

# HARTMAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.

engineers, hydrogeologists, surveyors & management consultants  
A Tetra Tech Company

## OFFICERS:

Gerald C. Hartman, P.E., DEE  
Harold E. Schmidt, Jr., P.E., DEE  
James E. Christopher, P.E.  
Charles W. Drake, P.G.  
Mark A. Rynning, P.E., M.B.A.  
William D. Musser, P.E., P.H.  
Michael B. Bomar, P.E.  
Lawrence E. Jenkins, P.S.M.

## SENIOR ASSOCIATES:


Marco H. Rocca, C.M.C.  
Roderick K. Cashe, P.E.  
Douglas P. Dufresne, P.G.  
Jon D. Fox, P.E.  
Troy E. Layton, P.E., DEE  
Daniel M. Nelson, P.E.

## ASSOCIATES:

James E. Golden, P.G.  
Andrew T. Woodcock, P.E., M.B.A.  
John P. Toomey, P.E.  
Jennifer L. Woodall, P.E.  
L. Todd Shaw, P.E.  
Rafael A. Terrero, P.E., DEE  
Jill M. Hudkins, P.E.  
Valerie C. Davis, P.G.  
Charles M. Shultz, P.E.  
Sean M. Parks, AICP QEP  
C. Michelle Gaylord  
Tara L. Hollis, C.P.A., M.B.A.  
W. Bruce Lafrenz, P.G.  
Alexis K. Stewart, P.E.  
Ada R. Terrero  
Christopher W. Hardin, P.E.  
James R. Warner, E.I.

HAI #99.0331.007

File 13.2



Via UPS Ground

Mr. John Morris, P.G.  
Florida Department of Environmental Protection  
Southwest District  
3804 Coconut Palm Drive  
Tampa, Florida 33619

**Subject: Groundwater Monitoring Results  
Enterprise Recycling & Disposal Facility  
Angelo's Aggregate Materials, Ltd.  
FDEP Permit Nos. 177982-001-SC, 177982-002-SO  
Pasco County, Florida**

Dear Mr. Morris:

On behalf of Angelo's Aggregate Materials, Inc., Hartman & Associates, Inc. (HAI) is submitting for your review the groundwater monitoring results for the re-sampling of monitor well MW-7B at the subject site in Dade City, Florida, see Figure 1. HAI personnel were at the subject site on November 5, 2003 to sample the above well. Copies of the field sampling sheet, chain of custody documentation, and laboratory analytical results for MW-7B are attached in Appendix A for your review.


Monitor well MW-7B was re-sampled due to the presence of phenol during the initial groundwater monitoring at the site, at a level of 11 ug/L. Phenol was detected at a concentration of 10 ug/L during the re-sampling of MW-7B. The State Groundwater Cleanup Target Level (GCTL) for phenol is 10 ug/L. The laboratory analytical results also showed a field pH exceedance of 10.84 and a laboratory analysis pH exceedance of 9.8 STD MW-7B. The previous sampling event showed a field pH exceedance of 11.05 STD.

According to Groundwater Chemicals (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition) by John H. Montgomery, phenol is typically found in antiseptics, disinfectants, epoxy and phenolic resins (in wood), as a solvent for refining lubricating oils, and as a laboratory reagent. Since the operation of the landfill has not yet commenced on this property, we believe the presence of phenol to be a pre-existing condition at monitor well MW-7B. Some of the potential sources of phenol near the site are the closed East Pasco County Landfill to the north, the Larkin Cemetery (due west of the site), and the Seaboard Coast Line Railroad (due west of the site, near SR 35A). Although those sites are not close, it should be noted that groundwater can potentially flow at rapid rates from distant contamination sources via fracture or conduit in the karst limestones of the Floridan aquifer. The next sampling event will occur in January 2004.

