



Florida Department of
Environmental Protection
Inspection Checklist

FACILITY INFORMATION:

Facility Name: J.E.D LANDFILL (F/K/A OAK HAMMOCK DISPOSAL)

On-Site Inspection Start Date: 06/22/2010

On-Site Inspection End Date: 06/22/2010

WACS No.: 89544

Facility Street Address: 1501 OMNI WAY

City: ST. CLOUD

County Name: OSCEOLA

Zip: 34773

INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS:

(Include ALL Landfill and Department Personnel with Corresponding Titles)

Principal Inspector: Kimberly M. Rush, Inspector

Other Participants: Matthew Orr, District Manager

INSPECTION TYPE:

Routine Operation Inspection for Landfill - Class I facility

ATTACHMENTS TO THE INSPECTION CHECK LIST:

This Cover Page to the Inspection Checklist may include any or all of the following attachments as appropriate.

SECTION 1.0 - FILE REVIEW

SECTION 2.0 - LANDFILL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Inspection Date: 06/22/2010

SECTION 1.0 - FILE REVIEW**Requirements:**

The requirements listed in this section provide an opportunity for the Department's inspector to indicate the conditions found at the time of the inspection. A "Not Ok" response to a requirement indicates either a potential violation of the corresponding rule or an area of concern that requires more attention. Both potential violations and areas of concern are discussed further at the end of this inspection report.

Item No.	FILE REVIEW (Pre- or Post-Inspection, as appropriate.) Completed	Ok	Not Ok	Unk	N/A
1.1	For landfills and C&D disposal facilities, does the facility have a current plan for the method and sequence of filling wastes? 62-701.500(2)(f) for landfills; 62-701.730(7)(a) for C&D debris sites	✓			
1.2	For landfills, are the following records being reported to the Department?(Check any that are Not OK) <input type="checkbox"/> Waste reports (annually) 62-701.500(4) <input type="checkbox"/> Annual estimate of remaining life 62-701.500(13)(c)	✓			
1.3	Is leachate sampled, tested and disposed as required? 62-701.500(8)(a), 62-701.510(6)(c)	✓			
1.4	Is gas monitoring being performed as required by the permit? 62-701.500(9), 62-701.530(2)	✓			
1.5	Are the results of the gas sampling reported to the Department quarterly? 62-701.530(2)(c)	✓			
1.6	Is water quality sampling and testing performed according to standard procedures and at the required frequencies? 62-701.510(2) for landfills; 62-701.730(4)(b) and (10) for C&D debris sites; 62-713.400(3) for stationary soil treatment facilities.	✓			
1.7	Do the results of the water quality testing suggest there may be adverse impacts to water quality from the operation of the solid waste facility? 62-701.510(3) and (4); 62-701.730(4)(c) and (10) for C&D debris sites; 62-713.400(3) for stationary soil treatment facilities.			✓	
1.8	For closed landfills and C&D disposal facilities with final elevations higher than 20 feet above grade, has a final survey report verifying the final elevations and contours of the facility been submitted to the Department? 62-701.600(6)(b), 62-701.730(9)(d)				✓
1.9	Is financial assurance adequate? 62-701.630 for landfills; 62-701.710(7)(a) and (10)(a) for waste processing facilities; 62-701.730(11)(a) for C&D debris facilities; 62-713.600(6)(a) for stationary soil treatment facilities; 62-711.500(3) for waste tire facilities. NOTE: The Solid Waste Financial Coordinator in Tallahassee can assist with this information.	✓			
1.10	Are cost estimates current and adjusted every year? 62-701.630(4) for landfills; 62-701.710(7)(b) and (10)(a) for waste processing facilities; 62-701.730(11)(b) and (c) for C&D debris facilities; 62-713.600(6)(b) and (c) for stationary soil treatment facilities; 62-711.500(3) for waste tire facilities.	✓			
1.11	For C&D debris disposal and disposal with recycling facilities, is an Annual Report submitted to the Department for the disposal operation by April 1st of each year? 62-701.730(12)				✓
1.12	For C&D recycling facilities with no disposal, is an Annual Report for the recycling facility submitted to the Department by April 1st of each year? 62-701.710(9)(b)				✓
1.13	For compost facilities, has the compost product been sampled and analyzed every 20,000 tons or every 3 months (whichever is sooner)? 62-709.530(1)				✓
1.14	For compost facilities, has the annual report been submitted by June 1st? 62-709.530(3)				✓

Inspection Date: 06/22/2010

SECTION 2.0 - LANDFILL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**Requirements:**

The requirements listed in this section provide an opportunity for the Department's inspector to indicate the conditions found at the time of the inspection. A "Not Ok" response to a requirement indicates either a potential violation of the corresponding rule or an area of concern that requires more attention. Both potential violations and areas of concern are discussed further at the end of this inspection report.

