2021 Yes
Is the flow measurement device operating within +/- 10% of the actual flow?	Yes
Is the flow meter operating properly at the time of the inspection?	Yes
The chart recorder and/or totalizer for the flow meter was operational at the time of the inspection.	Yes
The elapsed time meters on the lift station pumps were functioning.	N/A
The flow entering the convergence section of the Parshall Flume was free of excessive turbulence.	N/A
Is the facility free from any Flow Measurement violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility	
Observations:	
The flow measuring devices were operating as intended.	

Operations and Maintenance

Compliance Rating	In Compliance				
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
Questions					
Does the facility have adequate plant staffing?	Yes				
Is a certified operator operating the wastewater treatment facility with the appropriate license level for the size of the plant?	N/A				
Is the operator performing treatment plant operation and maintenance duties in a responsible and professional manner?	Yes				
Is the plant O&M log maintained in a hard-bound book with consecutive page numbering, or another approved format?	N/A				
Does the facility have an O&M manual, and does the facility's O&M manual reflect the current configuration of the facility?	N/A				
*Is the facility operated in accordance with the O & M Manual? (If there is a high potential for water quality or health impacts meets SNC criteria)	N/A				
Is the facility maintaining a log that documents routine equipment maintenance?	Yes				
Is the plant free of any treatment components that are in disrepair that would provide for unsafe operation?	Yes				
Is the facility without an inflow and infiltration problem which would cause collection system and/or operational issues?	N/A				
*Does the facility replace malfunctioning equipment, which can result in a high potential for water supply quality or health impacts?	Yes				
Dike berms appeared to be in satisfactory condition.	Yes				
Hand rails/catwalks/ladders were in good working order providing for safe conditions.	N/A				
The liner(s) in the containment pond(s) appeared to be functioning as intended.	N/A				
The plant operator is fulfilling the minimum site requirements as required by the Permit.	Yes				
Preventative maintenance is being performed in the accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.	Yes				
The facility maintains an adequate spare parts inventory.	Yes				

Swales were being maintained.	N/A
Is the facility free from any Operations and Maintenance violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility	
Observations:	
All treatment systems and required equipment was operating as intended.	

Effluent Quality

Compliance Rating	Out of Compliance
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Questions	
DMR review period:	November 2018 to October 2021 Yes
A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports revealed the following effluent exceedance(s).	No exceedances report on DMRs No
*Did the effluent limits exceed the Technical Review Criteria less than two times in six months?	N/A
*Are the effluent limits without exceedances four out of six months (chronic criteria)?	N/A
*Did the total residual chlorine levels meet disinfection limits? (If below required minimum 10% or more of the time in a rolling 6 month period, meets SNC criteria)	N/A
Was the facility free of a discharge of wastewater that resulted in a fish kill?	N/A
*Is persistent acute toxicity documented through follow-up tests?	N/A
*Is persistent chronic toxicity documented through follow-up tests?	N/A
*Is the facility free from persistent acute or chronic toxicity documented in the effluent through the use of routine and follow-up tests?	N/A
Does the facility meet the permit or enforcement narrative effluent limitation(s)? (Non-DMR visible sheen defined as iridescence present so as to cause taste or odor, or otherwise interfere with the beneficial use of the receiving water)	N/A
Is the effluent free from excessive (suspended solids, foam, grease, scum) in the discharge stream?	N/A
*Was the facility free from any other violation with a high potential for water quality or health impacts?	N/A
Is the facility free from any Effluent Quality violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility	
Deficiencies & Corrective Actions:	
A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports revealed the following effluent exceedance(s).	<p>Deficiency: (Narrative)</p> <p>A review of the April 2021 lab report noted a Nitrite plus Nitrate violation of 14 mg/L. This was not reported on the 1st half 2021 semiannual DMR.</p> <p>Facility failed to monitor total nitrogen between August 2020 and November 2021.</p>

	<p><i>Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)</i></p> <p>Facility needs to ensure all parameters are analyzed and reported in accordance to the requirements of the permit.</p>
Observations:	
Flow data reporting errors were noted on some of the DMRs. All flow data must be reported in “MGD” and not “Gallons”. This issue was discussed in the Records section above.	