201 EAST PINE STREET • SUITE 1000 • ORLANDO, FL 32801-2723  
TELEPHONE (407) 839-3955 • FAX (407) 839-3790 • www.consulthai.com  
A TETRA TECH COMPANY (Offices Nationwide)

ORLANDO FORT MYERS FT. LAUDERDALE JACKSONVILLE DESTIN ATLANTA

Mr. John Morris, P.G.  
December 18, 2003  
Page 2



We trust this submittal will satisfy the Department's requirements. Please call us if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

**Hartman & Associates, Inc.**


W. Bruce Lafrenz  
Senior Hydrogeologist/Associate

WBL/MAG/cr/99.0331.007/JmorrisMW-7B.doc

cc: Dominic Iafrate, Angelo's Recycled Materials, Inc., Warren, MI  
Craig Bryan, Angelo's Aggregate Materials, Inc., Largo, FL  
Miguel A. Garcia, HAI

**FIGURES**

**APPENDIX A**




HAI# 99.0331.007-2 NAME: WSB/HLC  
 PROJECT NAME: Enterprise Rd L.A. Southwest District Tampa DATE: 11/5/03  
 PROJECT LOCATION: Dade City, Fla.

TIME	COMMENTS
0830	Arrived on site, began set-up at MW-8. Decontaminated equipment according to DEP SOP procedures, determined purge volume, installed new poly tubing Calibrated field meter (Hanna U-6) as follows:
	parameter standard/Actual
	ph 4.00 / 3.99
	cond 4.49 / 4.50 ms/cm
	turb 0 / 0 NTU's
	d.o. 8.41 / 8.74 mg/L
	temp 24°C / 23.6°C
0907	Began purge (See Water Sampling Log for details)
0929	Well purged dry after ~ 125 gals, will allow to recover and sample. Left well to recover and proceeded to MW-7B and began set-up.
1005	Began purge (See Water Sampling Log for details) parameters did not stabilize during 1st 3 consecutive readings. Continued to purge well until parameters were within SOP required readings.
1220	Completed Sampling. Samples on ice. Left site for lunch
1310	Back on site on MW-8 to pull sample
1330	Completed sampling MW-8, samples on ice, proceeded to MW-10 location. Well purged dry immediately last event and w.l. was lower this time. Used bailer to purge well. Well went dry after ~ .3 gals.
1420	Attempted to sample well MW-10. During last sampling event, attempted purging well with a peristaltic pump, was unsuccessful due to depth of water which was 37.72' btoe. Peristaltic pump was unable to lift water from that depth. Inserted a 1.5" groundrod and was unable to stabilize pumping rate with drawdown (well pumped dry almost

FIELD LOG



HAI# 99.0331.007-2 NAME: WJB/HLC  
PROJECT NAME: Enterprise Rd. L.F. DATE: 11/5/03  
PROJECT LOCATION: Dade City, Fla

TIME	COMMENTS
	<p>immediately). Based on attempts during last event, we attempted purging/sampling this event using a new 12" bailer, following procedures in DEP-SOP-001/01, FC 2200, par 2.1. After 3 passes with the bailer, well was dry. Allowed to recover 20 minutes and checked Wt, had recovered only <del>1.09'</del> 1.09' (9 quadrats). Given estimated time to recover (~7 hrs) and amount of volume required to fill sample jars (~.75 gal), we would spend ~21 hours attempting to sample well MW-10. Talked it over with Project Manager (Jennifer Deal) and decided not to sample MW-10 due to insufficient volume of GW in well and slow recovery time once purged dry.</p>
	<p>Proceeded to completed water level readings before sampling next well.</p>
1515	<p>Completed Round of water levels on all wells.</p>
1530	<p>Left site</p>



HAI# 99.0331.007-2 NAME: WDB/HLC  
 PROJECT NAME: Enterprise Rd L.F. DATE: 11/5/03  
 PROJECT LOCATION: Dade City, FL

Well #	Water level (ft. BToc)	COMMENTS
<del>DATE</del>		
MW-1	38.44	
MW-1B	98.86	
MW-5A	8.02	
MW-5B	10.19	(No standing water)
MW-6	13.03	
MW-7A	16.81	
MW-7B	17.73	
MW-8	24.13	
MW-9	DRY	
MW-10	35.79	
MW-11	28.25	
P-2	22.82	
P-4	8.12	
P-5	AB	
P-6	18.63	
P-8	59.89	
P-10	57.08	
P-11	43.42	
P-13	AB	
TP	6.43	76.43(NGVD)
Rain Gauge	0.00"	