Item No.	SOLID WASTE PROHIBITIONS (unless "grandfathered" in, see 62-701.300(18)) Completed	Ok	Not Ok	Unk	N/A
2.1.1	Unauthorized storage, processing, or disposal of solid waste except as authorized at a permitted solid waste management facility or other exempt facility. 62-701.300(1)(a)	✓			
2.1.2	Unauthorized disposal or storage prohibited, except yard trash, within 500 feet of a potable water well? 62-701.300(2)(b)	✓			
2.2	Unauthorized storage or disposal of yard trash prohibited within the minimum setbacks of (Check any that are Not OK) 62-701.300(12) <input type="checkbox"/> 100 feet from potable water wells (except on-site)? <input type="checkbox"/> 50 feet from water bodies?	✓			
2.3	Unauthorized disposal or storage prohibited in any natural or artificial body of water including ground water and wetlands? (Does not apply to standing water after a storm event.) 62-701.300(2)(d)	✓			
2.4	Unauthorized disposal or storage prohibited, except yard trash, within 200 feet of any natural or artificial body of water, including wetlands without permanent leachate controls, except impoundments or conveyances which are part of an on-site, permitted stormwater management system or on-site water bodies with no off-site discharge? 62-701.300(2)(e)	✓			
2.5	Unauthorized open burning of solid waste prohibited except in accordance with Department requirements? 62-701.300(3)	✓			
2.6	Are the following unauthorized wastes or special wastes properly controlled, managed and disposed? (Check any that are Not OK) <input type="checkbox"/> Hazardous waste 62-701.300(4) <input type="checkbox"/> Biomedical waste 62-701.300(6) <input type="checkbox"/> Yard trash 62-701.300(8)(c) <input type="checkbox"/> Whole waste tires 62-701.300(8)(e) <input type="checkbox"/> Regulated asbestos waste 62-701.520(3), 62-701.730(19) <input type="checkbox"/> Used oil and oily wastes, except as exempted 62-701.300(11) <input type="checkbox"/> PCB wastes 62-701.300(5) <input type="checkbox"/> Lead-acid batteries 62-701.300(8)(a) <input type="checkbox"/> White goods 62-701.300(8)(d) <input type="checkbox"/> Liquids 62-701.300(10) <input type="checkbox"/> CCA treated wood 62-701.300(14)	✓			
2.7	Are only permitted waste types disposed at facility? 62-701.340(2), 62-701.500(6)(a), 62-701.500(2)(c)	✓			

Item No.	LANDFILL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE Completed	Ok	Not Ok	Unk	N/A
2.8	Is there a trained operator on-site at Class I and III landfills when receiving wastes? 62-701.500(1)	✓			
2.9	Is there at least one trained spotter at each working face when receiving wastes at Class I and III landfills? 62-701.500(1)	✓			
2.10	Are the following records or plans current and available on-site? (Check any that are Not OK) <input type="checkbox"/> Training Plan 62-701.320(15)(a) <input type="checkbox"/> Operating Plan 62-701.500(2) <input type="checkbox"/> Waste weight records 62-701.500(4) <input type="checkbox"/> Precipitation records 62-701.500(8)(g)	✓			