Effluent Disposal

Compliance Rating	In Compliance
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Questions	
Are discharge location(s) as per permit?	Yes
What type of reuse is the facility approved for?	3 percolation ponds Yes
Has a cross connection control program been implemented within the areas where reclaimed water is provided for use (Part III, VII)?	N/A
Is all reclaimed water piping and equipment color-coded Pantone purple (522C)?	N/A
Reclaimed water valves and outlets were appropriately tagged and /or labeled.	N/A
Are advisory signs posted in English and Spanish in areas where non-potable Public Access Reuse water is being applied (Part III, VII)?	N/A
Is the reclaimed water retained on the application site?	N/A
No significant ponding was observed on the reclaimed water application site.	N/A
There was no aerosol mist leaving the boundaries of the land application site?	N/A
There was no evidence of solids loss in the treatment process or from the plant?	N/A
The RIBs and/or percolation ponds were free from excessive vegetation and sludge?	Yes
Does the percolation ponds have at least 3 ft of freeboard?	Yes
The absorption field was free from excessive vegetation.	N/A
Is the drain field free of ponding?	N/A
Do the reclaimed water storage ponds have adequate freeboard?	N/A
Are RIBs and/or disposal pond berms well maintained and free from excessive vegetation?	Yes
There was no evidence of a bypass or failure at the effluent storage and/or disposal site(s)?	Yes
Are all effluent disposal areas such as RIBs, ponds, and sprayfields being loaded and rested per permit conditions?	N/A
Are the sprinklers functioning as intended for the absorption field(s) or sprayfield(s)?	N/A
No unauthorized discharge to waters of the state was observed during the inspection.	Yes
Does the facility maintain a supply of spare parts for the absorption field(s) or sprayfield(s)?	N/A
The facility is meeting the minimum setback distances.	Yes
The effluent disposal and/or storage area was free from sinkholes.	Yes
The sprayfield was free from ponding.	N/A
The sprayfield was free from excessive vegetation.	N/A
What cover crop and/or vegetation is planted on the reclaimed water area(s)?	NA N/A
*The disposal system was being operated as designed with a low potential for water quality or health impacts.	Yes
*There was no unauthorized operation or modification of the disposal system.	Yes
Access control around the effluent disposal site was complete and in good repair.	Yes
Is the facility free from any Effluent Disposal violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	Yes

Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a “*”

Questions with “No” responses indicate deficiencies

Questions with “N/A” responses do not apply to the facility

Observations:

The percolation ponds were being maintained and operating as required by the permit.

Groundwater

Compliance Rating	Not Applicable			
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
Questions				
DMRs review period	N/A			
Are the groundwater monitoring results sent to the Department on Discharge Monitoring Report, Form 62-620.910(10), F.A.C. or entered into EzDMR and submitted by the DMR due date?	N/A			
After a review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports, are the compliance well parameters meeting the groundwater standards in the time period reviewed (12 months or greater)?	N/A			
A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports revealed the following effluent exceedance(s).	N/A			
Does the facility's purging logs on DEP Form FD 9000-24 indicate that purging was done properly; including sufficient volume, purge rate, depth to water, and stability criteria (pH, Temperature, Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity).	N/A			
Is the groundwater monitoring report complete and accurate, including analysis method, laboratory method detection limits, static water level, purging logs, sample collection procedures and treatment?	N/A			
Do the groundwater monitoring wells meet DEP requirements including; tamper-proof locks, unique well label(s), concrete well pad with protective bumpers not containing numerous cracks, and is free of clutter for sampling purposes.	N/A			
If or when new well construction was completed did the facility plug and properly abandoned the existing well and submit Monitoring Well Completion Report, Form 62-520.900(3) to DEP within 60 days?	N/A			
If a monitoring well became damaged or inoperable was maintenance conducted and notification sent to DEP within 2 days of discovery?	N/A			
Is the well(s) that the facility is sampling at, part of the approved groundwater monitoring plan?	N/A			
Are the monitoring wells operable to the extent that sampling is possible?	N/A			
Are groundwater samples being collected and analyzed as required by the permit or enforcement action; including location, well type, sample type (grab/composite), time, and frequency?	N/A			
If sampling was observed were the sample collection activities being performed in accordance with DEP SOP FS 2200?	N/A			
If sampling was observed was equipment in satisfactory condition?	N/A			
If sampling was not observed is the description of sample collection activities being performed in accordance with DEP SOP FS 2200?	N/A			
Is the facility free from any Groundwater violation not listed above that needs to be addressed?	N/A			
Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a “*”				
Questions with “No” responses indicate deficiencies				
Questions with “N/A” responses do not apply to the facility				

Observations:
No observations were recorded.

Other

Compliance Rating	In Compliance
Does this section apply to the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Questions	
*Is the facility free from any violation not listed above, or pattern of noncompliance, resulting in a high potential for water quality or health impacts <i>(Any violations considered significant by the Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Director of District Management, or the Division Director meet SNC criteria)</i>	Yes
Please describe any potential Non-wastewater violations (i.e. hazardous waste, stormwater, SLERP, Air and Storage Tanks) that were referred.	NA N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant Non-Compliance Criteria per Program Guidance Memo OWM-00-01 Should be Reviewed when Checklist Items Deficiencies are Noted and Marked by a "*" Questions with "No" responses indicate deficiencies Questions with "N/A" responses do not apply to the facility 	
Observations:	
-A 42,000-gallon spill occurred and reported in February 2020. -A high pH > 8.5 s.u. was monitored at the lift station. Personnel indicated high pH was due to a faulty pH probe on the neutralization skid. -Ensure all noncompliance issues are documented and submitted in a timely manner to Department.	