# HARTMAN & ASSOCIATES, INC

engineers, hydrogeologists, surveyors, & management consultants

201 EAST PINE STREET - SUITE 1000 - ORLANDO, FL 32801  
 TELEPHONE (407) 839-3655 - FAX (407) 839-3780

## Water Sampling Log

FDEP FACILITY NO.:	WELL NO.: MW-7B	SAMPLE ID: MW-7B	DATE: 11/5/03
SITE NAME: Enterprise Rd. L.F.		SITE LOCATION: Ocoee City, FL	

PURGE DATA									
WELL DIAMETER (in):	2" PVC	TOTAL WELL DEPTH (ft):	63.88	DEPTH TO WATER (ft):	17.73	WELL CAPACITY (gal/ft):	46.15		
$I \text{ WELL VOLUME (gal)} = (\text{TOTAL WELL DEPTH} - \text{DEPTH TO WATER}) \times \text{WELL CAPACITY} = 5 \text{ vols} = 7.38$ $= (63.88' - 17.73') \times 46.15 = 1160 \text{ gal}$									
PURGE METHOD: Peristaltic Pump			PURGING INITIATED AT: 1005			PURGING ENDED AT: 1210			
WELL VOLS. PURGED	CUMUL. VOLUME PURGED (gal)	pH	TEMP. (°C)	COND. (µmhos/cm)	PURGE RATE (gpm): ~.20 gpm	TURBIDITY (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ODOR	COLOR
1 vol	7.38	11.39	24.8	.186	0	1.26		None	clear
	9.25	11.30	25.1	.173	0	1.10		"	"
11.25	11.25	11.19	24.8	.161	0	1.09		"	"
	13.25	11.12	25.3	.155	0	1.26		"	"
	15.25	11.00	25.4	.145	0	1.52		"	"
	17.25	10.89	25.5	.139	0	1.50		"	"
	19.25	10.84	25.3	.136	0	1.55		"	"

SAMPLING DATA									
SAMPLED BY / AFFILIATION: WTB/HLC HAI Pmc.					SAMPLER(S) SIGNATURE(S): <i>WTB</i>				
SAMPLING METHOD(S): Peristaltic Pump/Organic Trap					SAMPLING INITIATED AT:			SAMPLING ENDED AT: 1220	
FIELD DECONTAMINATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N			FIELD-FILTERED: Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N			DUPLICATE: Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N			
SAMPLE CONTAINER SPECIFICATIONS			SAMPLE PRESERVATION			INTENDED ANALYSIS AND/OR METHOD			
NO.	MATERIAL CODE	VOLUME	PRESERVATIVE USED	TOTAL VOLUME ADDED IN FIELD (ml)	FINAL pH				
1	HDP	250ml	HNO3	None	-	FE, HG, NA			
1	HDP	250ml	H2SO4	4	-	Ammonia			
1	KOP	500ml	None	4	-	Alk, Chloride, Nitrate, Phos			
1	AG	1 lit	None			8270-AE			

REMARKS:

MATERIAL CODES: AG - AMBER GLASS; CG - CLEAR GLASS; HDP - HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE; O - OTHER (SPECIFY)  
 WELL CAPACITY: 1.25" = 0.06 gal/ft; 2" = 0.16 gal/ft; 4" = 0.65 gal/ft; 6" = 1.47 gal/ft; 8" = 2.61 gal/ft; 12" = 5.88 gal/ft

- 05 Began purge, w.l. 17.73' BTOC, purge rate .20 gpm, tubing ~ 18.5' BTOC
- 07 w.l. 17.92' BTOC
- 09 w.l. 17.94' BTOC, drawdown stabilized, began measuring purge volume
- 12 w.l. 17.94' BTOC, tubing, ~ 18.5' BTOC
- 22 w.l. 17.94' BTOC
- 00 w.l. 17.92' BTOC

temperature readings rose and fell in correlation to sun going in and out of clouds.