Inspection Date: 06/22/2010

Item No.	LANDFILL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE Completed	Ok	Not Ok	Unk	N/A
2.10	<input type="checkbox"/> Load-checking program records 62-701.500(6)(a) <input type="checkbox"/> Training records 62-701.320(15)(a) <input type="checkbox"/> Operation record 62-701.500(3) <input type="checkbox"/> Quantity of leachate 62-701.500(8)(f)	✓			
2.11	Is the operation plan substantially followed? 62-701.500(2)	✓			
2.12	Is incoming waste weighed? 62-701.500(4)(a) and (2)(d)	✓			
2.13	Is the method and sequence of filling waste according to plans? 62-701.500(2)(f)	✓			
2.14	Is access properly controlled to prevent unauthorized waste disposal? 62-701.500(5)	✓			
2.15	Is waste compacted as required? 62-701.500(7)(a)	✓			
2.16	Are the working face and side slopes above ground graded to a slope no greater than 3 feet horizontal to 1 foot vertical rise? 62-701.500(7)(c)	✓			
2.17	Is a narrow working face practiced? 62-701.500(7)(d)	✓			
2.18	Is the frequency, amount and quality of initial cover, as required? 62-701.500(7)(e)	✓			
2.19	Is the frequency, amount and quality of intermediate cover, as required? 62-701.500(7)(f)	✓			
2.20	Is litter controlled and are litter control devices maintained? 62-701.500(7)(i) and (11)(f)	✓			
2.21	Is erosion control adequate? 62-701.500(7)(j)		✓		
2.22	Is the leachate collection and removal system maintained and operated as required? 62-701.500(2)(j), 62-701.500(8)(b) and (h)	✓			
2.23	Is leachate disposed of or treated as required? 62-701.500(8)(b), (c) and (d)	✓			
2.24	If leachate recirculation is practiced at the facility, is it done in accordance with Department requirements and the Operation Plan? 62-701.400(5)	✓			
2.25	Is gas controlled to not cause objectionable odors beyond the property boundary? 62-701.530(3)(b)	✓			
2.26	Is gas controlled to not allow combustible gas concentrations to exceed specified limits? 62-701.530(3)(a)		✓		
2.27	Are gas vents intact and functioning properly? 62-701.500(9), 62-701.530(1)(a)3	✓			
2.28	Is mixing of leachate and stormwater prevented or minimized? 62-701.500(10), 62-701.400(9)(c)	✓			
2.29	Is stormwater management system maintained and operated as required? 62-701.500(10)	✓			
2.30	Is there sufficient operating equipment? 62-701.500(11)(a)	✓			
2.31	Is there sufficient reserve equipment (or other arrangements)? 62-701.500(11)(b)	✓			
2.32	Are communication facilities adequate? 62-701.500(11)(c)	✓			
2.33	Are approved dust control methods adequate? 62-701.500(11)(d)	✓			
2.34	Are fire protection and fire fighting capabilities adequate and operational? 62-701.500(11)(e)	✓			
2.35	Are there required signs for operational directions and public information? 62-701.500(11)(g)	✓			
2.36	Are all-weather access roads and inside perimeter roads properly maintained? 62-701.500(12)	✓			
2.37	Are ground water wells intact and properly maintained? 62-701.510(2)(b), 62-701.620(8)	✓			
2.38	Are all additional specific conditions (not otherwise addressed above) in the permit, Department order, or certification, if any, being followed? 62-701.320(1), 403.161, F.S.	✓			

Current Areas Of Concern:

Inspection Date: 06/22/2010

Rule: 62-701.530(3)(a)
Question Number: 2.26
Explanation: Gas monitoring reports indicate combustible gas concentrations above the LEL.
Corrective Action: Gas Remediation Plan submitted and is under review.

Rule: 62-701.500(7)(j)
Question Number: 2.21
Explanation: Erosion/seepage was noted on cell 6 east side slope.
Corrective Action: The seepage area was in the process of being dug out and backfilled with chipped tires at the time of this inspection.

Pre-existing Areas Of Concern:

Rule: 62-701.500(7)(j)
Question Number: 2.21
Explanation: Erosion was noted on the east side slope.
Corrective Action: Repair areas of erosion in a timely manner.

Rule: 62-701.530(3)(a)
Question Number: 2.26
Explanation: Gas monitoring reports indicate combustible gas concentrations above the LEL.
Corrective Action: Provide the Department and update regarding gas remediation efforts.

COMMENTS:

06/22/2010

Item No. 1.7:

The water quality report is reviewed as a separate function and is not deemed part of this inspection report.

Working Face:

The working face was of proper size and in good condition at the time of this inspection. Whole tires were being pulled out of dumped loads and segregated.

Litter/Odor:

No blown litter was noted on or off site. No odors were noted on or off site.

Perimeter:

The west and northwest perimeter ditch has been resloped and sodded. The northeast and east sides will also be redone in the near future. The monitoring wells were locked and in good condition.

EPA CERCLA Approval:

JED has been accepting contaminated soil from a superfund site since March 2010. Weight tickets and analytical data for the contaminated soil loads were reviewed at the time of this inspection.

Inspection Date: 06/22/2010

ATTACHMENTS:

Lower Portion of Working Face



Upper Portion of Working Face



Western Perimeter Ditch



Cell 6 Seepage Repairs



Inspection Date: 06/22/2010

Signed:

Kimberly M. Rush

PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR NAME

Inspector

PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR TITLE**PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR SIGNATURE**

FDEP

ORGANIZATION

6/22/2010

DATE

Matthew Orr

REPRESENTATIVE NAME

District Manager

REPRESENTATIVE TITLE

NO SIGNATURE

REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

WSI

ORGANIZATION

NOTE: By signing this document, the Site Representative only acknowledges receipt of this Inspection Report and is not admitting to the accuracy of any of the items identified by the Department as "Not Ok" or areas of concern.