Deficiencies Summary

Evaluation Area: Permit	
The permittee failed to submit an application to renew the existing permit at least 180 days prior to expiration. [62 620.335(1) F.A.C., 62-620.410(5) F.A.C., PFSA]	Deficiency: (Narrative) Permittee failed to submit the application at least 180 days prior to existing permit expiration.
	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) The permit renewal application was submitted on August 5, 2021. Missing the renewal application submittal due date as required by permit is enforceable.

Evaluation Area: Sampling	
Does the facility maintain records of their daily calibration of their pH meter, chlorine meter,	Deficiency: (Narrative) Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova.
	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH calibration documents for review. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.

<p>dissolved oxygen meter?</p> <p>[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FD 1000-6000]</p>	
<p>Does the facility maintain records of their daily checks of their in-line meter(s) with their field meter(s)?</p> <p>[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FD 1000-6000]</p>	<p>Deficiency: (Narrative)</p> <p>Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova</p>
	<p>Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)</p> <p>Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH calibration documents for review. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.</p>
<p>Do field sheets document that the collection and analysis of field tests were analyzed within the 15-minute holding time.</p> <p>[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FD 1000-6000]</p>	<p>Deficiency: (Narrative)</p> <p>Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova.</p>
	<p>Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)</p> <p>Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH field sheets for review and verification. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.</p>
<p>Are meters calibrated and sample analysis conducted at the facility done in accordance with DEP SOP(s) and NELAC guidelines? (calibration frequency and sample bracketing for pH, total residual chlorine (TRC), turbidity, DO)</p> <p>[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FT 1000]</p>	<p>Deficiency: (Narrative)</p> <p>Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova.</p>
	<p>Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)</p> <p>Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH calibration documents for review and verification that the analyses are being performed in accordance with DEP SOP. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.</p>
<p>Are all the primary and secondary standards used to calibrate and verify meters, used prior to expiration dates and verified against primary standards appropriate for pH, TRC, turbidity, DO?</p>	<p>Deficiency: (Narrative)</p> <p>Facility stated the pH equipment is calibrated every year by TriNova.</p>
	<p>Corrective Action(s): (Narrative)</p> <p>Department requested that the facility submit copies of the pH calibration documents for review. This document should include the pH standards. Request all 2020 and 2021 documents.</p>

[62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-160.800(1)(a) F.A.C., DEP SOP FT 1000]	
The facility failed to collect and/or analyze samples as required by permit or enforcement action. [62-600.650(1) F.A.C., 62-620.610(18) F.A.C., 62-160.210(1) F.A.C., 62-600.660(1) F.A.C., DEP SOP FS 2400, ANCV]	Deficiency: (Narrative) Facility failed to monitor/analyze total nitrogen monthly since the issuance of the 2nd permit revision in August 2020.
	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) A request for additional information (RAI) was sent to permittee to submit the total nitrogen results for evaluation. Failure to submit total nitrogen data as required by permit is enforceable.

Evaluation Area: Records and Reports

The permittee failed to submit any DMR required by rule, permit, or enforcement action in a timely manner. [403.161(1)(b) F.S., 62-600.680 F.A.C., 62-620.610(18)(a) F.A.C., FDMR]	Deficiency: (Narrative) -Facility is not submitting DMRs by the 28th of the month following the month of operation. - --The following DMRs have not been submitted: January-October 2019; and September-November 2021.
	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) -Permit requires the permittee to submit the DMRs for each monitoring period by the 28th of the month following the month of operation. Not submitting DMRs timely as required by permit is enforceable. -The flow unit is reported in "MGD" not "Gallons". -For the missing DMRs between January-October 2019, facility reported the following: Nestle Waters acquired the facility back in February of 2019 from Ice River. As for February 2019 to November 2019, the facility did not have an accurate method for determining wastewater discharge amount. Equipment was installed as part of a capital project to ensure amount of wastewater discharge is recorded.

Evaluation Area: Effluent Quality

A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports revealed the following effluent exceedance(s).	Deficiency: (Narrative) A review of the April 2021 lab report noted a Nitrite plus Nitrate violation of 14 mg/L. This was not reported on the 1st half 2021 semiannual DMR. Facility failed to monitor total nitrogen between August 2020 and November 2021.
	Corrective Action(s): (Narrative) Facility needs to ensure all parameters are analyzed and reported in accordance to the requirements of the permit.