Environmental Conservation Laboratories, Inc.  
10207 General Drive  
Orlando, Florida 32824-8529  
407 / 826-5314  
Fax 407 / 850-6945  
www.encolabs.com



DHRS Certification No. E83182

**CLIENT :** Hartman & Assoc., Inc.  
**ADDRESS:** 201 E. Pine St.  
Suite 1000  
Orlando, FL 32801

**REPORT # :** ORL29393.2  
**DATE SUBMITTED:** November 6, 2003  
**DATE REPORTED :** November 24, 2003

PAGE 1 OF 8

**ATTENTION:** Jennifer Deal

**SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION**

Samples submitted and  
identified by client as:

REFERENCE: 99.0331.007-2

ENTERPRISE ROAD L.F.

11/05/03

ORL29393.2-1 : MW-7B @ 12:20

Unless otherwise noted in an attached project narrative, all samples were received in acceptable condition and processed in accordance with the referenced methods/procedures. This data has been produced in accordance with NELAC Standards (July, 1999). This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. Results for these procedures apply only to the samples as submitted.

PROJECT MANAGER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ronald Wambles", written over a horizontal line.

Ronald Wambles

ENCO LABORATORIES

REPORT # : ORL29393.2  
DATE REPORTED: November 24, 2003  
REFERENCE : 99.0331.007-2  
PROJECT NAME : ENTERPRISE ROAD L.F.

PAGE 2 OF 8

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

EPA METHOD 8270 -  
ACID SVOAS

	<u>MW-7B</u>	<u>Units</u>
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	10 U	ug/L
2-Chlorophenol	10 U	ug/L
2,4-Dichlorophenol	10 U	ug/L
2,4-Dimethylphenol	10 U	ug/L
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10 U	ug/L
2-Methyl-4,6-Dinitrophenol	10 U	ug/L
2-Nitrophenol	10 U	ug/L
4-Nitrophenol	10 U	ug/L
Pentachlorophenol	10 U	ug/L
Phenol	10	ug/L
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10 U	ug/L
2-Methylphenol	10 U	ug/L
3 & 4-Methylphenol	20 U	ug/L
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	10 U	ug/L

Surrogate:

	<u>% RECOV</u>	<u>LIMITS</u>
Phenol -D5	70	12-122
2-Fluorophenol	88	30-114
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	92	55-159
Date Prepared	11/07/03	
Date Analyzed	11/11/03 17:23	

U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected to the level shown.

ENCO LABORATORIES

REPORT # : ORL29393.2  
DATE REPORTED: November 24, 2003  
REFERENCE : 99.0331.007-2  
PROJECT NAME : ENTERPRISE ROAD L.F.

PAGE 3 OF 8

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

<u>TOTAL METALS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>MW-7B</u>	<u>Units</u>
Iron	200.7	0.050 U	mg/L
Date Analyzed		11/11/03 18:28	
Mercury	245.1	0.00020 U	mg/L
Date Analyzed		11/11/03 16:20	
Sodium	200.7	5.0	mg/L
Date Analyzed		11/11/03 18:28	

EPA METHOD 300 -  
Anions by IC

	<u>MW-7B</u>	<u>Units</u>
Chloride	4.5	mg/L
Nitrate-N	0.88	mg/L
Date Analyzed	11/06/03 19:04	

U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected to the level shown.

ENCO LABORATORIES

REPORT # : ORL29393.2  
DATE REPORTED: November 24, 2003  
REFERENCE : 99.0331.007-2  
PROJECT NAME : ENTERPRISE ROAD L.F.

PAGE 4 OF 8

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

<u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>MW-7B</u>	<u>Units</u>
Alkalinity (as CaCO3) Date Analyzed	310.1	36 11/10/03 09:10	mg/L
Ammonium Date Analyzed	350.1	0.34 11/10/03 11:59	mg/L
Bicarbonate (as CaCO3) Date Analyzed	4500-CO2/B.	16 11/10/03 09:10	mg/L
pH Date Analyzed	150.1	9.8 Q 11/06/03 12:18	S.U.
Total Dis. Solids Date Analyzed	160.1	76 11/10/03 19:30	mg/L

Q = Estimated value; analyte analyzed after acceptable holding time.

ENCO LABORATORIES

REPORT # : ORL29393.2  
DATE REPORTED: November 24, 2003  
REFERENCE : 99.0331.007-2  
PROJECT NAME : ENTERPRISE ROAD L.F.

PAGE 5 OF 8

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

EPA METHOD 8270 -  
ACID SVOAS


	<u>LAB BLANK</u>	<u>Units</u>
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	10 U	ug/L
2-Chlorophenol	10 U	ug/L
2,4-Dichlorophenol	10 U	ug/L
2,4-Dimethylphenol	10 U	ug/L
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10 U	ug/L
2-Methyl-4,6-Dinitrophenol	10 U	ug/L
2-Nitrophenol	10 U	ug/L
4-Nitrophenol	10 U	ug/L
Pentachlorophenol	10 U	ug/L
Phenol	10 U	ug/L
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10 U	ug/L
2-Methylphenol	10 U	ug/L
3 & 4-Methylphenol	20 U	ug/L
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	10 U	ug/L
<u>Surrogate:</u>	<u>% RECOV</u>	<u>LIMITS</u>
Phenol -D5	47	12-122
2-Fluorophenol	68	30-114
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	91	55-159
Date Prepared	11/07/03	
Date Analyzed	11/11/03 16:49	

U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected to the level shown.

ENCO LABORATORIES

REPORT # : ORL29393.2  
 DATE REPORTED: November 24, 2003  
 REFERENCE : 99.0331.007-2  
 PROJECT NAME : ENTERPRISE ROAD L.F.

PAGE 6 OF 8



RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

<u>TOTAL METALS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>LAB BLANK</u>	<u>Units</u>
Iron	200.7	0.050 U	mg/L
Date Analyzed		11/11/03 16:10	
Mercury	245.1	0.00020 U	mg/L
Date Analyzed		11/11/03 15:10	
Sodium	200.7	0.50 U	mg/L
Date Analyzed		11/11/03 16:10	

EPA METHOD 300 -  
Anions by IC

	<u>LAB BLANK</u>	<u>Units</u>
Chloride	1.0 U	mg/L
Nitrate-N	0.10 U	mg/L
Date Analyzed	11/06/03 11:11	

<u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>	<u>METHOD</u>	<u>LAB BLANK</u>	<u>Units</u>
Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	310.1	2.0 U	mg/L
Date Analyzed		11/10/03 09:10	
Ammonium	350.1	0.020 U	mg/L
Date Analyzed		11/10/03 11:38	
Total Dis. Solids	160.1	2.0 U	mg/L
Date Analyzed		11/10/03 19:30	

U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected to the level shown.

**ENCO LABORATORIES**

**REPORT #** : ORL29393.2  
**DATE REPORTED:** November 24, 2003  
**REFERENCE** : 99.0331.007-2  
**PROJECT NAME** : ENTERPRISE ROAD L.F.

**PAGE 7 OF 8**

**LABORATORY CERTIFICATIONS**

Laboratory Certification: NELAC:E83182

All analyses reported with this project were analyzed by the facility indicated unless identified below.

**PARAMETER**

Iron,  
MERCURY, EPA METHOD 245.1  
Sodium,

**LAB CERT #'s**

NELAC:E87610  
NELAC:E87610  
NELAC:E87610

ENCO LABORATORIES

REPORT # : ORL29393.2  
 DATE REPORTED: November 24, 2003  
 REFERENCE : 99.0331.007-2  
 PROJECT NAME : ENTERPRISE ROAD L.F.

PAGE 8 OF 8

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>% RECOVERY MS/MSD/LCS</u>	<u>ACCEPT LIMITS</u>	<u>% RPD MS/MSD</u>	<u>ACCEPT LIMITS</u>
<u>EPA Method 8270</u>				
Phenol	33/ 33/ 38	23-102	<1	44
2-Chlorophenol	68/ 68/ 70	42-124	<1	41
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	80/ 76/ 79	23-127	5	43
N-Nitrosodi-N-Propylamine	93/ 91/ 94	35-122	2	43
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	77/ 78/ 79	47-129	1	43
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	76/ 76/ 78	40-139	<1	25
Acenaphthene	87/ 91/ 88	49-122	4	28
4-Nitrophenol	26/ 26/ 27	10-159	<1	52
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	79/ 81/ 78	48-139	2	21
Pentachlorophenol	51/ 51/ 49	25-149	<1	42
Pyrene	80/ 78/ 74	50-146	2	32
<u>TOTAL METALS</u>				
Iron, 200.7	97/101/102	48-144	4	23
Mercury, 245.1	90/ 88/100	70-136	2	12
Sodium, 200.7	104/111/ 95	29-171	6	21
<u>EPA Method 300</u>				
Chloride	93/ 92/100	51-149	1	26
Nitrate-N	100/ 98/107	40-152	2	23
<u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>				
Alkalinity (as CaCO3), 310.1	97/ 97/ 96	80-119	<1	4
Ammonium, 350.1	87*/ 83*/102	90-110	5	20
pH, 150.1	NA/ NA/100	95-109	NA	NA
Total Dis. Solids, 160.1	NA/ NA/ 97	73-121	NA	NA

- \* = Recovery fails low.
- < = Less Than
- MS = Matrix Spike
- MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate
- NA = Not applicable
- LCS = Laboratory Control Standard
- RPD = Relative Percent Difference





# ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION LABORATORIES

4810 Executive Park Court, Suite 211  
Jacksonville, Florida 32216-6069  
Ph. (904) 296-3007 • Fax (904) 296-6210

10207 General Drive  
Orlando, Florida 32824-8529  
Ph. (407) 826-5314 • Fax (407) 850-6945

1015 Passport Way  
Cary, North Carolina 27513  
Ph. (919) 677-1669 • Fax (919) 677-9846

ENCO CompQAP No.: 960038G/0

## CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

PROJECT REFERENCE		PROJECT NO.	P.O. NUMBER	REQUIRED ANALYSIS		PAGE	OF
Enterprise Apparel L.F.		990331001-2		TDS Ammonium Nitrate, PH 837C-192 COD		1	1
PROJECT LOC. (State)	SAMPLER(S) NAME	PHONE	FAX	STANDARD REPORT DELIVERY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		EXPEDITED REPORT DELIVERY (surcharge) <input type="checkbox"/>	
FL	W. J. Sample	407-839-3955	407-839-2066	Date Due:			
CLIENT NAME	CLIENT PROJECT MANAGER						
Hartman Assoc, Inc.	Jennifer Deal						
CLIENT ADDRESS (CITY, STATE, ZIP)	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION						
2015 P. Ave St Suite 1000, Orlando, FL 32801							
STATION	DATE	TIME	GRAB	COMP	MATRIX TYPE	NUMBER OF CONTAINERS SUBMITTED	REMARKS
MW-7B	11/5/03	1220	X		DE Water	1	
MW-8	1330		X		FEH, H, NA	1	
SW	1530		X		Ammonium	1	
EQB	1545		X		DE Water	1	
5					SLUDGE		
6					AIR		
7					NONAQUEOUS LIQUID (oil, solvent, etc.)		
8					SOIL/SOLID/SEDIMENT		
9					DRINKING WATER		
10					WASTEWATER		
11					GROUND WATER		
12					SLUDGE		
13					AIR		
14					OTHER		
SAMPLE KIT PREPARED BY: [Signature]		DATE	TIME	RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)	DATE	TIME	RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)
DJACKSONVILLE		11/3/03	13:35	[Signature]	11/3/03	17:35	[Signature]
RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)		DATE	TIME	RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)	DATE	TIME	RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)
[Signature]				[Signature]	11/5/03	17:00	[Signature]
RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)		DATE	TIME	RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)	DATE	TIME	RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)
[Signature]		11/6/03	11:00	[Signature]	11/5/03	17:00	[Signature]
RECEIVED FOR LABORATORY BY: (SIGNATURE)		DATE	TIME	CUSTODY INTACT	ENCLOSURE NO.	REMARKS	
[Signature]		11/6/03	11:00	YES	0429293		
□ Jacksonville							
SAMPLE KIT PREPARED BY: [Signature]		DATE	TIME	RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)	DATE	TIME	RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)
DJACKSONVILLE		11/6/03	11:00	[Signature]	11/6/03	17:30	[Signature]
RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)		DATE	TIME	RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)	DATE	TIME	RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)
[Signature]				[Signature]	11/6/03	17:30	[Signature]

